

*Notice*, NSD File No. L-99-27, DA 99-638 (rel. Apr. 1, 1999); Common Carrier Bureau Seeks Comment on the Florida Public Service Commission's Petition for Authority to Implement Number Conservation Measures, *Public Notice*, NSD File No. 99-33, DA 99-725 (rel. Apr. 15, 1999); Common Carrier Bureau Seeks Comment on a Petition of the California Public Utilities Commission and the People of the State of California for a Delegation of Additional Authority Pertaining to Area Code Relief and to NXX Code Conservation Measures, *Public Notice*, NSD File No. L-98-928, DA 99-108 (rel. May 14, 1999).

Among other things, the state commissions have sought authority to implement number pooling trials in their states (thousands-block pooling, individual telephone number pooling, and unassigned number porting); to adopt number-assignment standards (including establishing fill rates as a criterion for the allocation of NXX codes, assigning numbers sequentially, certifying the readiness of carriers' facilities prior to assigning NXX codes, and engaging in audits to assure carriers are abiding by these standards as well as industry number-assignment guidelines); to maintain rationing of NXX codes for a period of six months following NPA relief; to hear and address claims of carriers seeking numbering resources outside of NXX rationing plans; to order the return of unused or reserved NXX codes to the NANPA; to implement extended local calling areas, inconsistent rate centers, and NXX code sharing; and to expand the deployment of permanent local number portability. The comment periods for all of the proceedings referenced above have closed, except for the second petition from the California Commission, for which the comment period closes on June 28, 1999.

Many of the delegations of authority sought by the state commissions relate to issues under consideration in the *Numbering Resource Optimization Notice*. Numbering Resource Optimization, *Notice of Proposed Rulemaking*, CC Docket No. 99-200, FCC 99-122 (rel. June 2, 1999), 64 FR 32471. Because the state utility commissions which have petitioned us face immediate concerns regarding the administration of telecommunication numbering resources in their states, we find it to be in the public interest to address these petitions as expeditiously as possible, prior to completing the rulemaking proceeding.

We hereby seek comment on the issues raised in the state utility commissions' petitions for delegated authority to implement various number

conservation measures. A copy of these petitions will be available during regular business hours at the FCC Reference Center, Portals II, 445 12th Street, S.W., Suite CY-A257, Washington, D.C. 20554, (202) 418-0267.

We will give full consideration to the comments and replies already filed on these matters. Therefore, parties which have already filed comments need not re-file their comments or replies.

Interested parties may file comments concerning these matters on or before July 16, 1999. All filings must reference the NSD File Number of the state petition which the commenting party wishes to address, and CC Docket 96-98. Send an original and four copies to the Commission Secretary, Magalie Roman Salas, Portals II, 445 12th Street, S.W., Suite TW-A325, Washington, D.C. 20554 and two copies to Al McCloud, Network Services Division, Portals II, 445 12th Street, S.W., Suite 6A-320, Washington, D.C. 20554.

Comments may be filed using the Commission's Electronic Comment Filing System (ECFS) or by filing paper copies. Comments filed through the ECFS can be sent as an electronic file via the Internet to <<http://www.fcc.gov/e-file/ecfs.html>>. Generally, only one copy of an electronic submission must be filed. If multiple docket or rulemaking numbers appear in the caption of this proceeding, however, commenters must transmit one electronic copy of the comments to each docket or rulemaking number referenced in the caption. In completing the transmittal screen, commenters should include their full name, Postal Service mailing address, and the applicable docket or rulemaking number. Parties may also submit an electronic comment by Internet e-mail. To get filing instructions for e-mail comments, commenters should send an e-mail to [ecfs@fcc.gov](mailto:ecfs@fcc.gov), including "get form <your e-mail address>" in the body of the message. A sample form and directions will be sent in reply. Comments may be filed using the Commission's Electronic Comment Filing System (ECFS) or by filing paper copies.

This is a "permit but disclose" proceeding for purposes of the Commission's *ex parte* rules. See generally 47 CFR 1.1200-1.1216. As a "permit but disclose" proceeding, *ex parte* presentations will be governed by the procedures set forth in section 1.1206 of the Commission's rules applicable to non-restricted proceedings. 47 CFR 1.1206.

Parties making oral *ex parte* presentations are reminded that

memoranda summarizing the presentation must contain a summary of the substance of the presentation and not merely a listing of the subjects discussed. More than a one or two sentence description of the views and arguments presented is generally required. See 47 CFR 1.1206(b)(2). Other rules pertaining to oral and written presentations are set forth in section 1.1206(b) as well. For further information contact Al McCloud of the Common Carrier Bureau, Network Services Division, at (202) 418-2320 or [amccloud@fcc.gov](mailto:amccloud@fcc.gov). The TTY number is (202) 418-0484.

Federal Communications Commission.

**Blaise A. Scinto,**

*Deputy Chief, Network Services Division, Common Carrier Bureau.*

[FR Doc. 99-16225 Filed 6-24-99; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 6712-01-P

## FEDERAL COMMUNICATIONS COMMISSION

[Report No. 2338]

### Petitions for Reconsideration and Clarification of Action in Rulemaking Proceeding

June 10, 1999.

Petitions for Reconsideration have been filed in the Commission's rulemaking proceedings listed in this Public Notice and published pursuant to 47 CFR Section 1.429(e). The full text of these documents are available for viewing and copying in Room CY-A257, 445 12th Street, S.W., Washington, D.C. or may be purchased from the Commission's copy contractor, ITS, Inc. (202) 857-3800. Oppositions to these petitions must be filed by July 12, 1999. See Section 1.4(b)(1) of the Commission's rules (47 CFR 1.4(b)(1)). Replies to an opposition must be filed within 10 days after the time for filing oppositions has expired.

*Subject:*

*Computer III* Further Remand Proceedings: Bell Operating Company Provision of Enhanced Services (CC Docket No. 95-20)  
1998 Biennial Regulatory Review—Review of Computer III and ONA Safeguards and Requirements (CC Docket No. 98-10)

*Number of Petitions Filed:* 1.

*Subject:*

Development of Wireless Services Offering Advanced Telecommunications Services (CC Docket No. 98-147).

*Number of Petitions Filed:* 1.

*Subject:*

Implementation of Section 309(j) of the Communications Act—Competitive Bidding for Commercial Broadcast and Instructional Television Fixed Service Licenses (MM Docket No. 97–234)

Reexamination of the Policy Statement on Comparative Broadcast Hearings (GC Docket No. 92–52)

Proposals to Reform the Commission's Comparative Hearing Process to Expedite the Resolution of Cases (GEN. Docket No. 90–264)

*Number of Petitions Filed: 2.*

Federal Communications Commission.

**Magalie Roman Salas,**

*Secretary.*

[FR Doc. 99–16253 Filed 6–24–99; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 6712–01–M

## FEDERAL EMERGENCY MANAGEMENT AGENCY

### Agency Information Collection Activities: Submission for OMB Review; Comment Request

**ACTION:** Notice and request for comments.

**SUMMARY:** The Federal Emergency Management Agency is submitting a request for review and approval of a new information collection. This request is submitted under the emergency processing procedures in Office of Management and Budget (OMB) regulation 5 CFR 1320.13. FEMA is requesting that this information collection be approved by June 18, 1999 for the use through December 1999 due to the hurricane season that began June 1.

FEMA plans to follow this emergency request with a 3-year approval. The request will be processed under OMB's normal clearance procedures in accordance with the provisions of OMB regulation 5 CFR 1320.10. To help us

with the timely processing of the emergency and normal clearance submissions to OMB, FEMA invites the general public to comment on the proposed collection of information. This notice and request for comments is in accordance with the provisions of the Paperwork Reduction Act of 1995 (44 U.S.C. 3506 (c)(2)(A)). It seeks comments concerning the collection of information to be used to establish a settlement on the amount the insured will receive under a National Flood Insurance Program (NFIP) policy for the cost of bringing a structure into compliance with floodplain management requirements. It will also be used to identify whether or not the insured qualifies for coverage under the Increased Cost of Compliance (ICC).

**SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION.** This collection is in accordance with FEMA responsibilities under Section 555 of Public Law 103–325 and is part of all NFIP policies newly issued or renewed on or after June 1, 1997. ICC coverage pays for expenses a property owner must incur above and beyond the cost to repair the physical damage the structure actually sustained from a flooding event to comply with State or local floodplain management ordinances or laws. Such expenses may include the cost of building elevation, floodproofing, relocation, demolition, or any combination. Policyholders with 3-year policies have the option of canceling their flood insurance policies on the anniversary date and obtaining ICC coverage under rewritten policies.

#### *Collection of Information:*

*Title:* Increased Cost of Compliance.

*Type of Information Collection:* New.

*OMB Number:* None.

*Form Numbers.* FEMA Form 81–99, Increased Cost of Compliance Proof of Loss; and FEMA Form 81–42A, Increased Cost of Compliance Adjuster Report.

**Abstract.** The National Flood Insurance Program, (NFIP) authorized by P.L. 90–448 (1968) and expanded by P.L. 93–234 (1973) provides low-cost Federally subsidized flood insurance for existing buildings exposed to flood risk. In return, communities must enact and administer construction safeguards to ensure that new construction in the floodplain will be built to eliminate or minimize future flood damage. In accordance with P.L. 93–234, the purchase of flood insurance is mandatory when Federal or Federally-related financial assistance is being provided for acquisition or construction of buildings located or to be located within FEMA-identified Special Flood Hazard Areas of communities which are participating in the Program. Section 555 of the National Flood Insurance Reform Act of 1994, Title V of the Riegle Community Development and Investment Act of 1994 (Public Law 103–325), requires the NFIP to provide the coverage under the Standard Flood Insurance Policy for the increased costs of complying with the land use and control measures established under section 1361 of the National Flood Insurance Act of 1968, as amended. FEMA Form 81–99, Increased Cost of Compliance (ICC) Proof of Loss will be used by the insured and adjuster to establish a settlement on the amount the insured will receive. FEMA Form 81–42A, Increased Cost of Compliance Adjuster Report will be used by the Adjuster to identify whether or not the insured qualifies for coverage under ICC. These forms are necessary for the continued proper performance of the Agency's functions related to indemnifying policyholders for flood damages to their properties.

**Affected public:** Individuals and households; Business or other for-profit; Not-for-profit institutions, and Farms.

**Estimated Total Annual Burden Hours:** 7,895.

FEMA forms	Number of respondents (A)	Frequency of response (B)	Hours per response (C)	Annual burden hours (A × B × C)
81–99 .....	3,500	On Occasion .....	.25	875
81–42A .....	3,500	On Occasion .....	2	7,000
Total .....	3,500	.....	2.25	7,875

*Estimated Cost.* \$1,750,000.

### Comments

Written comments are solicited to:

- evaluate whether the proposed data collections and reporting requirements are necessary for the proper performance of FEMA's

functions and program activities, including whether the data have practical utility;

- evaluate the accuracy of the agency's estimate of the burden of the proposed data collections and reporting requirements;

- determine an estimated cost of the proposed data collections and reporting requirements to the respondents;

- enhance the quality, utility, and clarity of the information to be collected; and,

- minimize the burden of the collection of information on those who