aspect of the ATP to the extent that such data are available.

Programmatic and test specific alternatives for this proposed testing that were considered include, but are not necessarily limited to: (1) Alternative daily time periods for typical testing activities; (2) modification of the testing procedures to reduce noise levels; (3) tests at a location other than Ames Research Center; and (4) elimination of the proposed ATP—"no action." As a result of preliminary analysis, the FEIS considers four alternatives in detail, including the "no action" alternative. The three action alternatives considered in detail would create the same maximum noise levels but differ primarily in the number of testing hours permitted annually and the daily time periods for different testing noise levels.

The FEIS considers the potential environmental impacts associated with the proposed ATP. Particular emphasis is placed on potentially incurred noise impacts and air emissions associated with the testing.

The FEIS is a program-level Environmental Impact Statement and thus, analyzes the environmental impacts of implementing a proposed new operational protocol for aerodynamics testing at ARC in the NFAC wind tunnel complex. The ATP would be made up of smaller, specific, limited duration and scope projects, such as the X-32/X-35 JSF testing project. If the ATP were adopted, any future testing project would be required to comply with the parameters of the ATP. NASA would be required to determine whether each proposed aerodynamics testing project is consistent with the ATP. If such a proposed aerodynamics testing project does not comply the ATP, assuming that ATP were adopted, additional environmental analysis and NEPA documentation would be prepared, as appropriate, before any final decision is made.

Comments on the Draft Environmental Impact Statement (DEIS) were solicited from Federal, State, and local agencies, organizations, and the general public through: (a) notices published in the Federal Register-NASA notice on June 28, 1995, (60 FR 33438) and U.S. Environmental Protection Agency notice on June 30, 1995, (60 FR 34246), (b) notices in the San Jose Mercury News and the La Oferta Review, (c) direct mailing of a fact sheet to persons within the proposed noise contours, and (d) a series of public participation meetings. A total of 13 written and 32 oral comments (arising during public

meetings on the DEIS), primarily related to noise, were provided on the DEIS. These comments have been addressed in the FEIS.

Jeffrey E. Sutton,

Associate Administrator for Management Systems.

[FR Doc. 99–14875 Filed 6–10–99; 8:45 am] BILLING CODE 7510–01–P

NATIONAL AERONAUTICS AND SPACE ADMINISTRATION

[Notice 99-076]

Notice of Prospective Patent License

AGENCY: National Aeronautics and Space Administration. **ACTION:** Notice of prospective patent license.

SUMMARY: NASA hereby gives notice that Aervoe-Pacific Company, of Gardnerville, Nevada, has applied for a partially exclusive license to practice the invention described and claimed in United States Patent No. 5,772,912, entitled "Environmentally Friendly Anti-Icing Fluid," which is assigned to the United States of America as represented by the Administrator of the National Aeronautics and Space Administration. Written objections to the prospective grant of a license should be sent to NASA Ames Research Center.

DATES: Responses to this notice must be received by August 10, 1999.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT: Patent Counsel, NASA Ames Research Center, Mail Stop 202A–3, Moffett Field, CA 94035–1000, telephone (650) 604–5104.

Dated: June 2, 1999.

Edward A. Frankle, General Counsel.

[FR Doc. 99–14876 Filed 6–10–99; 8:45 am] BILLING CODE 7510–01–P

NATIONAL AERONAUTICS AND SPACE ADMINISTRATION

[Notice 99-078]

Notice of Prospective Patent License

AGENCY: National Aeronautics and Space Administration. **ACTION:** Notice of prospective patent license.

SUMMARY: NASA hereby gives notice that AVIR, L.L.C. of Charlottesville, VA, 22906, has applied for a partially exclusive license, limited to the field of use defined as "remote sensing of chemical weapons agents and drug manufacturing," to practice the inventions described and claimed in: U.S. Patent No. 5,128,797 entitled "NON-MECHANICAL OPTICAL PATH SWITCHING AND ITS APPLICATION TO DUAL BEAM SPETROSCOPY INCLUDING GAS FILTER CORRELATION RADIOMETER;" NASA Case No. LAR-15361-1-CU entitled "MULTI-GAS SENSOR;" and NASA Case No. LAR-15818-1-CU entitled "OPTICAL PATH SWITCHING BASED DIFFERENTIAL ABSORPTION RADIOMETRY FOR SUBSTANCE DETECTION;" all of which are assigned to the United States of America as represented by the Administrator of the National Aeronautics and Space Administration. Written objections to the prospective grant of a license should be sent to Ms. Robin W. Edwards, Patent Attorney, NASA Langley Research Center.

DATES: Responses to this notice must be received by August 10, 1999.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATON CONTACT: Ms. Robin W. Edwards, Patent Attorney, NASA Langley Research Center, Mail Code 212, Hampton, VA, 23681–2199; telephone 757–864–3230.

Dated: June 1, 1999.

Edward A. Frankle,

General Counsel. [FR Doc. 99–14878 Filed 6–10–99; 8:45 am] BILLING CODE 7510–01–P

NATIONAL AERONAUTICS AND SPACE ADMINISTRATION

[Notice 99-077]

Notice of Prospective Patent License

AGENCY: National Aeronautics and Space Administration. ACTION: Notice of prospective patent license.

SUMMARY: NASA hereby gives notice that Midwest Industrial Supply, Inc., of Canton, Ohio, has applied for a partially exclusive license to practice the invention described and claimed in United States Patent No. 5,772,912, entitled "Environmentally Friendly Anti-Icing Fluid," which is assigned to the United States of America as represented by the Administrator of the National Aeronautics and Space Administration. Written objections to the prospective grant of a license should be sent to NASA Ames Research Center. DATES: Responses to this notice must be received by August 10, 1999.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT: Patent Counsel, NASA Ames Research Center, Mail Stop 202A–3, Moffett Field, CA 94035–1000, telephone (650) 604–5104. Dated: June 2, 1999. **Edward A. Frankle,** *General Counsel.* [FR Doc. 99–14877 Filed 6–10–99; 8:45 am] BILLING CODE 7510–01–P

NATIONAL AERONAUTICS AND SPACE ADMINISTRATION

[Notice 99-079]

Notice of Prospective Patent License

AGENCY: National Aeronautics and Space Administration.

ACTION: Notice of prospective patent license.

SUMMARY: NASA hereby gives notice that SPX Corporation, of Muskegon, MI 49443, has applied for a partially exclusive license, limited to the field of use defined as "motor vehicle exhaust emission monitoring," to practice the inventions described and claimed in: U.S. Patent No. 5,128,797 entitled "NON-MECHANICAL OPTICAL PATH SWITCHING AND ITS APPLICATION TO DUAL BEAM SPETROSCOPY INCLUDING GAS FILTER CORRELATION RADIOMETER;" NASA Case No. LAR-15361-1-CU entitled "MULTI-GAS SENSOR;" and NASA Case No. LAR-15818-1-CU entitled "OPTICAL PATH SWITCHING BASED DIFFERENTIAL ABSORPTION RADIOMETRY FOR SUBSTANCE DETECTION;" all of which are assigned to the United States of America as represented by the Administrator of the National Aeronautics and Space Administration. Written objections to the prospective grant of a license should be sent to the NASA Langley Research Center

DATES: Responses to this notice must be received by August 10, 1999.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATON CONTACT: Kurt G. Hammerle, Patent Attorney, NASA Langley Research Center, Mail Code 212, Hampton, VA, 23681–0001; telephone 757–864–2470; facsimile 757–864–9190.

Dated: June 1, 1999.

Edward A. Frankle,

General Counsel.

[FR Doc. 99–14879 Filed 6–10–99; 8:45 am] BILLING CODE 7510–01–P

NUCLEAR REGULATORY COMMISSION

[Docket No. 50-483]

Union Electric Company; Callaway Plant; Issuance of Amendment to Facility Operating License

The United States Nuclear Regulatory Commission (Commission) has issued Amendment No. 133 to Facility Operating License No. NPF–30 issued to Union Electric Company (the licensee) for operation of the Callaway Plant, Unit 1 located in Callaway County, Missouri.

The amendment is effective as of the date of issuance and shall be implemented by April 30, 2000. The implementation of the amendment includes the two license conditions which are being added to Appendix C of the license as part of the amendment.

The amendment replaces, in its entirety, the current Technical Specifications (TS) with a set of improved TS based on NUREG-1431, "Standard Technical Specifications, Westinghouse Plants," Revision 1, dated April 1995, including all approved changes to the standard TS; the Commission's Final Policy Statement, "NRC Final Policy Statement on Technical Specifications Improvements for Nuclear Power Reactors," published on July 22, 1993 (58 FR 39132); and 10 CFR 50.36, "Technical Specifications," as amended July 19, 1995 (60 FR 36953). In addition, the amendment adds two license conditions to Appendix C of the operating license that require (1) the relocation of current TS requirements into licensee-controlled documents, and (2) the first performance of new and revised surveillance requirements for the improved TS to be related to the implementation date for the improved TS. The implementation of the amendment and the license conditions will be completed by April 30, 2000, as stated in the amendment.

The application for the amendment, as supplemented, complies with the standards and requirements of the Atomic Energy Act of 1954, as amended (the Act), and the Commission's rules and regulations. The Commission has made appropriate findings as required by the Act and the Commission's rules and regulations in 10 CFR Chapter I.

Notice of Consideration of Issuance of Amendment and Opportunity for Hearing in connection with this action was published in the **Federal Register** on April 27, 1999 (64 FR 22658). No request for a hearing or petition for leave to intervene was filed following this notice.

The Commission has prepared an Environmental Assessment and has

determined not to prepare an environmental impact statement related to the action to convert the current TS to the improved TS. Based on the Environmental Assessment, the Commission has concluded that the issuance of the amendment will not have a significant effect on the quality of the human environment beyond that described in the Final Environmental Statement (FES) related to the operation of Callaway Plant, Unit 1 in NUREG-0813 dated January 1982. The Environmental Assessment was published in the Federal Register on May 26, 1999 (64 FR 28535).

For CN 9-01-LG in CTS 3/4.4 (and associated CN 3-13-M in CTS 6.0), the licensee has proposed to relocate the pressure/temperature (P/T) limits and cold overpressure mitigation system (COMS) limits from the CTS to the pressure temperature limits report (PTLR) and proposed to reference WCAP-14040-NP-A, Revision 1, "Methodology Used to Develop Cold **Overpressure Mitigating System** Setpoints and RCS Heatup and Cooldown Curves," as the methodology for calculating the P/T and COMS limits. The staff approved the use of this WCAP report in its generic SE dated October 16, 1995. The licensee, however, has stated that it will operate Callaway, Unit 1 for the near future with the existing approved P/T and COMS limits in the CTS. Therefore, the limits addressed in the PTLR are the limits that the staff has previously reviewed and approved in Amendment 124 dated April 2, 1998. The amendment approved P/T limit curves that are valid for 20 effective full power years. The licensee will use the methodology in WCAP-14040-NP-A to calculate the future P/T and COMS limits before the time when the current values given in the amendment become invalid. The staff will review the licensee's future plant-specific application of the PTLR methodology to allow the licensee's future use of the PTLR methodology to calculate new P/T and COMS limits without prior staff approval. In the associated CN 3-13-M in CTS 6.0, the licensee proposed to add a reference to Amendment 124 to the PTLR in ITS 5.6.6. The amendment approved the limits that are listed in the PTLR and addressed the methodology used by licensee to calculate the limits. The staff believes that the staff's approval of the P/T and COMS limits in Amendment 124 was not an approval for the licensee to make future changes to these limits using the methodology described in the amendment. Listing Amendment 124 in ITS 5.6.6 may imply this is true and the