

\*\* Plus \$90 per million bushels above 10,000,000 or fraction thereof.

Inspection fees will be charged at the rate of \$16 for each 10,000 bushels of licensed capacity, or fraction thereof, but in no case less than \$160 nor more than \$1,600.

**DRY BEANS**  
[In hundredweight]

Licensed capacity	Annual fee
100-90,000 .....	\$785
90,001-150,000 .....	1,095
150,001-300,000 .....	1,415
300,001-450,000 .....	1,730
450,001-600,000 .....	2,040
600,001-720,000 .....	2,350
720,001-900,000 .....	2,670
900,001-1,200,000 .....	2,985
1,200,001-1,500,000 .....	3,290
1,500,001-3,000,000 .....	3,605
3,000,001+ .....	3,920

Inspection fees will be charged at the rate of \$16 for each 1,000 hundredweight of licensed capacity, or fraction thereof, but in no case less than \$160 nor more than \$1,600.

**Tobacco and Wool**

Annual fee: \$16 for each 100,000 pounds of licensed capacity, or fraction thereof, but in no case less than \$630.

Inspection fee: \$16 for each 100,000 pounds of licensed capacity, or fraction thereof, but in no case less than \$160 nor more than \$1,600.

**Nuts**

Annual fee: \$14 for each 100 short tons of licensed capacity, or fraction thereof, but in no case less than \$630.

Inspection fee: \$8 for each 100 short tons of licensed capacity, or fraction thereof, of peanuts and \$14 for each 1,000 hundredweight, or fraction thereof, of other nuts, but in no case less than \$160 nor more than \$1,600.

**Syrup**

Annual fee: \$6 for each 5,000 gallons of licensed capacity, or fraction thereof, but in no case less than \$630.

Inspection fee: \$6 for each 5,000 gallons of licensed capacity, or fraction thereof, but in no case less than \$160 nor more than \$1,600.

**Cottonseed**

Annual fee: \$16 for each 1,000 short tons of licensed capacity, or fraction thereof, but in no case less than \$630.

Inspection fee: \$16 for each 1,000 short tons of licensed capacity, or fraction thereof, but in no case less than \$160 nor more than \$1,600.

Signed at Washington, DC, on June 21, 1999.

**Keith Kelly,**

*Administrator, Farm Service Agency.*

[FR Doc. 99-16434 Filed 6-28-99; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 3410-05-P

**DEPARTMENT OF AGRICULTURE**

**Forest Service**

**Newspapers Used for Publication of Legal Notice of Appealable Decisions for the Intermountain Region; Utah, Idaho, Nevada, and Wyoming**

**AGENCY:** Forest Service, USDA.

**ACTION:** Notice.

**SUMMARY:** This notice lists the newspapers that will be used by all ranger districts, forests, and the Regional Office of the Intermountain Region to publish legal notice of all decisions subject to appeal under 36 CFR 215 and 36 CFR 217. The intended effect of this action is to inform interested members of the public which newspapers will be used to publish legal notices of decisions, thereby allowing them to receive constructive notice of a decision, to provide clear evidence of timely notice, and to achieve consistency in administering the appeals process.

**DATES:** Publication of legal notices in the listed newspapers will begin with decisions subject to appeal that are made on or after June 1, 1999. The list of newspapers will remain in effect until January 1, 2000 when another notice will be published in the **Federal Register**.

**FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT:** Donald W. Murphy, Regional Appeals Manager, Intermountain Region, 324

25th Street, Ogden, UT 84401, Phone (801) 625-5274.

**SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION:** The administrative appeal procedures 36 CFR 215 and 36 CFR 217, of the Forest Service require publication of legal notice in a newspaper of general circulation of all decisions subject to appeal. This newspaper publication of notices of decisions is in additions to direct notice to those who have requested notice in writing and to those who requested notice in writing and to those known to be interested and affected by a specific decision.

The legal notice is to identify: The decision by title and subject matter; the date of the decision; the name and title of the official making the decision; and how to obtain copies of the decision. In additions, the notice is to state the date the appeal period begins which is the day following publication of the notice.

The timeframe for appeal shall be based on the date of publication of the notice in the first (principal) newspaper listed for each unit.

The newspapers to be used are as follows:

**Regional Forester, Intermountain Region**

For decisions made by the Regional Forester affecting National Forests in Idaho:

*The Idaho Statesman*, Boise, Idaho

For decisions made by the Regional Forester affecting National Forests in Nevada:

*The Reno Gazette-Journal*, Reno, Nevada

For decisions made by the Regional Forester affecting National Forests in Wyoming:

*Casper Star-Tribune*, Casper, Wyoming

For decisions made by the Regional Forester affecting National Forests in Utah:

*Salt Lake Tribune*, Salt Lake City, Utah

If the decisions made by the Regional Forester affects all National Forests in the Intermountain Region, it will appear in:

*Salt Lake Tribune*, Salt Lake City, Utah

#### **Ashley National Forest**

Ashley forest Supervisors decisions:  
*Vernal Express*, Vernal, Utah

Vernal District Ranger decisions:  
*Vernal Express*, Vernal, Utah

Flaming Gorge District Ranger for decisions affecting Wyoming:  
*Casper Star-Tribune*, Casper, Wyoming

Flaming Gorge District Ranger for decisions affecting Utah:

*Vernal Express*, Vernal, Utah

Roosevelt and Duchesne District Ranger Decisions:

*Uintah Basin Standard*, Roosevelt, Utah

#### **Boise National Forest**

Boise Forest Supervisor decisions:  
*The Idaho Statesman*, Boise, Idaho

Mountain Home District Ranger decisions:

*The Idaho Statesman*, Boise, Idaho

Idaho City District Ranger decisions:  
*The Idaho Statesman*, Boise, Idaho

Cascade District Ranger decisions:  
*The Advocate*, Cascade, Idaho

Lowman District Ranger decisions:  
*The Idaho City World*, Idaho City, Idaho

Emmett District Ranger decisions:  
*The Messenger-Index*, Emmett, Idaho

#### **Bridger-Teton National Forest**

Bridger-Teton Forest Supervisor decisions:

*Casper Star-Tribune*, Casper, Wyoming

Jackson District Ranger decisions:  
*Casper Star-Tribune*, Casper, Wyoming

Buffalo District Ranger decisions:  
*Casper Star-Tribune*, Casper, Wyoming

Big Piney District Ranger decisions:  
*Casper Star-Tribune*, Casper, Wyoming

Pinedale District Ranger decisions:  
*Casper Star-Tribune*, Casper, Wyoming

Greys River District Ranger decisions:  
*Casper Star-Tribune*, Casper, Wyoming

Kemmerer District Ranger decisions:  
*Casper Star-Tribune*, Casper, Wyoming

#### **Caribou National Forest**

Caribou Forest Supervisions decisions;

*Idaho State Journal*, Pocatello, Idaho  
Soda Springs District Ranger decisions;  
*Idaho State Journal*, Pocatello, Idaho  
Montpelier District Ranger decisions;  
*Idaho State Journal*, Pocatello, Idaho  
Westside District Ranger decisions;  
*Idaho State Journal*, Pocatello, Idaho

#### **Dixie National Forest**

Dixie Forest Supervisor decisions;  
*The Daily Spectrum*, St. George, Utah  
Pine Valley District Ranger decisions;  
*The Daily Spectrum*, St. George, Utah  
Cedar City District Ranger decisions;  
*The Daily Spectrum*, St. George, Utah  
Power District Ranger decisions;  
*The Daily Spectrum*, St. George, Utah  
Escalante District Ranger decisions;  
*The Daily Spectrum*, St. George, Utah  
Teasdale District Ranger decisions;  
*The Daily Spectrum*, St. George, Utah

#### **Fishlake National Forest**

Fishlake Forest Supervisor decisions;  
*Richfield Reaper*, Richfield, Utah  
Loa District Ranger decisions;  
*Richfield Reaper*, Richfield, Utah  
Richfield District Ranger decisions;  
*Richfield Reaper*, Richfield, Utah  
Beaver District Ranger decisions;  
*Richfield Reaper*, Beaver, Utah  
Fillmore District Ranger decisions;  
*Richfield Reaper*, Fillmore, Utah

#### **Humboldt-Toiyabe National Forests**

Humboldt-Toiyabe Forest Supervisor decisions for the Humboldt portion:  
*Elko Daily Free Press*, Elko, Nevada  
Humboldt-Toiyabe Forest Supervisor decisions for the Toiyabe portion:  
*Reno Gazette-Journal*, Reno, Nevada  
Sierra Ecosystem Coordination Center (SECO):

Carson District Ranger decisions:  
*Reno Gazette-Journal*, Reno, Nevada  
Bridgeport District Ranger, decisions:  
*The Review-Herald*, Mammoth Lakes, California

Spring Mountains National Recreation Area Ecosystem (SMNRAE):  
Spring Mountain National Recreation Area District Ranger decisions:  
*Las Vegas Review Journal*, Las Vegas, Nevada

Central Nevada Ecosystem (CNECO):  
Austin District Ranger decisions:  
*Reno Gazette-Journal*, Reno, Nevada  
Tonopah District Ranger decisions:  
*Tonopah Times Bonanza-Goldfield News*, Tonopah, Nevada

Ely District Ranger decisions:  
*Ely Daily Times*, Ely, Nevada  
Northeast Nevada Ecosystem (NNECO):  
Mountain City District Ranger decisions:  
*Elko Daily Free Press*, Elko, Nevada  
Ruby Mountains District Ranger decisions:  
*Elko Daily Free Press*, Elko, Nevada  
Jarbidge District Range decisions:

*Elko Daily Free Press*, Elko, Nevada  
Santa Rosa District Ranger decisions:  
*Humboldt Sun*, Winnemucca, Nevada

#### **Manti-Lasal National Forest**

Manti-LaSal Forest Supervisor decisions:

*Sun Advocate*, Price, Utah  
Sampete District Ranger decisions:  
*The Pyramid*, Mt. Pleasant, Utah

Ferron District Ranger decisions:  
*Emery County Progress*, Castle Dale, Utah

Price District Ranger decisions:  
*Sun Advocate*, Price, Utah

Moab District Ranger decisions:  
*The Times Independent*, Moab, Utah

Monticello District Ranger decisions:  
*The San Juan Record*, Monticello, Utah

#### **Payette National Forest**

Payette Forest Supervisor decisions:  
*Idaho Statesman*, Boise, Idaho  
Weiser District Ranger decisions:  
*Siganl American*, Weiser, Idaho  
Council District Ranger decisions:  
*Council Record*, Council, Idaho  
New Meadows, McCall, and Krassel District Ranger decisions:  
*Star News*, McCall, Idaho

#### **Salmon and Challis National Forests**

Salmon Forest Supervisor decisions:  
*The Recorder-Herald*, Salmon, Idaho  
Cobalt District Ranger decisions:  
*The Recorder-Herald*, Salmon, Idaho  
North Fork District Ranger decisions:  
*The Recorder-Herald*, Salmon, Idaho  
Leadore District Ranger decisions:  
*The Recorder-Herald*, Salmon, Idaho  
Salmon District Ranger decisions:  
*The Recorder-Herald*, Salmon, Idaho  
Challis Forest Supervisor decisions:  
*The Challis Messenger*, Challis, Idaho  
Middle Fork District Ranger decisions:  
*The Challis Messenger*, Challis, Idaho  
Challis District Ranger decisions:  
*The Challis Messenger*, Challis, Idaho  
Yankee Fork District Ranger decisions:  
*The Challis Messenger*, Challis, Idaho  
Lost River District Range decisions:  
*The Challis Messenger*, Challis, Idaho

#### **Sawtooth National Forest**

Sawtooth Forest Supervisor decisions:  
*The Times News*, Twin Falls, Idaho  
Burley District Ranger decisions:  
*Ogden Standard Examiner*, Ogden, Utah for those decisions on the Burley District involving the Raft River Unit.  
*South Idaho Press*, Burley, Idaho, for decisions issued on the Idaho portions of the Burley District.  
Twin Falls District Ranger decisions:  
*The Times News*, Twin Falls, Idaho  
Ketchum District Ranger decisions:  
*Wood River Journal*, Hailey, Idaho

Sawtooth National Recreation Area:  
*Challis Messenger*, Challis, Idaho  
Fairfield District Ranger decisions:  
*The Times News*, Twin Falls, Idaho

#### **Targhee National Forest**

Targhee Forest Supervisor decisions:  
*The Post Register*, Idaho Falls, Idaho  
Dubois District Ranger decisions:  
*The Post Register*, Idaho Falls, Idaho  
Island Park District Ranger decisions:  
*The Post Register*, Idaho Falls, Idaho  
Ashton District Ranger decisions:  
*The Post Register*, Idaho Falls, Idaho  
Palisaded District Ranger decisions:  
*The Post Register*, Idaho Falls, Idaho  
Teton Basin District Ranger decisions:  
*The Post Register*, Idaho Falls, Idaho

#### **Uinta National Forest**

Uinta Forest Supervisor decisions:  
*The Daily Herald*, Provo, Utah  
Pleasant Grove District Ranger  
decisions:  
*The Daily Herald*, Provo, Utah  
Heber District Ranger decisions:  
*The Daily Herald*, Provo, Utah and  
Spanish Fork District Ranger decisions:  
*The Daily Herald*, Provo, Utah

#### **Wasatch-Cache National Forest**

Wasatch-Cache Forest Supervisor  
decisions:  
*Salt Lake Tribune*, Salt Lake City,  
Utah  
Salt Lake District Ranger decisions:  
*Salt Lake Tribune*, Salt Lake City,  
Utah  
Kamas District Ranger decisions:  
*Salt Lake Tribune*, Salt Lake City,  
Utah  
Evanston District Ranger decisions:  
*Uintah County Herald*, Evanston,  
Wyoming  
Mountain View District Ranger  
decisions:  
*Uintah County Herald*, Evanston,  
Wyoming  
Ogden District Ranger decisions:  
*Ogden Standard Examiner*, Ogden,  
Utah  
Logan District Ranger decisions:  
*Logan Herald Journal*, Logan, Utah  
Dated: June 23, 1999.

**Jack A. Blackwell**,

*Regional Forester.*

[FR Doc. 99-16478 Filed 6-28-99; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 3410-11-M

#### **DEPARTMENT OF AGRICULTURE**

#### **TwoBee Landscape Management Project, Willamette National Forest, Lane and Linn Counties, OR**

**AGENCY:** Forest Service, USDA.

**ACTION:** Notice of intent to prepare an  
environmental impact statement.

**SUMMARY:** The Forest Service will prepare an environmental impact statement (EIS) on a proposal to thin and regenerate forest stands, construct and reconstruct roads, decommission and obliterate roads, restore and maintain ecosystem function, and use prescribed fire within the Two Bee project area in the Upper McKenzie River drainage. The project area is about 60 miles east of Springfield/Eugene, and is in portions of the Hackleman, Smith, and Browder Creek drainages. The purpose and need for action is to provide timber products from this area as part of the Willamette National Forest annual harvest and to maintain and restore ecosystem function. Harvest and regeneration would provide both short-term and long-term benefits to society in the form of wood fiber and economic opportunity. The project is proposed for fiscal years 2001 and 2002. The Willamette National Forest invites written comment on this proposal and the scope of analysis. The agency will give notice of the full environmental analysis and decision making process for the proposal so interested and affected people may participate and contribute to the final decision.

**DATES:** Comments concerning the scope of the analysis should be received in writing by August 30, 1999.

**ADDRESSES:** Send written comments to John Allen, District Ranger, McKenzie Ranger District, McKenzie Bridge, OR 97413.

**FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT:** Norm Michaels, TwoBee project leader, McKenzie Ranger District, McKenzie Bridge, OR 97413, phone (541) 822-3381.

**SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION:** The USDA, Forest Service Proposed Action is to reintroduce natural fire through the prescribed burning of understory layers in selected stands. Trees will be harvested through commercial thinning, selection harvest, and regeneration harvest on an estimated 1,000 acres, removing about 20 million board feet of timber. There will be permanent roads constructed and temporary roads constructed to access treatment units. There will also be roads repaired and decommissioned or obliterated. This proposed action will continue to develop opportunities for post/pole/chip/firewood products from small-sized trees; and develop habitat improvement projects for a variety of wildlife, fish, and sensitive plant species.

These activities will be consistent with the 1990 Final EIS for the Willamette National Forest Land and Resource Management Plan and the

Willamette Land and Resource Management Plan as amended by the 1994 Record of Decision for management of habitat for late-successional and old-growth forest related species within the range of the Northern Spotted Owl. This project will be guided by the recommendations in the Upper McKenzie Watershed Analysis.

The decision-to-be-made will include whether and/or how much harvest should occur, whether and/or which activities should be accomplished to maintain or improve the ecosystem function such as prescribed burning of natural fuels, whether and/or how much road decommissioning, repair, obliteration, or construction should occur, and whether and/or which activities would be appropriate for improvement of habitat for fish, wildlife, and plants.

The project area includes all or portions of T13S, R6E, Sec 25; T13S, R7E, Sec 29, 30, 31, 32; T14S, R6E, Sec 12, 13, 23, 24, 25-28, 34-36; T14S, R7E, Sec 5-8, 17-20, 29-31; T15S, R6E, Sec 1, 2, 11, 12; T14S, R7E, Sec 6.

Preliminary issues have been identified: landscape level pattern and vegetative diversity; stand health and vigor; water quality; proposed endangered; threatened or sensitive species; heritage resources; big game habitat; and recreational activities.

Alternatives to be considered will include the no action alternative, plus action alternatives that will be developed in response to key issues. The action alternatives will include various levels of timber harvest, prescribed fire, road work, and other activities which may be identified.

Initial scoping will begin in June 1999. The public is invited to offer suggestions and comments in writing. Comments received in response to this notice, including the names and addresses of those who comment, will be considered part of the public record on this proposal and will be available to public inspection. Comments submitted anonymously will be accepted and considered; however, those who submit anonymous comments will not have standing to appeal the subsequent decision under 36 CFR part 215. Additionally, pursuant to 7 CFR 1.27(d); any person may request the agency to withhold a submission from the public record by showing how the Freedom of Information Act (FOIA) permits such confidentiality may be granted in only limited circumstances, such as to protect trade secrets. The Forest Service will inform the requester of the agency's decision regarding the request for confidentiality, and where the request is