

SUMMARY: The Commission hereby gives notice of the scheduling of expedited reviews pursuant to section 751(c)(3) of the Tariff Act of 1930 (19 U.S.C. § 1675(c)(3)) (the Act) to determine whether revocation of the antidumping duty orders on solid urea from Armenia, Belarus, Estonia, Lithuania, Romania, Russia, Tajikistan, Turkmenistan, Ukraine, and Uzbekistan would be likely to lead to continuation or recurrence of material injury within a reasonably foreseeable time. For further information concerning the conduct of these reviews and rules of general application, consult the Commission's Rules of Practice and Procedure, part 201, subparts A through E (19 CFR part 201), and part 207, subparts A, D, E, and F (19 CFR part 207). Recent amendments to the Rules of Practice and Procedure pertinent to five-year reviews, including the text of subpart F of part 207, are published at 63 FR 30599, June 5, 1998, and may be downloaded from the Commission's World Wide Web site at <http://www.usitc.gov/rules.htm>.

EFFECTIVE DATE: June 3, 1999.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT: Bonnie Noreen (202-205-3167), Office of Investigations, U.S. International Trade Commission, 500 E Street SW, Washington, DC 20436. Hearing-impaired persons can obtain information on this matter by contacting the Commission's TDD terminal on 202-205-1810. Persons with mobility impairments who will need special assistance in gaining access to the Commission should contact the Office of the Secretary at 202-205-2000. General information concerning the Commission may also be obtained by accessing its internet server (<http://www.usitc.gov>).

SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION:

Background.—On June 3, 1999, the Commission determined that the domestic interested party group responses to its notice of institution (64 FR 10020, March 1, 1999) of the subject five-year reviews were adequate and that the respondent interested party group responses were inadequate. The Commission did not find any other circumstances that would warrant conducting full reviews.² Accordingly, the Commission determined that it would conduct expedited reviews pursuant to section 751(c)(3) of the Act.³

Staff report.—A staff report containing information concerning the subject matter of the reviews will be placed in the nonpublic record on August 2, 1999, and made available to persons on the Administrative Protective Order service list for these reviews. A public version will be issued thereafter, pursuant to section 207.62(d)(4) of the Commission's rules.

Written submissions.—As provided in section 207.62(d) of the Commission's rules, interested parties that are parties to the reviews and that have provided individually adequate responses to the notice of institution,⁴ and any party other than an interested party to the reviews may file written comments with the Secretary on what determination the Commission should reach in the reviews. Comments are due on or before August 5, 1999, and may not contain new factual information. Any person that is neither a party to the five-year reviews nor an interested party may submit a brief written statement (which shall not contain any new factual information) pertinent to the reviews by August 5, 1999. If comments contain business proprietary information (BPI), they must conform with the requirements of sections 201.6, 207.3, and 207.7 of the Commission's rules. The Commission's rules do not authorize filing of submissions with the Secretary by facsimile or electronic means.

In accordance with sections 201.16(c) and 207.3 of the rules, each document filed by a party to the reviews must be served on all other parties to the reviews (as identified by either the public or BPI service list), and a certificate of service must be timely filed. The Secretary will not accept a document for filing without a certificate of service.

Determination.—The Commission has determined to exercise its authority to extend the reviews period by up to 90 days pursuant to 19 U.S.C. § 1675(c)(5)(B).

Authority: These reviews are being conducted under authority of title VII of the Tariff Act of 1930; this notice is published pursuant to section 207.62 of the Commission's rules.

Issued: June 7, 1999.

By order of the Commission.

Donna R. Koehnke,

Secretary.

[FR Doc. 99-14909 Filed 6-10-99; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 7020-02-P

DEPARTMENT OF JUSTICE

Notice of Lodging of Consent Decree Under the Comprehensive Environmental Response Compensation and Liability Act 42 U.S.C. 9601, et seq.

Notice is hereby given that on May 27, 1999, a proposed Consent Decree in *United States of America et al. v. Braselmann Corporation, et al.*, Civil Action No. 96-0862 (consolidated with No. 96-0872) was lodged in the United States District Court for the Eastern District of Louisiana. The United States and the State of Louisiana filed these actions under section 107 of the Comprehensive Environmental Response, Compensation, and Liability Act of 1980, 42 U.S.C. 9607, as amended ("CERCLA") for reimbursement of response costs incurred and to be incurred by the United States for response actions related to the release or threatened release of hazardous substances at the Bayou Bonfouca Superfund Site ("Site") in the City of Slidell, Louisiana.

Under the proposed Consent Decree, the Alabama Great Southern Railroad Company ("Alabama Great Southern") has agreed to pay the U.S. EPA Hazardous Substance Superfund \$11,700,000 in reimbursement of past and potential future response costs at the Site. The United States entered into a prior Consent Decree with Kerr-McGee Chemical Corporation and Kerr-McGee Corporation in which the those defendants agreed to pay \$20,000,000 to resolve their liability at the Site. The United States also entered into a previous Consent Decree with Fleming American Investment Trust, Ltd. under which that defendant paid \$3,600,000 to resolve its responsibility at the Site. The United States incurred approximately \$125,000,000 in cleaning up the Site.

The Department of Justice will receive, for a period of thirty (30) days from the date of this publication, comments relating to the proposed Consent Decree. Comments should be addressed to the Assistant Attorney General for the Environment and Natural Resources Division, Department of Justice, Washington, DC 20530, and should refer to *United States of America et al. v. Braselmann Corporation et al.*, DOJ Ref. No. 90-11-2-803A.

² Commissioner Hillman dissenting. A record of the Commissioners' votes, the Commission's statement on adequacy, and any individual Commissioner's statements will be available from the Office of the Secretary and at the Commission's web site.

³ Commissioner Hillman dissenting.

⁴ The Commission has found responses submitted by Agrium US, Inc.; CF Industries, Inc.; Coastal Chem, Inc.; Mississippi Chemical Corp.; PCS Nitrogen, Inc.; Terra Industries, Inc.; the Ad Hoc Committee of Domestic Nitrogen Producers; and the Government of Romania to be individually adequate. Comments from other interested parties will not be accepted (see 19 CFR 207.62(d)(2)).

The proposed Consent Decree may be examined at the Office of the United States Attorney, Hal Boggs Federal Building, 501 Magazine Street, New Orleans, Louisiana 70130; the Region VI Office of the Environmental Protection Agency, 1445 Ross Avenue, Dallas Texas 75202; and at the Consent Decree Library, 1120 G Street, NW, 3rd Floor, Washington, DC 20005, (202) 624-0892. A copy of the proposed Consent Decree may be obtained in person or by mail from the Consent Decree Library, 1120 G Street, NW, 4th Floor, Washington, DC 20005. In requesting a copy please refer to the referenced case and enclose a check in the amount of \$9.25 (25 cents per page reproduction costs), payable to the Consent Decree Library.

Joel M. Gross,

*Chief, Environmental Enforcement Section,
Environment and Natural Resources Division.*

[FR Doc. 99-14894 Filed 6-10-99; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 4410-15-M

DEPARTMENT OF JUSTICE

Notice of Consent Judgments Pursuant to the Comprehensive Environmental Response, Compensation and Liability Act

In accordance with Departmental Policy, 28 CFR § 50.7, notice is hereby given a proposed Consent Decree in *United States v. Ray McCune et al.*, Civ. Action No. 2:97CV 0860B was lodged in the United States District Court for the District of Utah on May 11, 1999.

The proposed decree settles the United States' Complaint against Ray R. McCune under sections 104, 107 and 113(g)(2) of the Comprehensive Environmental Response, Compensation and Liability Act ("CERCLA"), 42 U.S.C. 9604, 9607, and 9613(g)(2), for reimbursement of costs incurred by the United States in response to the release or threat of release of hazardous substances from the Reclaim Barrel Company Site located at 8487 South Redwood Road, West Jordan, Salt Lake County, Utah ("the Site"). The proposed decree provides for recovery of \$10,000.00 in response costs based on Mr. McCune's limited financial ability to fully reimburse the United States for response costs.

The Department of Justice will receive, for a period of thirty (30) days from the date of this publication, written comments relating to the proposed Consent Decrees. Comments should be addressed to the Assistant Attorney General for the Environment and Natural Resources Division, Department of Justice, Washington, DC 20530, and should refer to *United States*

v. Ray McCune et al., Civ. Action No. 2:97CV 0860B, DOJ # 90-11-2-1270.

The proposed Consent Decree may be examined at the Office of the United States Attorney, District of Utah, 350 South Main Street, Salt Lake City, Utah 84107; at the Region VIII Office of the U.S. Environmental Protection Agency, 999 18th Street, Suite 500, North Tower, Denver, CO 90202; and at the Consent Decree Library, 1120 G Street, NW, 3rd Floor, Washington, DC 20005, (202) 624-0892. Copies of the Consent Decrees may be obtained in person or by mail from the Consent Decree Library, 1120 G Street, NW, 3rd Floor, Washington, DC 20005. In requesting copies, please enclose a check in the amount of \$4.75 for the Ray McCune Consent Decree (25 cents per page reproduction costs) payable to the Consent Decree Library.

Joel M. Gross,

*Environmental Enforcement Section,
Environment and Natural Resources Division.*

[FR Doc. 99-14893 Filed 6-10-99; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 4410-15-M

DEPARTMENT OF JUSTICE

Notice of Lodging of Consent Decree Under the Clean Water Act

Under 28 CFR 50.7 notice is hereby given that on May 26, 1999, a proposed Consent Decree in *United States v. Quanex Corporation*, Civil Action No. H-99-1633, was lodged with the United States District Court for the Southern District of Texas.

In this action the United States sought civil penalties and injunctive relief for violations of National Pollutant Discharge Elimination System (NPDES) permits and pollution prevention regulations at a facility located in Rosenberg, Fort Bend County, Texas. The Consent Decree resolves allegations that Quanex Corporation, the facility owner until December 3, 1997, and Vision Metals, Inc., the facility owner after December 3, 1997, failed to comply with NPDES permits by violating effluent limits, failing to monitor, failing to report, failing to properly operate and maintain the facility, and failing to comply with the Spill Prevention Control and Countermeasure Plan regulatory requirements. The Consent Decree also resolves allegations that Quanex failed to comply with two Administrative Orders. Quanex is required to pay a civil penalty of \$466,421. Vision Metals, Inc. is required to pay a civil penalty of \$58,907 and perform injunctive relief to ensure compliance with its NPDES permit and the pollution prevention regulations.

The Department of Justice will receive for a period of thirty (30) days from the date of this publication comments relating to the Consent Decree. Comments should be addressed to the Assistant Attorney General of the Environment and Natural Resources Division, Department of Justice, Washington, DC 20530, and should refer to *U.S. v. Quanex Corporation*, D.J. Ref. 90-5-1-1-4495.

The Consent Decree may be examined at the Office of the United States Attorney, 910 Travis, Suite 1500, Houston, Texas 77208, at U.S. EPA Region 6, 1445 Ross Avenue, Dallas, Texas 75202, and at the Consent Decree Library, 1120 G Street, NW, 3rd Floor, Washington, DC 20005, (202) 624-0892. A copy of the Consent Decree may be obtained in person or by mail from the Consent Decree Library, 1120 G Street, NW, 3rd Floor, Washington, DC 20005. In requesting a copy, please enclose a check in the amount of \$15.25 (25 cents per page reproduction cost) payable to the Consent Decree Library.

Joel M. Gross,

*Chief, Environmental Enforcement Section,
Environment and Natural Resources Division.*

[FR Doc. 99-14892 Filed 6-10-99; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 4410-15-M

DEPARTMENT OF JUSTICE

Antitrust Division

United States v. Capstar Broadcasting Corporation and Triathlon Broadcasting Company; Proposed Final Judgment and Competitive Impact Statement

Notice is hereby given pursuant to the Antitrust Procedures and Penalties Act, 15 U.S.C. Section 16(b) through (h), that a proposed Final Judgment, Stipulation and Competitive Impact Statement have been filed with the United States District Court for the District of Columbia in *United States of America v. Capstar Broadcasting Corporation and Triathlon Broadcasting Company*, Civil Action No. 99-CV00993. On April 21, 1999, the United States filed a Complaint alleging that the proposed acquisition by Capstar Broadcasting Corporation ("Capstar") of the radio assets of Triathlon Broadcasting Company ("Triathlon") in Wichita, Kansas, would violate Section 7 of the Clayton Act, 15 U.S.C. 18. The proposed Final Judgment, filed the same time as the Complaint, requires Capstar to divest five radio stations in Wichita pursuant to the Final Judgment. Copies of the Complaint, proposed Final Judgment and Competitive Impact