

2. Smoking while traveling in timber, brush, or grass areas, except in vehicles on roads, or while stopped in an area at least three (3) feet in diameter, that is barren or cleared of all flammable material.

3. Operating any type of motorized vehicle except on roadways clear of flammable vegetation. Parking of vehicles off roadways must be done in an area barren of flammable materials.

4. Operating any type of motorized vehicle without the following:

a. One shovel not less than twenty-six (26) inches in overall length, with a blade not less than eight (8) inches wide.

b. One water container of at least one gallon filled to capacity, or a 2.5-pound fire extinguisher, 4BC, fully-charged.

c. One axe, with a handle 26 inches in length and a head weight of not less than two (2) pounds.

Note: These items are not required while traveling on state and county roads.

5. Operating a chain saw.

6. Welding, or operating an acetylene or other torch with open flame.

Exemptions

Pursuant to Title 43 CFR 9212.3(a) the following persons are exempt from this order:

1. Persons with a permit specifically authorizing the otherwise prohibited act or omission.

2. Any Federal, State or local officer, or member of an organized rescue or fire fighting force while in the performance of an official duty.

Penalties

Violation of these prohibitions are punishable under Title 43 CFR by a fine of not more than \$1000 or imprisonment of not more than 12 months, or both. Violations may also be subject to the enhanced fines provided for by Title 18 U.S.C. 3571 (\$100,000 and up to 12 months).

DATES: These prohibitions will become effective whenever the fire danger indices reach "very high". Notices of the effective dates of these prohibited acts will be posted in prominent locations and publicized through the media prior to their enactment. They will be rescinded as soon as the fire danger decreases to a safe level. Fire prevention orders are normally enacted on an emergency basis for a short period of time (during the very high and extreme fire danger levels), but this can happen several times a year as the weather changes.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT: Larry Bowman, BLM, Lakeview District, HC 10 Box 337, Lakeview, OR 97630, ph: (541) 947-6264.

Dated: June 18, 1999.

Steven A. Ellis,

District Manager.

[FR Doc. 99-16711 Filed 6-30-99; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 4310-33-P

DEPARTMENT OF THE INTERIOR

Bureau of Land Management

[ID-957-1910-00-4839]

Idaho: Filing of Plats of Survey; Idaho

The plat of the following described land was officially filed in the Idaho State Office, Bureau of Land Management, Boise, Idaho, effective 9:00 a.m., June 18, 1999.

The plat representing the dependent resurvey of portions of the subdivisional lines and the subdivision of sections 21, 22, 27, and 28, and the metes-and-bounds survey of certain tracts in sections 21, 22, 27, and 28, T. 4 S., R. 5 E., Boise Meridian, Idaho, Group 1034, was accepted June 18, 1999. This survey was executed to meet certain administrative needs of the Mountain Home Air Force Base, USAF.

All inquiries concerning the survey of the above described land must be sent to the Chief, Cadastral Survey, Idaho State Office, Bureau of Land Management, 1387 South Vinnell Way, Boise, Idaho 83709-1657.

Dated: June 18, 1999.

Duane E. Olsen,

Chief Cadastral Surveyor for Idaho.

[FR Doc. 99-16785 Filed 6-30-99; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 4310-GG-P

DEPARTMENT OF THE INTERIOR

Bureau of Land Management

[MT-924-1430-01; MTM 89170]

Notice of Proposed Withdrawal and Opportunity for Public Meeting; Montana; Correction

AGENCY: Bureau of Land Management, Interior.

ACTION: Notice Correction.

SUMMARY: This notice corrects an error in the land description published as FR Doc. 99-13531 in the **Federal Register**, 64 FR 28833-4, May 27, 1999, for a proposed Bureau of Land Management withdrawal.

On page 28834, column 1, the legal description immediately following T. 25 N., R. 24 E., should be corrected to include "sec. 1, lot 13". The acreage remains the same.

Dated: June 23, 1999.

John E. Moorhouse,

Acting Deputy State Director, Division of Resources.

[FR Doc. 99-16779 Filed 6-30-99; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 4310-DN-P

DEPARTMENT OF THE INTERIOR

Minerals Management Service

Announcement of Minerals Management Service Meeting on Natural Gas Royalty-In-Kind Pilot Program in the Federal Gulf of Mexico Region

AGENCY: Minerals Management Service, Interior.

ACTION: Notice of meeting.

SUMMARY: The Minerals Management Service (MMS) will hold a public meeting with lessees, operators and payors, and potential purchasers concerning royalty-in-kind gas produced from Federal leases administered by MMS in the Gulf of Mexico Region. The purpose of the meeting is to discuss the operational issues involved in implementing sales of Federal gas production to be taken as royalty in kind.

DATES: The meeting will be held on July 20, 1999, from 10:00 a.m. until 3:00 p.m., Central Daylight Time.

ADDRESSES: The meeting will be held at MMS's Houston Compliance Division Office, Room 104, 4141 N. Sam Houston Parkway East, Houston, Texas.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT: Mr. Bonn J. Macy, Minerals Management Service, 1849 C Street, NW, MS 4230, Washington, D.C. 20240-0001; telephone number (202) 208-3827; fax (202) 208-3918; e-mail Bonn.Macy@mms.gov.

Comments: Written comments on the meetings or the topics for discussion listed below should be addressed to Mr. Bonn J. Macy at the address given in the **FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT** section.

SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION: The subject of this Notice is preparation for the Gulf of Mexico Royalty-in-Kind (RIK) Pilot, the last of three planned RIK Pilots being implemented by MMS. This Pilot, in MMS's Gulf of Mexico Region (GOMR), will potentially involve large numbers of leases, operators, payors, lessees, and potential purchasers. The GOMR Pilot will be similar to the Pilot we are currently operating in the "8(g)" zone off the coast of Texas. Much of what we have done in the 8(g) Pilot will be expanded and further developed in the GOMR. Gas volumes in the GOMR

Pilot will be substantially larger, involving as much as 800 million cubic feet of gas per day. The objectives of this GOMR Pilot program for Federal gas are, as with all three Pilots, to determine the key factors required for a successful Federal RIK program and to test its effectiveness for collecting Federal oil and gas royalties. Consistent with these objectives, MMS seeks the comments and suggestions of the public and our stakeholders. We urge all with an interest in the program to attend and participate in the discussion.

MMS will direct operators of affected Federal leases and associated communitization/unit agreements to deliver royalty volumes in kind to the Federal Government beginning this fall. For all other leases or agreements, payors will continue paying royalties based on current requirements.

Topics to be discussed at the meeting are:

1. Overall framework and phases of the GOMR Pilot.
2. Intent of the GOMR Pilot.
3. Responsibilities of Federal Government or its purchasers or agents:
 - 100 per cent take of all royalty volumes delivered.
 - Communication with operator.
 - Imbalance procedures.
 - Reporting.
4. Responsibilities of operators:
 - Reporting.
 - Imbalance procedures.
 - Communication with purchaser or agent.
 - Verification of royalty volumes.
 - Project termination and next phase of the pilot.
5. Delivery for Federal RIK gas volumes and transportation arrangements.
6. Sales of RIK natural gas production:
 - Within the Federal Government.
 - To the public.
7. Question and answer period.

Dated: June 25, 1999.

Walter D. Cruickshank,

Associate Director for Policy and Management Improvement.

[FR Doc. 99-16744 Filed 6-30-99; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 4310-MR-P

INTERNATIONAL TRADE COMMISSION

[Investigation No. 337-TA-416]

Certain Compact Multipurpose Tools; Notice of Commission Decision Not To Review an Initial Determination Granting Summary Determination; and Request for Submissions on Remedy, the Public Interest, and Bonding

AGENCY: U.S. International Trade Commission.

ACTION: Notice.

SUMMARY: Notice is hereby given that the U.S. International Trade Commission has decided not to review the presiding administrative law judge's ("ALJ's") initial determination granting a motion for summary determination concerning violation of section 337 of the Tariff Act of 1930 as amended (19 U.S.C. 1337) by the four respondents remaining in the investigation.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT: P.N. Smithey, Esq., Office of the General Counsel, U.S. International Trade Commission, telephone 202-205-3061. General information concerning the Commission also may be obtained by accessing its Internet server (<http://www.usitc.gov>). Hearing-impaired individuals can obtain information concerning this matter by contacting the Commission's TDD terminal at 202-205-1810.

SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION: On August 28, 1998, Leatherman Tool Group, Inc., filed a complaint with the Commission alleging violations of section 337 in the importation into the United States, the sale for importation, and the sale within the United States after importation of certain compact multipurpose tools that infringe claims of four U.S. design patents. The Commission instituted the investigation on September 30, 1998. Five firms were named as respondents: Suncoast of America, Inc.; Quan Da Industries; Kumasama Products Co., Ltd.; Jiangsu Hongbao Group, Corp.; and SCIKO Chinalight, Ltd. See 63 FR 52287 (Sept. 30, 1998). The Commission added Charles Amash Imports, Inc., d/b/a Grip On Tools, as a sixth respondent on December 14, 1998. See 63 FR 70215 (Dec. 18, 1998).

Grip On and Suncoast eventually were terminated on the basis of consent orders. Notice of Commission Decision Not to Review an Initial Determination Terminating a Respondent on the Basis of a Consent Order [and] Issuance of Consent Order (Apr. 21, 1999); Order No. 13 (Mar. 25, 1999); Notice of Commission Decision Not to Review an Initial Determination Terminating a

Respondent on the Basis of a Consent Order [and] Issuance of Consent Order (Mar. 5, 1999); and Order No. 9 (Feb. 5, 1999).

The Commission subsequently found the remaining respondents to be in default, in light of their failure to answer the complaint and notice of investigation in the manner prescribed by the Commission rules and their failure to respond to orders directing them to show cause why they should not be found in default. See Notice of Commission Decision Not to Review an Initial Determination Finding a Respondent in Default (May 11, 1999); Order No. 14 (Apr. 8, 1999); Notice of Commission Decision Not to Review an Initial Determination Finding Three Respondents in Default (Mar. 25, 1999); and Order No. 11 (Mar. 2, 1999).

On February 1, 1999, complainant Leatherman Tool Group, Inc., filed Motion No. 416-6 for summary determination that the four respondents remaining in the investigation have violated section 337.

On February 11, 1999, the Commission investigative attorney filed a response supporting the motion. No other party responded to the motion.

On May 27, 1999, the ALJ issued the ID granting the motion for summary determination concerning violation of section 337 by respondents. The ALJ found that there is no genuine issue of fact that: (1) Each respondent has imported an accused tool into the United States, sold it for importation, and/or sold it in the United States after importation; (2) the four design patents at issue are valid and enforceable; and (3) the complainant has satisfied the technical prong of the domestic industry requirement (19 U.S.C. 1337(a)(2)).¹

No party filed a petition for review of the ID pursuant to 19 CFR 210.43(a), and the Commission found no basis for ordering a review on its own initiative pursuant to 19 CFR 210.44. The ID thus became the determination of the Commission pursuant to 19 CFR 210.42(h)(3).

As a final disposition of this investigation, the Commission may issue (1) an order that could result in exclusion of the subject articles from entry into the United States, and/or (2) cease and desist orders that could result in respondents being required to cease and desist from engaging in unfair

¹ The Commission previously determined not to review an ID granting a summary determination on the economic prong of the statutory domestic industry requirement. See Notice of Commission Decision Not to Review an Initial Determination Granting Summary Determination on the Domestic Industry Requirement (Mar. 5, 1999); and Order No. 7 (Feb. 2, 1999).