

define only presumptively the level of control to be reflected in State regulations for these categories, this notice does not impose any new regulatory requirements or costs. Therefore, the EPA has not prepared an assessment of the potential costs and benefits pursuant to Executive Order 12866, nor an economic impact analysis pursuant to section 317, a regulatory flexibility analysis pursuant to the Regulatory Flexibility Act (5 U.S.C. 601, *et seq.*), or a budgetary impact statement pursuant to the Unfunded Mandates Act of 1995. The Congressional Review Act, 5 U.S.C. 108, *et seq.*, as added by the Small Business Regulatory Enforcement Fairness Act of 1996, does not apply because this action is not a rule, for purposes of 5 U.S.C. 804(3). Also, this **Federal Register** document does not contain any information collection requirements and, therefore, is not subject to the Paperwork Reduction Act (44 USC 3501, *et seq.*). This action does not establish any technical standards that would require the EPA to consider voluntary consensus standards pursuant to section 12(d) of the National Technology Transfer and Advancement Act of 1995.

2. Executive Order 13045: Protection of Children from Environmental Health Risks and Safety Risks

Executive Order 13045, "Protection of Children from Environmental Health Risks and Safety Risks" (62 FR 19885, April 23, 1997), applies to any rule that: (1) Is determined to be "economically significant" as defined under Executive Order 12866, and (2) concerns an environmental health or safety risk that EPA has reason to believe may have a disproportionate effect on children. If the regulatory action meets both criteria, the EPA must evaluate the environmental health or safety effects of the planned rule on children and explain why the planned regulation is preferable to other potentially effective and reasonably feasible alternatives considered by the EPA.

The EPA interprets Executive Order 13045 as applying only to those regulatory actions that are based on health or safety risks, such that the analysis required under section 5-501 of the Executive Order has the potential to influence the regulation. This action is not subject to Executive Order 13045 because it is based on technology performance and not on health or safety risks.

3. Executive Order 12866 and Office of Management and Budget (OMB) Review

Under Executive Order 12866 (58 FR 51735, October 4, 1993), the EPA must

determine whether regulatory actions are significant and, therefore, subject to OMB review and the requirements of the Executive Order. The Executive Order defines "significant regulatory action" as one that is likely to lead to a rule that may:

- (1) Have an annual effect on the economy of \$100 million or more, or adversely and materially affect a sector of the economy, productivity, competition, jobs, the environment, public health or safety, or State, local, or tribal governments or communities;
- (2) create a serious inconsistency or otherwise interfere with an action taken or planned by another agency;
- (3) materially alter the budgetary impact of entitlements, grants, user fees, or loan programs, or the rights and obligation of recipients thereof; or
- (4) raise novel legal or policy issues arising out of legal mandates, the President's priorities, or the principles set forth in the Executive Order.

Pursuant to the terms of Executive Order 12866, the EPA has determined that this action is a "significant regulatory action" because it raises novel legal or policy issues arising out of legal mandates. As such, the EPA submitted this action to OMB for review. Changes made in response to OMB suggestions or recommendations are documented in the public record associated with our final determination that CTG are substantially as effective as national regulations. The final determination is published elsewhere in today's **Federal Register**.

Dated: July 1, 1999.

Carol M. Browner,
Administrator.

[FR Doc. 99-17494 Filed 7-12-99; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 6560-50-P

ENVIRONMENTAL PROTECTION AGENCY

[FRL-6376-8]

RCRA Subtitle C Waste Facility Social Siting Criteria Stakeholders' Roundtable

AGENCY: Environmental Protection Agency (EPA).

ACTION: Notice of meeting.

SUMMARY: The Environmental Protection Agency's (EPA's) Office of Solid Waste will hold a roundtable discussion on community quality of life issues (i.e. cultural, social, economic, and nuisance) related to the siting of Resource Conservation and Recovery Act (RCRA) Subtitle C hazardous waste facilities. The roundtable panel will

include individuals who have substantial experience in many aspects of facility siting. The purpose of the roundtable panel is to offer their own evaluations and suggestions on an EPA draft social siting criteria brochure and possible mechanisms and tools for conflict resolution regarding facility siting. The discussion is necessary to ensure that quality of life issues in the siting of RCRA Subtitle C facilities are accurately developed and addressed in the EPA brochure. The public is welcome to observe the discussions among participants and will be afforded some opportunities to express their views. However, this meeting is not intended to be a full public meeting.

DATES: The meeting will be held on July 27, 1999, from 9:00 a.m. to 4:00 p.m.

ADDRESSES: The meeting will be held at the Crystal City Gateway Marriott at Crystal City, 1700 Jefferson Davis Highway, Arlington, VA 22202.

A copy of the draft brochure is available and meeting notes will be available for viewing in the RCRA Information Center (RIC), located at Crystal Gateway I, First Floor, 1235 Jefferson Davis Highway, Arlington, VA. The Docket Identification number is F-1999-SSRN-FFFFF. The RIC is open from 9 a.m. to 4 p.m., Monday through Friday, excluding federal holidays. To review docket materials, it is recommended that the public make an appointment by calling (703) 603-9230. The public may copy a maximum of 100 pages from any regulatory docket at no charge. Additional copies cost \$0.15/page.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT: For more detailed information on specific aspects of the meeting please contact Freya Margand, Office of Solid Waste, U.S. Environmental Protection Agency (Mail Code 5303W), 401 M Street SW, Washington, DC 20460, (703) 605-0633, margand.freya@epamail.epa.gov.

General information on RCRA Subtitle C facility siting and public participation can be found through EPA's OSW web site, <http://www.epa.gov/osw> and EPA's RCRA Hotline at (800) 824-9346 or TDD (800) 553-7672 (hearing impaired) or in the Washington, D.C. metropolitan area, call (703) 412-9810 or TDD (703) 412-3323; internet address, <http://www.epa.gov/epaoswer/hotline.htm>.

SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION:

Registration

Full participation in roundtable discussions is limited to the roundtable panel. No advanced registration is required to listen to the round table discussions. Observers may register at

the registration desk outside the reserved room the day of the roundtable.

Background

As hazardous waste facilities are sited and permitted, local communities often have a variety of legitimate concerns involving perceived and/or real changes in their quality of life (i.e., cultural/social, economic, location, and nuisance concerns). Quality of life concerns encompass a broad array of issues from those that are human health and environmental in nature, to those concerns which are primarily social or economic. Issues regarding human health and the environment should be considered as part of the permitting process for RCRA facilities. However, many of the community quality of life concerns, such as those of a social or economic nature, fall outside of the scope of RCRA and may not be covered by state laws and regulations.

The EPA has developed a draft brochure intended to be used as a vehicle to increase the awareness of community quality of life issues and concerns when dealing with facility siting and operational issues. This brochure will be the subject of roundtable discussions planned for July 27, 1999. The panel will be composed of individuals from State, Tribal, and Local governments/agencies, environmental justice communities, industry, environmental advocacy groups, and other federal agencies with experience in many aspects of facility siting (for example, land use planning, permitting, community outreach, and environmental justice concerns).

To have the most effective discussions, EPA will limit participation in the roundtable panel to invited panel members. However, EPA will provide one brief designated time slot for the general public to provide comments as time allows. EPA will try to accommodate as many requests as possible.

Information concerning this roundtable, including the draft brochure, agenda, and background information will be available, in limited quantities, at the registration desk the day of the roundtable.

Dated: June 28, 1999.

Elizabeth A. Cotsworth,

Acting Director, Office of Solid Waste.

[FR Doc. 99-17771 Filed 7-12-99; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 6560-50-P

ENVIRONMENTAL PROTECTION AGENCY

[FRL-6375-6]

Notice of Availability of the Project XL Proposed

Final Project Agreement: Imation Corp., Camarillo, CA Plant

AGENCY: Environmental Protection Agency (EPA).

ACTION: Notice of availability.

SUMMARY: The EPA is proposing to implement a project under the Project XL program for the Imation Corp. facility in Camarillo, CA (hereafter "Imation"). The terms of the project are defined in a proposed Final Project Agreement (FPA) which is being made available for public review and comment by this document. EPA is requesting comment on the proposed FPA and the Imation XL Project generally.

DATES: Public comments on this document are requested and must be received on or before August 12, 1999.

ADDRESSES: Comments. Written comments should be submitted in duplicate to: David Albright, Permits Office (AIR-3), Air Division, US Environmental Protection Agency, Region IX, 75 Hawthorne Street, San Francisco, CA 94105-3901. Comments may also be faxed to Mr. Albright at (415) 744-1076. Comments may also be sent via electronic mail to: albright.david@epa.gov.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT: To obtain a copy of the proposed Final Project Agreement contact: David Albright, Permits Office (AIR-3), Air Division, US Environmental Protection Agency, Region IX, 75 Hawthorne Street, San Francisco, CA 94105-3901, (415) 744-1627 or Daniel Reich, Office of Regional Counsel (RC-2-2), US Environmental Protection Agency, Region IX, 75 Hawthorne Street, San Francisco, CA 94105-3901, (415) 744-1343. The proposed FPA and related documents are also available on the world wide web at the following location: <http://www.epa.gov/ProjectXL>. Copies of the proposed Final Project Agreement are also available for inspection at the following location: Ventura County Air Pollution Control District, 669 County Square Drive, Ventura, CA. To be included on the Imation Project XL mailing list about future public meetings, XL progress reports, and other mailings from Imation on the XL project, contact Mr. Thomas Ferguson, Plant Manager, at (805) 482-1911, 350 S. Lewis Road, Camarillo, CA

93012. For information on all other aspects of the XL Program contact Christopher Knopes at the following address: Office of Reinvention, United States Environmental Protection Agency, 401 M Street, SW Room M3802 (Mail Code 1802), Washington, DC 20460. Additional information on Project XL, including documents referenced in this notice, other EPA policy documents related to Project XL, regional XL contacts, application information, and descriptions of existing XL projects and proposals, is available via the world wide web at <http://www.epa.gov/ProjectXL>.

SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION:

Outline of this Document

I. Background

- A. Overview of Project XL
- B. Overview of the Imation XL Project
 - 1. Introduction
 - 2. Imation XL Project Description
 - 3. Environmental Benefits
 - 4. Stakeholder Involvement
 - 5. Evaluation of the Project

II. Clean Air Act Requirements

- A. Summary of Regulatory Requirements
- B. New Source Review Requirements
- C. Compliance with New Source Performance Standards (NSPS) and Maximum Achievable Control Technology Standards (MACT) Standards for Existing and Future Activities at Imation Camarillo
- D. State Implementation Plan Requirements
- E. Title V Operating Permit

III. Other Requirements

I. Background

A. Overview of Project XL

EPA is proposing to implement a project developed under Project XL, an important EPA initiative to allow regulated entities to achieve better environmental results at less cost. Project XL—for "eXcellence and Leadership"—was announced on March 16, 1995, as a central part of the National Performance Review's and EPA's effort to reinvent environmental protection. See 60 FR 27282 (May 23, 1995). In addition, on April 22, 1997, EPA modified its guidance on Project XL, solicited new XL proposals, clarified EPA definitions, and described changes intended to bring greater efficiency to the process of developing XL projects. See 62 FR 19872 (April 22, 1997). Project XL provides a limited number of private and public regulated entities an opportunity to develop their own pilot projects to provide regulatory flexibility that will result in environmental protection that is superior to what would be achieved through compliance with current and reasonably anticipated future regulations. These efforts are crucial to