

assist the Forest Service in identifying and considering issues, comments should be as specific to this proposal as possible. Reviewers may wish to refer to the Council on Environmental Quality Regulations for implementing the procedural provisions of the National Environmental Policy Act at 40 CFR 1503.3 in addressing these points.

I am the responsible official for this environmental impact statement. My address is Gallatin National Forest, P.O. Box 130, Federal Building, Bozeman, MT 59771.

Dated: June 7, 1999.

David P. Garber,

Forest Supervisor.

[FR Doc. 99-15423 Filed 6-16-99; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 3410-11-M

DEPARTMENT OF AGRICULTURE

Forest Service

Klamath Provincial Advisory Committee

AGENCY: Forest Service, USDA.

ACTION: Notice of meeting.

SUMMARY: The Klamath Provincial Advisory Committee (PAC) will meet on July 7-9, 1999, in Weaverville, California. On Wednesday, July 7, the PAC will meet at the Weaverville Ranger District Office for a field trip from 9:00 A.M. to 5:00 P.M. Non-PAC members will need to arrange their own transportation. On Thursday, July 8, the meeting will be at the Weaverville Fire Hall, 100 Bremer, starting at 9:00 A.M. and adjourn at 5:00 P.M. On Friday, July 9, the meeting again will be at the Fire Hall and will start at 8:00 A.M. and adjourn at 12:30 P.M. Agenda items for the meeting include: (1) Review of the Elk Gulch II Field Trip; (2) Adaptive Management Area Panel Discussion; (3) Trinity River Water Issues Panel Discussion; (4) Subcommittee Reports; and (5) Public Comment Periods. All PAC meeting are open to the public. Interested citizens are encouraged to attend.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT: Connie Hendryx, USDA, Klamath National Forest, 1312 Fairlane Road, Yreka, California 96097; telephone 530-841-4468 (voice), TDD 530-841-4573.

Dated: June 9, 1999.

Nancy J. Gibson,

Administrative Officer.

[FR Doc. 99-15425 Filed 6-16-99; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 3410-11-M

DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE

Census Bureau

Census 2000 Evaluation of the Facility Questionnaire

ACTION: Proposed collection; Comment request.

SUMMARY: The Department of Commerce, as part of its continuing effort to reduce paperwork and respondent burden, invites the general public and other Federal agencies to take this opportunity to comment on proposed and/or continuing information collections, as required by the Paperwork Reduction Act of 1995, Public Law 104-13 (44 U.S.C. 3506(c)(2)(A)).

DATES: Written comments must be submitted on or before August 16, 1999.

ADDRESSES: Direct all written comments to Linda Engelmeier, Departmental Forms Clearance Officer, Department of Commerce, Room 5033, 14th and Constitution Avenue, NW, Washington, DC 20230.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION: Requests for additional information or copies of the information collection instruments and instructions should be directed to Zakiya Sackor, Bureau of the Census, Mail Stop 9200, Washington, DC 20233; (301) 457-8084.

SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION:

I. Abstract

The Census Bureau must provide everyone in the United States and Outlying Areas the opportunity to be counted in Census 2000 as well as making sure that persons are counted in the appropriate places. The Census 2000 Special Place Facility Questionnaire (FQ) is a Computer Assisted Telephone Interview (CATI) operation in which updated information on group quarters (GQs) and housing units is collected and GQ codes are assigned; cases that cannot be resolved by CATI are sent to the field for personal visit (PV) interviews. The GQ code is a critical component to correctly tabulate the data for different types of GQs. This operation began in October 1998 and will conclude in July 1999.

To evaluate this operation, the Census Bureau is proposing to conduct a follow-up operation to the Census 2000 Special Place Facility Questionnaire. The types of information collected during the interviews will concern the types of services the facility provides, the number of persons that reside within the facility, and what types of GQs are associated with the facility. All information collected will be pertinent

to verifying the accuracy of the GQ code.

A personal visit reinterview of 1,000 cases that were initially CATI or PV will be conducted. These 1,000 cases will consist of two strata, large and complex special places with a sample size of 750, (i.e. universities, hospitals) and others with a sample size of 250, (i.e. nursing homes). We will use these data to determine: (1) How well the facility questionnaire performed by assessing the frequency with which the group quarters classification code was different between the production interview and reinterview, (2) whether or not there was a significant difference in the occurrence of group quarters classification code change by special place type, and (3) whether or not CATI versus PV increased data quality by computing item nonresponse rates. The evaluation interviews will be conducted in six regions during January 2000.

II. Method of Collection

The reinterview will be conducted via personal visits administered by specially trained interviewers using a paper questionnaire that has been modified from the production questionnaire. The design of the reinterview questionnaire is based on the results of cognitive tests and research conducted prior to the Census 2000 Dress Rehearsal.

III. Data

OMB Number: Not available.

Form Number: Not available.

Type of Review: Regular Submission.

Affected Public: Individuals, businesses or other for-profit organizations, non-profit institutions and small businesses or organizations.

Estimated Number of Respondents: 1,000.

Estimated Time per Response: 15 minutes.

Estimated Total Annual Burden Hours: 250.

Estimated Total Annual Cost: There is no cost to the respondent other than the time to provide the information requested.

Respondent's Obligation: Voluntary.

Legal Authority: Title 13, United States Code, Sections 141 and 193.

IV. Request for Comments

Comments are invited on: (a) Whether the proposed collection of information is necessary for the proper performance of the functions of the agency, including whether the information shall have a practical utility; (b) the accuracy of the agency's estimate of the burden (including hours and cost) of the proposed collection of information;

(c) ways to enhance the quality, utility, and clarity of the information to be collected; and (d) ways to minimize the burden of the collection of information on respondents; including through the use of automated collection techniques or other forms of information technology.

Comments submitted in response to this notice will be summarized and/or included in the request for OMB approval of this information collection; they also will become a matter of public record.

Dated: June 14, 1999.

Madeleine Clayton,

Management Analyst, Office of the Chief Information Officer

[FR Doc. 99-15467 Filed 6-16-99; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 3510-07-P

DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE

International Trade Administration

[A-583-832]

Notice of Amended Preliminary Determination of Sales at Less Than Fair Value: Dynamic Random Access Memory Semiconductors of One Megabit and Above From Taiwan

AGENCY: Import Administration, International Trade Administration, Department of Commerce.

SUMMARY: On May 28, 1999, the Department of Commerce ("the Department") published in the **Federal Register** the preliminary determination of its antidumping duty investigation of dynamic random access memory semiconductors of one megabit and above ("DRAMs") from Taiwan. This investigation covers four respondents: Etron Technology, Inc. ("Etron"), Nan Ya Technology Corporation ("Nanya"), Vanguard International Semiconductor Corp. ("Vanguard"), and Mosel-Vitellic, Inc. ("MVI").

On June 1, 1999, Vanguard submitted an allegation of ministerial errors with respect to the preliminary determination. Because these are ministerial errors which rise to the level of a "significant error" pursuant to 19 CFR 351.224(e) and (g), we are amending our preliminary determination.

EFFECTIVE DATE: June 17, 1999.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT: Thomas Futtner at (202) 482-3814 or Ronald Trentham at (202) 482-6320, Group II, Office 4, Import Administration, International Trade Administration, U.S. Department of Commerce, 14th Street and Constitution Avenue, NW, Washington, DC 20230.

The Applicable Statute

Unless otherwise indicated, all citations to the Tariff Act of 1930, as amended ("the Act"), are references to the provisions as of January 1, 1995, the effective date of the Uruguay Round Agreements Act ("URAA"). In addition, unless otherwise indicated, all citations to the Department's regulations are to the regulations at 19 CFR part 351 (April 1998).

Significant Ministerial Error

We are amending the preliminary determination of sales at less than fair value for DRAMs from Taiwan to reflect the correction of a significant ministerial error made in the margin calculation regarding Vanguard in that determination, pursuant to 19 CFR 351.224(g)(1) and (g)(2). A significant ministerial error is defined as a correction which, singly or in combination with other errors, would result in (1) a change of at least 5 absolute percentage points in, but not less than 25 percent of, the weighted-average dumping margin calculated in the original (erroneous) preliminary determination; or (2) a difference between a weighted-average dumping margin of zero or de minimis and a weighted-average dumping margin of greater than de minimis or vice versa. We are publishing this amendment to the preliminary determination pursuant to 19 CFR 351.224(e).

Scope of Investigation

The products covered by this investigation are DRAMs of one megabit or above from Taiwan, whether assembled or unassembled. Assembled DRAMs include all package types. Unassembled DRAMs include processed wafers, uncut die and cut die. Processed wafers fabricated in Taiwan, but packaged or assembled into finished semiconductors in a third country, are included in the scope. Wafers fabricated in a third country and assembled or packaged in Taiwan are not included in the scope.

The scope of this investigation includes memory modules. A memory module is a collection of DRAMs, the sole function of which is memory. Modules include single in-line processing modules ("SIPs"), single in-line memory modules ("SIMMs"), dual in-line memory modules ("DIMMs"), memory cards or other collections of DRAMs whether mounted or unmounted on a circuit board. Modules that contain other parts that are needed to support the function of memory are covered. Only those modules that contain additional items that alter the

function of the module to something other than memory, such as video graphics adapter ("VGA") boards and cards, are not included in the scope. Modules containing DRAMs made from wafers fabricated in Taiwan, but either assembled or packaged into finished semiconductors in a third country, are also included in the scope.

The scope includes, but is not limited to, video RAM ("VRAM"), Windows RAM ("WRAM"), synchronous graphics RAM ("SGRAM"), as well as various types of DRAMs, including fast page-mode ("FPM"), extended data-out ("EDO"), burst extended data-out ("BEDO"), synchronous dynamic RAM ("SDRAMs"), and "Rambus" DRAMs ("RDRAMs"). The scope of this investigation also includes any future density, packaging or assembling of DRAMs. The scope of this investigation does not include DRAMs or memory modules that are reimported for repair or replacement.

The DRAMs subject to this investigation are currently classifiable under subheadings 8542.13.80.05 and 8542.13.80.24 through 8542.13.80.34 of the Harmonized Tariff Schedule of the United States ("HTSUS"). Also included in the scope are Taiwanese DRAMs modules, described above, entered into the United States under subheading 8473.30.10 through 8473.30.90 of the HTSUS or possibly other HTSUS numbers. Although the HTSUS subheadings are provided for convenience and customs purposes, the written description of the scope of this investigation is dispositive.

Ministerial Error Allegations

On June 1, 1999, Vanguard submitted a timely allegation that the Department made ministerial errors which resulted in a miscalculation of the weighted-average constructed export prices ("CEPs") for Vanguard sales and a mistake in the total number of megabits that should be used in establishing the per-megabit cash deposit rate for Vanguard's DRAMs contained in mixed memory modules. See Memorandum on Application of a Per Megabit Cash Deposit Rate on Memory Modules, dated May 21, 1999.

We agree with Vanguard that the Department inadvertently miscalculated the weighted-average CEPs for Vanguard and miscalculated the total number of megabits that should be used in establishing the per-megabit cash deposit for Vanguard. See Clerical Error Memorandum, dated June 10, 1999. Because the effect of these ministerial errors on Vanguard's margins is significant, as defined in 19 CFR 351.224(g)(1), we are amending our