

provide one with a competitive advantage." *Id.*

As with the rapid developments in technology overtaking other industries, the growth of electronic exchanges and the placement of cross-border futures and option trading systems in the United States raise some of the most basic and fundamental issues facing the Commission and the futures industry. Among the critically important questions that need to be addressed are what role intermediation will play when technological developments make access to global markets far easier than before; what the future relationships will be between and among customers, futures commission merchants, exchanges, technology providers, and regulators; and how to provide a level-playing field and foster fair competition in the context of electronic trading systems between domestic and foreign market participants and between exchanges and FCMs while maintaining the protection of customers and the safety and soundness of larger and faster global markets.

The Commission's July 1998 concept release was by necessity of a general nature, and the resulting comments were not able to address with specificity all of these difficult issues. Only with the release of the proposed rules have all of the interested parties focused fully on all of the specific questions at hand. Moreover, even in just the past few months, the technology and the business relationships among the various constituents in the futures industry have changed substantially, and continue to do so. In any event, the result of all this, as evidenced by the comments received on the proposed rules, and by the wide-ranging positions outlined at the recent Roundtable and GMAC meetings on these issues, is that further consensus among the various affected parties must be sought before rules or guidelines may be finalized in this area. In this environment, the Commission determined to withdraw its proposed rules and defer adoption of final rules or guidelines pending further consideration of these issues by the Commission.

Issued in Washington, D.C. on June 11, 1999 by the Commission.

Jean A. Webb,

Secretary of the Commission.

U.S. Commodity Futures Trading Commission

Three Lafayette Centre, 1155 21st Street, NW, Washington, DC 20581

June 2, 1999.

Order of the Commission

It is hereby ordered that the Commodity Futures Trading Commission shall, effective immediately:

Lift the moratorium and instruct the staff to begin immediately processing no-action requests from foreign boards of trade seeking to place trading terminals in the United States, and to issue responses where appropriate, pursuant to the general guidelines included in the Eurex (DTB) no-action process, or other guidelines established by the Commission, to be reviewed and applied as appropriate on a case-by-case basis;

Commit to simultaneously initiate processes to address the comparative regulatory levels between U.S. and foreign electronic trading systems so as not to provide one with a competitive advantage; and

Withdraw the proposed rules regarding access to automated boards of trade and proceed expeditiously toward adoption of rules and/or guidelines.

Dated: June 2, 1999.

Commissioner Barbara P. Holum.

Dated: June 2, 1999.

Commissioner David D. Spears.

Dated: June 2, 1999.

Commissioner James E. Newsome.

[FR Doc. 99-15441 Filed 6-17-99; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 6351-01-M

DEPARTMENT OF HEALTH AND HUMAN SERVICES

Food and Drug Administration

21 CFR Part 111

[Docket No. 96N-0417]

Dietary Supplements; Center for Food Safety and Applied Nutrition; Public Meeting

AGENCY: Food and Drug Administration, HHS.

ACTION: Notice of public meeting.

SUMMARY: The Food and Drug Administration (FDA) is announcing a public meeting to solicit comments that will assist the Center for Food Safety and Applied Nutrition (CFSAN) to understand the economic impact that any proposal to establish current good manufacturing practices (CGMP's) regulations for dietary supplements may

have on small businesses in the dietary supplement industry. This meeting is intended to give interested persons, including small businesses, an opportunity to comment on the economic impact that such a proposal may have on small businesses.

DATES: The public meeting will be held on Monday, July 12, 1999, from 7 p.m. to 9 p.m. You must register by July 7, 1999. You may submit written comments until August 12, 1999.

ADDRESSES: The public meeting will be held at the Flamingo Hotel, The Carson City II Room, 3555 Las Vegas Blvd., Las Vegas, NV. Submit written comments to the Dockets Management Branch (HFA-305), Docket No. 96N-0417, Food and Drug Administration, 5630 Fishers Lane, rm. 1061, Rockville, MD 20852. Two copies of any comments are to be submitted, except that individuals may submit one copy.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT:

Peter J. Vardon, Center for Food Safety and Applied Nutrition (HFS-726), Food and Drug Administration, 330 C St. SW., Washington, DC 20204, 202-205-5329, FAX 202-260-0794, or e-mail pvardon@bangate.fda.gov.

If you would like to attend the public meeting, you should register by July 7, 1999, by faxing or e-mailing your name, title, firm name, address, and telephone number to Peter Vardon (address above).

There is no registration fee for this public meeting, but early registration is suggested because space may be limited.

SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION: This public meeting will provide an opportunity for an open discussion of the manufacturing practices of small businesses in the dietary supplement industry. The meeting is intended to be one of a series intended to give all interested parties an opportunity to comment on the economic effects of a possible proposed regulation on CGMP's in the dietary supplement industry. This public meeting is also intended to fulfill part of the outreach requirement of Small Business Regulatory Enforcement Fairness Act of 1996. The agenda will include topics regarding the small business entities' manufacturing practices and standard operating procedures for: (1) Personnel, (2) buildings and facilities, (3) equipment, (4) lab operations, (5) production and process controls, and (6) warehousing, distribution and post-distribution of raw, intermediate and final products. The meeting will also include a discussion about the verification of the identity, purity, and composition of dietary supplements and dietary supplement ingredients.

FDA encourages individuals or firms with relevant data or information to present such information at the meeting or in written comments to the record.

You may request a transcript of the public meeting from the Freedom of Information Office (HFI-35), Food and Drug Administration, 5600 Fishers Lane, rm. 12A-16, Rockville, MD 20857, approximately 15 working days after the meeting. The transcript of the public meeting and submitted comments will be available for public examination at the Dockets Management Branch (address above) between 9 a.m. and 4 p.m., Monday through Friday.

Dated: June 11, 1999.

Margaret M. Dotzel,

Acting Associate Commissioner for Policy Coordination.

[FR Doc. 99-15475 Filed 6-17-99; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 4160-01-F

ENVIRONMENTAL PROTECTION AGENCY

40 CFR Part 52

[IL183-1b; FRL-6360-2]

Approval and Promulgation of State Implementation Plan; Illinois

AGENCY: United States Environmental Protection Agency (USEPA).

ACTION: Proposed rule.

SUMMARY: USEPA is proposing to approve a site specific revision to the Illinois State Implementation Plan (SIP) for volatile organic materials (VOM). This revision is an exemption from the otherwise applicable SIP requirements for W.R. Grace, a manufacturer of container sealants, lubricant fluids, and concrete additives at 6050 West 51st Street, Chicago, Cook County, Illinois. In the final rules section of this **Federal Register**, the USEPA is approving the State's request as a direct final rule without prior proposal because USEPA views this action as noncontroversial and anticipates no adverse comments. A detailed rationale for approving the State's request is set forth in the direct final rule. The direct final rule will become effective without further notice unless the Agency receives relevant adverse written comment on this action. Should the Agency receive such comment, it will publish a final rule informing the public that the direct final rule will not take effect and such public comment received will be addressed in a subsequent final rule based on this proposed rule. If no adverse written comments are received, the direct final rule will take effect on the date stated

in that document and no further activity will be taken on this proposed rule. USEPA does not plan to institute a second comment period on this action. Any parties interested in commenting on this action should do so at this time.

DATES: Written comments must be received on or before July 19, 1999.

ADDRESSES: Written comments should be mailed to: J. Elmer Bortzer, Chief, Regulation Development Section, Air Programs Branch (AR-18J), Environmental Protection Agency, Region 5, 77 West Jackson Boulevard, Chicago, Illinois 60604.

Copies of the State submittal are available for inspection at: Regulation Development Section, Air Programs Branch (AR-18J), Environmental Protection Agency, Region 5, 77 West Jackson Boulevard, Chicago, Illinois 60604.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT: Fayette Bright, Environmental Protection Specialist, Regulation Development Section, Air Programs Branch (AR-18J), Environmental Protection Agency, Region 5, 77 West Jackson Boulevard, Chicago, Illinois 60604, (312) 886-6069.

SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION: For additional information see the direct final rule published in the final rules section of this **Federal Register**.

Dated: June 7, 1999.

Francis X. Lyons,

Regional Administrator, Region 5.

[FR Doc. 99-15532 Filed 6-17-99; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 6560-50-P

FEDERAL EMERGENCY MANAGEMENT AGENCY

44 CFR Part 67

[Docket No. FEMA-7287]

Proposed Flood Elevation Determinations

AGENCY: Federal Emergency Management Agency, FEMA.

ACTION: Proposed rule.

SUMMARY: Technical information or comments are requested on the proposed base (1% annual chance) flood elevations and proposed base flood elevation modifications for the communities listed below. The base flood elevations are the basis for the floodplain management measures that the community is required either to adopt or to show evidence of being already in effect in order to qualify or remain qualified for participation in the National Flood Insurance Program (NFIP).

DATES: The comment period is ninety (90) days following the second publication of this proposed rule in a newspaper of local circulation in each community.

ADDRESSES: The proposed base flood elevations for each community are available for inspection at the office of the Chief Executive Officer of each community. The respective addresses are listed in the following table.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT: Matthew B. Miller, P.E., Chief, Hazards Study Branch, Mitigation Directorate, Federal Emergency Management Agency, 500 C Street SW., Washington, DC 20472, (202) 646-3461, or (email) matt.miller@fema.gov.

SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION: The Federal Emergency Management Agency (FEMA or Agency) proposes to make determinations of base flood elevations and modified base flood elevations for each community listed below, in accordance with section 110 of the Flood Disaster Protection Act of 1973, 42 U.S.C. 4104, and 44 CFR 67.4(a).

These proposed base flood and modified base flood elevations, together with the floodplain management criteria required by 44 CFR 60.3, are the minimum that are required. They should not be construed to mean that the community must change any existing ordinances that are more stringent in their floodplain management requirements. The community may at any time enact stricter requirements of its own, or pursuant to policies established by other Federal, state or regional entities. These proposed elevations are used to meet the floodplain management requirements of the NFIP and are also used to calculate the appropriate flood insurance premium rates for new buildings built after these elevations are made final, and for the contents in these buildings.

National Environmental Policy Act

This proposed rule is categorically excluded from the requirements of 44 CFR Part 10, Environmental Consideration. No environmental impact assessment has been prepared.

Regulatory Flexibility Act

The Associate Director, Mitigation Directorate, certifies that this proposed rule is exempt from the requirements of the Regulatory Flexibility Act because proposed or modified base flood elevations are required by the Flood Disaster Protection Act of 1973, 42 U.S.C. 4104, and are required to establish and maintain community eligibility in the National Flood