cost impact of the proposed AD on U.S. operators is estimated to be \$54,800, or \$5,480 per airplane, per replacement cycle.

The cost impact figure discussed above is based on assumptions that no operator has yet accomplished any of the proposed requirements of this AD action, and that no operator would accomplish those actions in the future if this AD were not adopted.

Regulatory Impact

The regulations proposed herein would not have substantial direct effects on the States, on the relationship between the national government and the States, or on the distribution of power and responsibilities among the various levels of government. Therefore, in accordance with Executive Order 12612, it is determined that this proposal would not have sufficient federalism implications to warrant the preparation of a Federalism Assessment.

For the reasons discussed above, I certify that this proposed regulation (1) is not a "significant regulatory action" under Executive Order 12866; (2) is not a "significant rule" under the DOT Regulatory Policies and Procedures (44 FR 11034, February 26, 1979); and (3) if promulgated, will not have a significant economic impact, positive or negative, on a substantial number of small entities under the criteria of the Regulatory Flexibility Act. A copy of the draft regulatory evaluation prepared for this action is contained in the Rules Docket. A copy of it may be obtained by contacting the Rules Docket at the location provided under the caption ADDRESSES.

List of Subjects in 14 CFR Part 39

Air transportation, Aircraft, Aviation safety, Safety.

The Proposed Amendment

Accordingly, pursuant to the authority delegated to me by the Administrator, the Federal Aviation Administration proposes to amend part 39 of the Federal Aviation Regulations (14 CFR part 39) as follows:

PART 39—AIRWORTHINESS DIRECTIVES

1. The authority citation for part 39 continues to read as follows:

Authority: 49 U.S.C. 106(g), 40113, 44701.

§39.13 [Amended]

2. Section 39.13 is amended by adding the following new airworthiness directive:

British Aerospace Regional Aircraft [Formerly Jetstream Aircraft Limited; British Aerospace (Commercial Aircraft) Limited]: Docket 98–NM–345–AD.

Applicability: BAe Model ATP airplanes, constructor's numbers 2002 through 2063 inclusive, certificated in any category.

Note 1: This AD applies to each airplane identified in the preceding applicability provision, regardless of whether it has been modified, altered, or repaired in the area subject to the requirements of this AD. For airplanes that have been modified, altered, or repaired so that the performance of the requirements of this AD is affected, the owner/operator must request approval for an alternative method of compliance in accordance with paragraph (b) of this AD. The request should include an assessment of the effect of the modification, alteration, or repair on the unsafe condition addressed by this AD: and, if the unsafe condition has not been eliminated, the request should include specific proposed actions to address it.

Compliance: Required as indicated, unless accomplished previously.

To prevent a nose wheel shimmy, which could result in the collapse of the nose landing gear during takeoff or landing and possible injury to the flightcrew and passengers, accomplish the following:

Replacement

(a) Within 4 years after the initial installation of the weight on wheels microswitch harness subassembly or 3 months after the effective date of this AD, whichever occurs later, replace the weight on wheels microswitch harness subassembly with a new microswitch harness subassembly in accordance with British Aerospace Alert Service Bulletin ATP-A32-93, dated October 3, 1998. Repeat the replacement thereafter at intervals not to exceed 4 years.

Alternative Methods of Compliance

(b) An alternative method of compliance or adjustment of the compliance time that provides an acceptable level of safety may be used if approved by the Manager, International Branch, ANM–116, FAA, Transport Airplane Directorate. Operators shall submit their requests through an appropriate FAA Principal Maintenance Inspector, who may add comments and then send it to the Manager, International Branch, ANM–116.

Note 2: Information concerning the existence of approved alternative methods of compliance with this AD, if any, may be obtained from the International Branch, ANM–116.

Special Flight Permits

(c) Special flight permits may be issued in accordance with sections 21.197 and 21.199 of the Federal Aviation Regulations (14 CFR 21.197 and 21.199) to operate the airplane to a location where the requirements of this AD can be accomplished.

Note 3: The subject of this AD is addressed in British airworthiness directive 014–10–98.

Issued in Renton, Washington, on July 9, 1999.

D.L. Riggin,

Acting Manager, Transport Airplane Directorate, Aircraft Certification Service. [FR Doc. 99–18103 Filed 7–14–99; 8:45 am] BILLING CODE 4910–13–P

DEPARTMENT OF TRANSPORTATION

Federal Aviation Administration

14 CFR Part 39

[Docket No. 99-NM-115-AD]

RIN 2120-AA64

Airworthiness Directives; British Aerospace (Jetstream) Model 4101 Airplanes

AGENCY: Federal Aviation Administration, DOT. ACTION: Notice of proposed rulemaking (NPRM).

SUMMARY: This document proposes the adoption of a new airworthiness directive (AD) that is applicable to all British Aerospace (Jetstream) Model 4101 airplanes. This proposal would require a one-time visual inspection of the conduit pipe for distortion or repairs, and replacement of the conduit pipe with a new pipe, if necessary. This proposal would also require replacement of the cable assemblies to the fuel standby pumps with new cable assemblies that have improved sheathing protection. This proposal is prompted by issuance of mandatory continuing airworthiness information by a foreign civil airworthiness authority. The actions specified by the proposed AD are intended to prevent electrical arcing due to damaged fuel standby pump cable assemblies and conduit pipes, which could create a possible ignition source, and consequent fire hazard.

DATES: Comments must be received by August 16, 1999.

ADDRESSES: Submit comments in triplicate to the Federal Aviation Administration (FAA), Transport Airplane Directorate, ANM–114, Attention: Rules Docket No. 99–NM– 115–AD, 1601 Lind Avenue, SW., Renton, Washington 98055–4056. Comments may be inspected at this location between 9:00 a.m. and 3:00 p.m., Monday through Friday, except Federal holidays.

The service information referenced in the proposed rule may be obtained from AI(R) American Support, Inc., 13850 Mclearen Road, Herndon, Virginia 20171. This information may be examined at the FAA, Transport Airplane Directorate, 1601 Lind Avenue, SW., Renton, Washington. **FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT:** Norman B. Martenson, Manager, International Branch, ANM–116, FAA, Transport Airplane Directorate, 1601 Lind Avenue, SW., Renton, Washington 98055–4056; telephone (425) 227–2110; fax (425) 227–1149.

SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION:

Comments Invited

Interested persons are invited to participate in the making of the proposed rule by submitting such written data, views, or arguments as they may desire. Communications shall identify the Rules Docket number and be submitted in triplicate to the address specified above. All communications received on or before the closing date for comments, specified above, will be considered before taking action on the proposed rule. The proposals contained in this notice may be changed in light of the comments received.

Comments are specifically invited on the overall regulatory, economic, environmental, and energy aspects of the proposed rule. All comments submitted will be available, both before and after the closing date for comments, in the Rules Docket for examination by interested persons. A report summarizing each FAA-public contact concerned with the substance of this proposal will be filed in the Rules Docket.

Commenters wishing the FAA to acknowledge receipt of their comments submitted in response to this notice must submit a self-addressed, stamped postcard on which the following statement is made: "Comments to Docket Number 99–NM–115–AD." The postcard will be date stamped and returned to the commenter.

Availability of NPRMs

Any person may obtain a copy of this NPRM by submitting a request to the FAA, Transport Airplane Directorate, ANM–114, Attention: Rules Docket No. 99–NM–115–AD, 1601 Lind Avenue, SW., Renton, Washington 98055–4056.

Discussion

The Civil Aviation Authority (CAA), which is the airworthiness authority for the United Kingdom, recently notified the FAA that an unsafe condition may exist on all British Aerospace (Jetstream) Model 4100 series airplanes. The CAA advises that, on some aircraft, an operator has found damage to the insulation of the wires in the cable assemblies to the fuel standby pumps. This damage was caused by

circumferential cuts to the cable insulation, which exposed the wires. The cuts are thought to have been caused when the cable outer sheath and braid were removed during cable installation. The CAA also advises that, in the same area, but unrelated to the insulation damage, the metal conduit pipe that carries the cable assembly into the dry bay of the wing had been found in a distorted condition. Distortion of the metal conduit pipe is thought to have been caused by incorrect maintenance practices during standby pump canister removal. These conditions, if not corrected, could result in electrical arcing which could create a possible ignition source, and consequent fire hazard.

Explanation of Relevant Service Information

British Aerospace has issued Jetstream Service Bulletin J41-28-010, dated April 5, 1999, which describes procedures for a one-time visual inspection of the conduit pipe (left and right) for distortion or repairs, and replacement of the conduit pipe with a new pipe, if necessary. It also describes procedures for replacement of the cable assemblies (left and right) to the fuel standby pumps with new cable assemblies that have improved sheathing protection. Accomplishment of the actions specified in the service bulletin is intended to adequately address the identified unsafe condition. The CAA classified this service bulletin as mandatory and issued British airworthiness directive 005-04-99, in order to assure the continued airworthiness of these airplanes in the United Kingdom.

FAA's Conclusions

This airplane model is manufactured in the United Kingdom and is type certificated for operation in the United States under the provisions of section 21.29 of the Federal Aviation Regulations (14 CFR 21.29) and the applicable bilateral airworthiness agreement. Pursuant to this bilateral airworthiness agreement, the CAA has kept the FAA informed of the situation described above. The FAA has examined the findings of the CAA, reviewed all available information, and determined that AD action is necessary for products of this type design that are certificated for operation in the United States.

Explanation of Requirements of Proposed Rule

Since an unsafe condition has been identified that is likely to exist or develop on other airplanes of the same type design registered in the United States, the proposed AD would require accomplishment of the actions specified in the service bulletin described previously.

Cost Impact

The FAA estimates that 59 airplanes of U.S. registry would be affected by this proposed AD, that it would take approximately 16 work hours per airplane to accomplish the proposed actions, and that the average labor rate is \$60 per work hour. Required parts would be supplied by the manufacturer at no cost to the operators. Based on these figures, the cost impact of the proposed AD on U.S. operators is estimated to be \$56,640, or \$960 per airplane.

The cost impact figure discussed above is based on assumptions that no operator has yet accomplished any of the proposed requirements of this AD action, and that no operator would accomplish those actions in the future if this AD were not adopted.

Regulatory Impact

The regulations proposed herein would not have substantial direct effects on the States, on the relationship between the national government and the States, or on the distribution of power and responsibilities among the various levels of government. Therefore, in accordance with Executive Order 12612, it is determined that this proposal would not have sufficient federalism implications to warrant the preparation of a Federalism Assessment.

For the reasons discussed above, I certify that this proposed regulation (1) is not a "significant regulatory action" under Executive Order 12866; (2) is not a "significant rule" under the DOT **Regulatory Policies and Procedures (44** FR 11034, February 26, 1979); and (3) if promulgated, will not have a significant economic impact, positive or negative, on a substantial number of small entities under the criteria of the Regulatory Flexibility Act. A copy of the draft regulatory evaluation prepared for this action is contained in the Rules Docket. A copy of it may be obtained by contacting the Rules Docket at the location provided under the caption ADDRESSES.

List of Subjects in 14 CFR Part 39

Air transportation, Aircraft, Aviation safety, Safety.

The Proposed Amendment

Accordingly, pursuant to the authority delegated to me by the Administrator, the Federal Aviation Administration proposes to amend part 39 of the Federal Aviation Regulations (14 CFR part 39) as follows:

PART 39—AIRWORTHINESS DIRECTIVES

1. The authority citation for part 39 continues to read as follows:

Authority: 49 U.S.C. 106(g), 40113, 44701.

§39.13 [Amended]

2. Section 39.13 is amended by adding the following new airworthiness directive:

British Aerospace Regional Aircraft

[Formerly Jetstream Aircraft Limited; British Aerospace (Commercial Aircraft) Limited]: Docket 99–NM–115–AD. Applicability: All Jetstream Model 4101

airplanes, certificated in any category. **Note 1:** This AD applies to each airplane

identified in the preceding applicability provision, regardless of whether it has been modified, altered, or repaired in the area subject to the requirements of this AD. For airplanes that have been modified, altered, or repaired so that the performance of the requirements of this AD is affected, the owner/operator must request approval for an alternative method of compliance in accordance with paragraph (b) of this AD. The request should include an assessment of the effect of the modification, alteration, or repair on the unsafe condition addressed by this AD; and, if the unsafe condition has not been eliminated, the request should include specific proposed actions to address it.

Compliance: Required as indicated, unless accomplished previously.

To prevent electrical arcing due to damaged fuel standby pump cable assemblies and conduit pipes, which could create a possible ignition source, and consequent fire hazard, accomplish the following:

Corrective Actions

(a) Within 6 months after the effective date of this AD, accomplish the following actions required by paragraphs (a)(1) and (a)(2) of this AD in accordance with Jetstream Service Bulletin J41–28–010, dated April 5, 1999.

(1) Perform a one-time visual inspection of the conduit pipe (left and right), which carries the fuel standby pump cable assembly into the dry bay of the wing, for distortion (i.e., damage) or repairs. If any distortion or repair is found, prior to further flight, replace the conduit pipe with a new pipe, part number 14128032–403 (left) or part number 14128032–405 (right).

(2) Replace fuel standby pump cable assemblies (left and right) with new cable assemblies, part number F5–71–1.

Alternative Methods of Compliance

(b) An alternative method of compliance or adjustment of the compliance time that provides an acceptable level of safety may be used if approved by the Manager, International Branch, ANM-116, FAA, Transport Airplane Directorate. Operators shall submit their requests through an appropriate FAA Principal Maintenance Inspector, who may add comments and then send it to the Manager, International Branch, ANM–116.

Note 2: Information concerning the existence of approved alternative methods of compliance with this AD, if any, may be obtained from the International Branch, ANM–116.

Special Flight Permits

(c) Special flight permits may be issued in accordance with sections 21.197 and 21.199 of the Federal Aviation Regulations (14 CFR 21.197 and 21.199) to operate the airplane to a location where the requirements of this AD can be accomplished.

Note 3: The subject of this AD is addressed in British airworthiness directive 005–04–99.

Issued in Renton, Washington, on July 9, 1999.

D.L. Riggin,

Acting Manager, Transport Airplane Directorate, Aircraft Certification Service. [FR Doc. 99–18104 Filed 7–14–99; 8:45 am] BILLING CODE 4910–13–P

COMMODITY FUTURES TRADING COMMISSION

17 CFR Part 1

Contract Market Rule Review Procedures

AGENCY: Commodity Futures Trading Commission.

ACTION: Proposed rulemaking.

SUMMARY: The Commodity Futures Trading Commission (Commission) is proposing automatically to approve certain exchange rule amendments upon adoption and to require their subsequent submission to the Commission in a single summary filing, rather than individually as currently mandated. In addition, the Commission is proposing to reorganize in a clearer and more accessible format its rules on expedited approval procedures of proposed exchange rule amendments. The proposed comprehensive reorganization of these rules is intended to further the Commission's overall regulatory reform program by reducing unnecessary regulatory burdens and costs on United States exchanges associated with the Commission's review and approval of proposed exchange rules and rule amendments while maintaining the basic public protections of the Commodity Exchange Act.

DATES: Comments must be received August 16, 1999.

ADDRESSES: Comments should be mailed to the Commodity Futures Trading Commission, Three Lafayette Centre, 1155 21st Street, NW, Washington, DC 20581. Office of the Secretariat; transmitted by facsimile at (202) 418–5521; or transmitted electronically at [secretary@cftc.gov]. FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT: Richard H. Shilts, Director, Market Analysis Section or Kimberly A. Browning, Attorney/Advisor, Division of Economic Analysis, Commodity Futures Trading Commission, Three Lafayette Centre, 1155 21st Street, NW, Washington, DC 20581. Telephone (202) 418–5260. E-mail:[RShilts@cftc.gov] or [KBrowning@cftc.gov].

SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION:

I. Background

Over the years the Commission has updated and streamlined its procedures for reviewing proposed exchange rules. Section 5a(a)(12) of the Commodity Exchange Act (Act), 7 U.S.C. 7a(a)(12), provides that all rules of a contract market ¹ which relate to a futures contract's terms and conditions must be submitted to the Commission for prior approval.² This requirement is premised on considerable regulatory experience indicating that Commission review and approval of proposed exchange rules before their implementation, among other things, is the preferred way to minimize the possibility of market manipulation or distortions due to improperly designed contract terms. Moreover, the proposed amendment of an existing futures contract potentially may affect adversely traders, producers or commercials. Commission preapproval review of such changes enables those persons or entities to have their views considered.

The Commission, while preserving the important public protections of prior review, has aggressively sought to reduce unnecessary cost and regulatory burdens associated with this requirement. For example, the Commission has long established an expedited review and automatic approval of proposed exchange rule amendments falling within a number of categories. In addition, since 1997, the Commission has carried out a far-

² Section 5a(a)(12) further requires that contract markets submit all other rules to the Commission except those relating to setting of margins or that the Commission may specify by rule. Such other rules may be made effective ten days after Commission receipt unless, within the ten-day period, the exchange requests Commission approval of the Commission notifies the exchange that it intends to review the rules for approval.

¹Commission Rule 1.41(a)(1) defines "rule" of a contract market as follows: Any constitutional provision, article of incorporation, bylaw, rule regulation, resolution, interpretation, stated policy, or instrument corresponding thereto, in whatever form adopted, and any amendment or addition thereto or repeal thereof, made or issued by a contract market, or by the governing board thereof or any committee thereof.