description of the technical evaluation factors and relative importance of each factor.

Areas of Interest

There are three areas of approaches sought: (1) Reservoir remediation; (2) wellbore cleanup; and (3) surface system optimization. Each of these areas could include subcategories. In the reservoir remediation area, such technologies could include: restimulation, explosive/propellants, extended-reach jetting technology, or identifying additional behind-pipe reserves. The wellbore clean-up area could include such things as perforation cleaning/re-opening, fluid removal, solids removal, or scale/salt removal. Under the surface system optimization area, low-pressure compression facilities, collection system optimization, and water disposal are a few ideas. This list is not all inclusive as there are other technologies which have not been mentioned.

Awards

DOE currently has available \$287,000 for this solicitation with expectations of additional monies in FY2000. Out-year funding shall depend upon availability of future year appropriations. DOE anticipates multiple awards (i.e., between three (3) and six (6)) with a total project cost between \$100,000-\$150,000 and a project duration of eighteen (18) months or less. Under the research and development phase, a minimum 20% non-federal cost-share of the total estimated cost is required for all applications. A fifty (50) percent cost-share of total estimated cost is required for the validation/ demonstration phase of the project. Collaboration between industry and academia is strongly encouraged.

Issued in Pittsburgh, Pennsylvania on June 16, 1999.

Dale A. Siciliano,

Contracting Officer, Acquisition and Assistance Division.

[FR Doc. 99–16080 Filed 6–23–99; 8:45 am] BILLING CODE 6450–01–P

DEPARTMENT OF ENERGY

Federal Energy Regulatory Commission

[Docket No. GT99-37-000]

Boundary Gas, Inc.; Notice of GRI Refund Report

June 18, 1999.

Take notice that on June 16, 1999, Boundary Gas, Inc. (Boundary) tendered for filing a refund report reflecting the flowthrough of the Gas Research Institute (GRI) refund received by Boundary on May 28, 1999.

Boundary states that it has calculated refunds proportionally for its firm customers of non-discounted service based on the GRI surcharges those customers paid during calendar year 1998. Boundary states that it mailed each customer a check for its portion of the refund on or about June 14, 1999.

Boundary also states that copies of this filing were served upon each of Boundary's affected customers and the state commissions of New York, Connecticut, New Jersey, Massachusetts, New Hampshire, and Rhode Island.

Any person desiring to be heard or to protest said filing should file a motion to intervene or a protest with the Federal Energy Regulatory Commission, 888 First Street, NE, Washington, DC 20426, in accordance with Sections 385.214 or 385.211 of the Commission's Rules and Regulations. All such motions or protests must be filed on or before June 25, 1999. Protests will be considered by the Commission in determining the appropriate action to be taken, but will not serve to make protestants parties to the proceedings. Any person wishing to become a party must file a motion to intervene. Copies of this filing are on file with the Commission and are available for public inspection in the Public Reference Room. This filing may be viewed on the web at http://www.ferc.fed.us/online/ rims.htm (call 202-208-2222 for assistance).

David P. Boergers,

Secretary.

[FR Doc. 99–16044 Filed 6–23–99; 8:45 am]

DEPARTMENT OF ENERGY

Federal Energy Regulatory Commission

[Docket Nos. ER99-2730-000 and EL99-67-000]

California Independent System Operator Corporation; Initiation of Proceeding and Refund Effective Date

June 18, 1999.

Take notice that on June 17, 1999, the Commission issued an order in the above-indicated dockets initiating a proceeding in Docket No. EL99–67–000 under section 206 of the Federal Power Act.

The refund effective date in Docket No. EL99-67-000 will be 60 days after

publication of this notice in the **Federal Register**.

David P. Boergers,

Secretary.

[FR Doc. 99–16077 Filed 6–23–99; 8:45 am] BILLING CODE 6717–01–M

DEPARTMENT OF ENERGY

Federal Energy Regulatory Commission

[Docket No. OR99-16-000]

Colonial Pipeline Company; Notice of Petition for Declaratory Order

June 18, 1999.

Take notice that on June 15, 1999, pursuant to Rules 207(a)(2) and 212 of the Commission's Rules of Practice and Procedure, 18 CFR 385.207.212, Colonial Pipeline Company (Colonial) tendered for filing a petition for a declaratory order regarding the proposed rates for transportation service to be provided through a planned new stub line connecting a point near Talladega, Alabama on Colonial's mainline, with Huntsville, Alabama and Murfressboro, Tennessee (just south of Nashville) (hereafter Talladega-to-Murfreesboro line).

Colonial states that with the Commission's approval of Colonial's rate proposal, the new line will be constructed beginning in the first quarter of 2000, with the goal of commencing service by January 1, 2001. Colonial requests expedited consideration of its petition, by November 1, 1999, in order to meet its projected in-service date. Colonial asserts that the new line will permit direct petroleum products pipeline service to Huntsville for the first time, and will significantly expand Colonial's capacity to serve the growing Nashville market, which is presently subject to substantial capacity constraints.

Colonial requests that the Commission issue an order declaring: (1) That the cancellation of Colonial's pre-existing rates to Nashville will not be subject to challenge when the new Talladega-to-Murfreesboro line goes into service; (2) that its indexed rates from Houston and other origins to Birmingham will not be subject to challenge as the result of the connection to the Talladega-to-Murfreesboro line; (3) that the Commission will accept the proposed initial joint rates for service to Huntsville and Nashville as listed in Exhibit C, column 5 to the filing; and (4) that the Talladega-to-Murfreesboro cost of service component of the overall rates to Huntsville and Nashville will not be subject to challenge except as provided

in the Commission's indexing regulations as applied to that particular segment.

Any person desiring to be heard or to protest filing should file a motion to intervene or a protest with the Federal Energy Regulatory Commission, 888 First Street, NE, Washington, DC 20426, in accordance with Sections 385.214 or 385.211 of the Commission's Rules and Regulations. All such motions or protests must be filed on or before July 15, 1999. Protests will be considered by the Commission in determining the appropriate action to be taken, but will not serve to make Protestants parties to the proceedings. Any person wishing to become a party must file a motion to intervene. Copies of this filing are on file with the Commission and are available for public inspection in the Public Reference Room. This filing may be viewed on the web at http:// www.ferc.fed.us/online/rims.htm (call 202-208-2222 for assistance).

David P. Boergers,

Secretary.

[FR Doc. 99–16046 Filed 6–23–99; 8:45 am] BILLING CODE 6717–01–M

DEPARTMENT OF ENERGY

Federal Energy Regulatory Commission

[Docket Nos. ER99-2770-000 and EL99-69-000]

Florida Power & Light Company; Initiation of Proceeding and Refund Effective Date

June 18, 1999.

Take notice that on June 17, 1999, the Commission issued an order in the above-indicated dockets initiating a proceeding in Docket No. EL99–69–000 under section 206 of the Federal Power Act.

The refund effective date in Docket No. EL99–69–000 will be 60 days after publication of this notice in the **Federal Register**.

David P. Boergers,

Secretary.

[FR Doc. 99–16078 Filed 6–23–99; 8:45 am] BILLING CODE 6717–01–M

DEPARTMENT OF ENERGY

Federal Energy Regulatory Commission

[Project No. 1494-171]

Grand River Dam Authority; Notice of Extension of Time

June 18, 1999.

On December 21, 1998, Grand River Dam Authority filed an application for approval of modified marina facilities, in the above-docketed proceeding. The proposed modifications include the relocation of a fuel dock from its approved location, about 845 feet from the northern shoreline to a new (present) location, about 130 feet from the northern shoreline. Further, the permittee proposed to replace four existing boat slips with a building containing a business office, bathhouse, and laundromat. The Pensacola Project is on the Grand River, in Craig. Delaware, Mayes, and Ottawa Counties, Oklahoma.

On June 4, 1999, the Commission issued a Notice of Availability of Draft Environmental Assessment (DEA) for the proposal (64 FR 31215, pub. June 10, 1999). The DEA was issued as a result of a review by the Office of Hydropower Licensing in accordance with the National Environmental Policy Act of 1969 and the Federal Energy Regulatory Commission's regulations, 18 CFR Part 380 (Order No. 486, 52 FR 47910). Copies of the DEA can be obtained by calling the Commission's Public Reference Room at (202) 208-1371. The DEA may also be viewed on the web at http://www.ferc.fed.us/online/rims.htm (please call (202) 208-2222 for assistance). In the DEA, staff concluded that approval of the proposed action, alternative actions, or the no-action alternative would not constitute a major Federal action significantly affecting the quality of the human environment.

Because of the interest shown by the public in this matter, the Commission is extending the time for the filing of comments on the project. By this notice, the time for the filing of comments is hereby extended to and including July 26, 1999. Comments should be addressed to Mr. David P. Boergers, Secretary, Federal Energy Regulatory Commission, 888 First Street, NE., Washington, DC 20426. Please affix Project No. 1494–171 to all comments. For further information, please contact

the project manager, Jon Confrancesco at (202) 219–0079.

David P. Boergers,

Secretary.

[FR Doc. 99–16051 Filed 6–23–99; 8:45 am] BILLING CODE 6717–01–M

DEPARTMENT OF ENERGY

Federal Energy Regulatory Commission

[Docket No. CP99-552-000]

Northern Natural Gas Company; Notice of Application

June 18, 1999.

Take notice that on June 11, 1999, Northern Natural Gas Company (Northern), 1111 South 103rd Street, Omaha, Nebraska 68124–1000, filed in Docket No. CP55-552-000 an application pursuant to Section 7(b) of the Natural Gas Act (NGA) for permission and approval to abandon as nonjurisdictional facilities, by sale to McDay Energy Partners, Ltd. (McDay Energy), certain pipeline facilities, with appurtenances, located in Zavala and Dimmitt Counties, Texas (Zavala Facilities) and certain services rendered thereby. Northern also requests approval, concurrent with the conveyance of the Zavala Facilities, to abandon a rental compressor unit located at the Zavala County #3 Compressor Station, all as more fully set forth in the application on file with the Commission and open to public inspection. This filing may be viewed on the web at http://www.ferc.fed.us/ online/rims.htm $\rm \bar{(}call~202-208-2222~for$ assistance).

Northern states that the Zavala Facilities consist of approximately 26 miles of 12-inch pipeline and appurtenant facilities, and that the facilities will be conveyed to McDay Energy for \$1,700,000. Northern also states that, concurrent with the conveyance of the Zavala Facilities, Northern is proposing to abandon the 1,100 horsepower rental compressor unit located at the Zavala County #3 Compressor Station in-place.

Northern states that it is currently providing only interruptible transportation service on the Zavala Facilities on a month-to-month basis pursuant to Part 284 of the Commission's Regulations and rate schedules in Northern's FERC Gas Tariff, Fifth Revised Volume No. 1. Northern states that all transportation services related to the Zavala Facilities will be terminated by Northern effective on the effective date of the sale of the subject facilities to the McDay Energy.