

**SECURITIES AND EXCHANGE COMMISSION**

[File No. 1-12937]

**Issuer Delisting; Notice of Application to Withdraw from Listing and Registration; (All Communications Corporation, Common Stock, No Par Value; Redeemable Class A Common Stock Purchase Warrants; and Units-2 Commons & 2 Class A Warrants)**

January 13, 1999.

All Communications Corporation ("Company") has filed an application with the Securities and Exchange Commission ("Commission"), pursuant to Section 12(d) of the Securities and Exchange Act of 1934 ("Act") and Rule 12d2-2(d) promulgated thereunder, to withdraw the above specified securities ("Securities") from listing and registration on the Boston Stock Exchange, Inc. ("BSE" or "Exchange").

The reasons cited in the application for withdrawing the Securities from listing and registration include the following:

The Company has complied with the rules of the BSE by filing with the Exchange a certified copy of the resolution adopted by the Company's Board of Directors authorizing the withdrawal of its Securities from listing on the BSE and by setting forth the reasons for the proposed withdrawal. In making the decision to withdraw its Securities from listing on the BSE, the Company considered the direct and indirect costs and expenses attendant upon continuing such listing, particularly in view of the paucity of trading in the Company's Securities on the BSE. An overwhelming majority of the transactions in the Company's Securities are conducted on the OTC Bulletin Board with no apparent problem for the Company's shareholders. The Company does not see any particular advantage in the dual trading of its Securities.

The Exchange has informed the Company that it has no objection to the withdrawal of the Company's Securities from listing on the BSE.

Any interested person may, on or before, February 4, 1999, submit by letter to the Secretary of the Securities and Exchange Commission, 450 Fifth Street, N.W., Washington, D.C. 20549, facts bearing upon whether the application has been made in accordance with the rules of the Exchange and what terms, if any, should be imposed by the Commission for the protection of investors. The Commission, based on the information submitted to it, will issue an order

granting the application after the date mentioned above, unless the Commission determines to order a hearing on the matter.

For the Commission, by the Division of Market Regulation, Pursuant to delegated authority.

**Jonathan G. Katz,**

*Secretary.*

[FR Doc. 99-1206 Filed 1-19-99; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 8010-01-M

**SECURITIES AND EXCHANGE COMMISSION**

[File No. 1-14472]

**Issuer Delisting; Notice of Application to Withdraw from Listing and Registration; (Cornell Corrections, Inc., \$0.001 Par Value Common Stock)**

January 13, 1999.

Cornell Corrections, Inc. ("Company") has filed an application with the Securities and Exchange Commission ("Commission"), pursuant to Section 12(d) of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934 ("Act") and Rule 12d2-2(d) promulgated thereunder, to withdraw the above specified security ("Security") from listing and registration on the American Stock Exchange, Inc. ("Amex" or "Exchange").

The reasons cited in the application for withdrawing the Security from listing and registration include the following:

The Security of the Company has been listed for trading on the Amex and, pursuant to a Registration Statement on Form 8-A which became effective on December 7, 1998, on the New York Stock Exchange, Inc. ("NYSE"). Trading of the Company's Security on the NYSE commenced at the opening of business on December 10, 1998, and concurrently therewith the stock was suspended from trading on the Amex.

The Company has complied with Rule 18 of the Amex by filing with the Exchange a certified copy of preambles and resolutions adopted by the Company's Board of Directors authorizing the withdrawal of its Security from listing on the Amex and by setting forth in detail to the Exchange the reasons for the proposed withdrawal, and the facts in support thereof. In making the decision to withdraw its Security from listing on the Amex, the Company considered direct and indirect costs and the division of the market resulting from dual listing on the Amex and NYSE.

The Exchange has informed the Company that it has no objection to the

withdrawal of the Company's Security from listing on the Amex.

This application relates solely to the withdrawal from listing of the Company's Security from the Amex and shall have no effect upon the continued listing of the Security on the NYSE.

Any interested person may, on or before February 4, 1999, submit by letter to the Secretary of the Securities and Exchange Commission, 450 Fifth Street, N.W., Washington, D.C. 20549, facts bearing upon whether the application has been made in accordance with the rules of the Exchange and what terms, if any, should be imposed by the Commission for the protection of investors. The Commission, based on the information submitted to it, will issue an order granting the application after the date mentioned above, unless the Commission determines to order a hearing on the matter.

For the Commission, by the Division of Market Regulation, pursuant to delegated authority.

**Jonathan G. Katz,**

*Secretary.*

[FR Doc. 99-1207 Filed 1-19-99; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 8010-01-M

**SECURITIES AND EXCHANGE COMMISSION**

[Release No. 34-40933; File No. SR-NASD-98-93]

**Self-Regulatory Organizations; Notice of Filing and Immediate Effectiveness of Proposed Rule Change by National Association of Securities Dealers, Inc. Relating to Timing of Payment of Prehearing Process Fee In Arbitration**

January 11, 1999.

Pursuant to Section 19(b)(1) of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934 ("Exchange Act")<sup>1</sup> and Rule 19b-4 thereunder,<sup>2</sup> notice is hereby given that on December 11, 1998 the National Association of Securities Dealers, Inc. ("NASD"), through its wholly owned subsidiary, NASD Regulation, Inc. ("NASD Regulation"), filed with the Securities and Exchange Commission ("SEC" or "Commission") the proposed rule change as described in Items I, II, and III below, which Items have been prepared by NASD Regulation. NASD Regulation has designated the proposed rule change as constituting a change in a fee under Section 19(b)(3)(A)(ii) of the Exchange Act<sup>3</sup> and paragraph (e)(2) of Rule 19b-4 under the Exchange Act,<sup>4</sup>

<sup>1</sup> 15 U.S.C. 78s(b)(1).<sup>2</sup> 17 CFR 240.19b-4.<sup>3</sup> 15 U.S.C. 78s(b)(3)(A)(ii).<sup>4</sup> 17 CFR 240.19b-4(e)(2).

which renders the proposal effective upon receipt of this filing by the Commission. The Commission is publishing this notice to solicit comments on the proposed rule change from interested persons.

### **I. Self-Regulatory Organization's Statement of the Terms of Substance of the Proposed Rule Change**

NASD Regulation is proposing to amend Rule 10333(d) of the Rules of the Association to change the time when the prehearing process fee in an arbitration must be paid. The fee is charged to members. Below is the text of the proposed rule change. Proposed new language is in *italics*; proposed deletions are in *brackets*.

\* \* \* \* \*

#### **Rule 10333. Member Surcharge**

(a)–(c) No change.

(d) Each member that is a party to an arbitration proceeding will pay a non-refundable process fee as set forth in the schedule below for each stage of a proceeding. The process fee shall not be chargeable to any other party under Rules 10332(c) and 10205(c) of the Code. If an associated person of a member is a party, the member that employed the associated person at the time of the events which gave rise to the dispute, claim or controversy will be charged the process fees. The prehearing process fee will accrue according to the schedule set forth below, but will *not become* [be] due [and payable] *until (1) the parties are notified of the prehearing conference, or (2) if no prehearing conference is scheduled, the parties are notified of the date and location of the first hearing session* [when the prehearing conference is held, or, if no prehearing conference is held, when the parties are notified of the date and location of the first hearing session]. The hearing fee will accrue and be due and payable when the parties are notified of the date and location of the first hearing session. All accrued but unpaid fees will be due and payable at the conclusion of the member's or associated person's involvement in the proceeding. No member will pay more than one prehearing and hearing process fee for any case. The process fees will stop accruing when either the member enters into a settlement of the dispute or the member is dismissed from the proceeding or, if the member is paying a process fee as a result of an associated person being named as a party, when the associated person enters into a settlement or is dismissed from the proceeding, whichever is later.

\* \* \* \* \*

### **II. Self-Regulatory Organization's Statement of the Purpose of, and Statutory Basis for the Proposed Rule Change**

In its filing with the Commission, NASD Regulation included statements concerning the purpose of and basis for the proposed rule change and discussed any comments it received on the proposed rule change. The text of these statements may be examined at the places specified in Item IV below. NASD Regulation has prepared summaries, set forth in Sections (A), (B), and (C) below, of the most significant aspects of such statements.

#### **(A) Self-Regulatory Organization's Statement of the Purpose of, and Statutory Basis for, the Proposed Rule Change**

##### **(a) Purpose**

The purpose of the prehearing process fee is to cover the cost of the arbitration activities to which the fee relates. The purpose of the proposed amendment is to match the Association's receipt of the fees more closely in time with the dates that the Association incurs the costs of such activities. The fee is charged to members.

Under the current rule, since the prehearing process fee is not due and payable until the earlier of the prehearing conference or, if such conference is not held, the date when the parties are notified of the location of the first hearing session, significant staff activity occurs and the related costs are incurred before the prehearing process fee is payable. Before the fee is payable, Association staff execute a number of arbitration pre-hearing tasks. For example, prior to the date of the prehearing conference or scheduling of the first hearing session, staff is involved in serving the claim, processing motions, and administering the process by which arbitrators are selected. The purpose of the rule change is to match more closely in time the revenues to be received with the costs incurred by NASD Regulation for these activities. Making the prehearing process fee payable when the parties are notified of the prehearing conference, or, if no prehearing conference is scheduled, when the parties are notified of the date and location of the first hearing session, will accomplish this goal.

##### **(b) Statutory Bias**

NASD Regulation believes that the proposed rule change is consistent with Section 15A(b)(6) of the Exchange Act, which requires, among other things, that the Association's rules must be designed

to prevent fraudulent and manipulative acts and practices, to promote just and equitable principles of trade, and, in general, to protect investors and the public interest. NASD Regulation believes that the proposed rule change is consistent with Section 15A(b)(5) of the Exchange Act in that the proposed rule change provides for the equitable allocation of reasonable charges among members and other persons using the Association's arbitration facility and requires member firms to absorb a reasonable share of the costs of operating the arbitration program.

#### **(B) Self-Regulatory Organization's Statement on Burden on Competition**

NASD Regulation does not believe that the proposed rule change will result in any burden on competition that is not necessary or appropriate in furtherance of the purposes of the Exchange Act, as amended.

#### **(C) Self-Regulatory Organization's Statement on Comments on the Proposed Rule Change Received from Members, Participants, or Others**

Written comments were neither solicited nor received.

### **III. Date of Effectiveness of the Proposed Rule Change and Timing for Commission Action**

The proposed rule change has become effective upon filing pursuant to Section 19(b)(3)(A) of the Exchange Act<sup>5</sup> and paragraph (e)(2) of Rule 19b–4 thereunder<sup>6</sup> in that the proposed rule change constitutes an amendment to the timing of the payment of a fee that the NASD currently imposes on its members. At any time within 60 days of the filing of such proposed rule change, the Commission may summarily abrogate such rule change if it appears to the Commission that such action is necessary or appropriate in the public interest, for the protection of investors, or otherwise in furtherance of the purposes of the Exchange Act.

### **IV. Solicitation of Comments**

Interested persons are invited to submit written data, views, and arguments concerning the foregoing, including whether the proposal is consistent with the Exchange Act. Persons making written submissions should file six copies thereof with the Secretary, Securities and Exchange Commission, 450 Fifth Street, N.W., Washington, DC 20549. Copies of the submission, all subsequent amendments, all written statements

<sup>5</sup> 15 U.S.C. 78s(b)(3)(A).

<sup>6</sup> 17 C.F.R. 240.19b–4(e)(2).

with respect to the proposed rule change that are filed with the Commission, and all written communications relating to the proposed rule change between the Commission and any person, other than those that may be withheld from the public in accordance with the provisions of 5 U.S.C. 552, will be available for inspection and copying in the Commission's Public Reference Room. Copies of such filing will also be available for inspection and copying at the principal office of the NASD. All submissions should refer to file number SR-NASD-98-93 and should be submitted by February 10, 1999.

For the Commission, by the Division of Market Regulation, pursuant to delegated authority.<sup>7</sup>

**Margaret H. McFarland,**

*Deputy Secretary.*

[FR Doc. 99-1205 Filed 1-19-99; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 8010-01-M

## SMALL BUSINESS ADMINISTRATION

### Reporting and Recordkeeping Requirements Under OMB Review

**AGENCY:** Small Business Administration.

**ACTION:** Notice of reporting requirements submitted for OMB review.

**SUMMARY:** Under the provisions of the Paperwork Reduction Act (44 U.S.C. Chapter 35), agencies are required to submit proposed reporting and recordkeeping requirements to OMB for review and approval, and to publish a notice in the **Federal Register** notifying the public that the agency has made such a submission.

**DATES:** Submit comments on or before February 19, 1999. If you intend to comment but cannot prepare comments promptly, please advise the OMB Reviewer and the Agency Clearance Officer before the deadline.

**COPIES:** Request for clearance (OMB 83-1), supporting statement, and other documents submitted to OMB for review may be obtained from the Agency Clearance Officer.

**ADDRESSES:** Address all comments concerning this notice to: Agency Clearance Officer, Jacqueline White, Small Business Administration, 409 3rd Street, S.W., 5th Floor, Washington, D.C. 20416; and OMB Reviewer, Office of Information and Regulatory Affairs, Office of Management and Budget, New

Executive Office Building, Washington, D.C. 20503.

**FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT:** Jacqueline White, Agency Clearance Officer, (202) 205-6629.

### SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION:

*Title:* Servicing Agent Agreement.

*Form No:* 1506.

*Frequency:* On Occasion.

*Description of Respondents:* Certified Development Companies.

*Annual Responses:* 4,200.

*Annual Burden:* 4,200.

Dated: January 12, 1999.

**Jacqueline White,**

*Chief, Administrative Information Branch.*

[FR Doc. 99-1241 Filed 1-19-99; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 8025-01-P

## SMALL BUSINESS ADMINISTRATION

### National Small Business Development Center; Advisory Board Public Meeting

The U.S. Small Business Administration National Small Business Development Center Advisory Board will hold a public meeting on Sunday, January 31, 1999, from 12:00 p.m. to 5:00 p.m. at the Adam's Mark Hotel in Columbia, South Carolina to discuss such matters as may be presented by members, staff of the U.S. Small Business Administration, or others present.

For further information, please write or call Ellen Thrasher, U.S. Small Business Administration, 409 Third Street, SW., Fourth Floor, Washington, DC 20416, telephone number (202) 205-6817.

Dated: January 12, 1999.

**Shirl Thomas,**

*Director, Office of External Affairs.*

[FR Doc. 99-1142 Filed 1-19-99; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 8025-01-P

## SMALL BUSINESS ADMINISTRATION

### National Small Business Development Center Advisory Board Public Meeting

The U.S. Small Business Administration National Small Business Development Center Advisory Board will hold a public meeting on Monday, March 1, 1999, from 9:00 a.m. to 5:00 p.m. at the Crystal City Marriott Hotel in Arlington, Virginia to discuss such matters as may be presented by members, staff of the U.S. Small Business Administration, or others present.

For further information, please write or call Ellen Thrasher, U.S. Small Business Administration, 409 Third

Street, SW, Fourth Floor, Washington, DC 20416, telephone number (202) 205-6817.

Dated: January 12, 1999.

**Shirl Thomas,**

*Director, Office of External Affairs.*

[FR Doc. 99-1143 Filed 1-19-99; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 8025-01-P

## DEPARTMENT OF STATE

[Public Notice #2959]

### Shipping Coordinating Committee; Subcommittee on Safety of Life at Sea, Working Group on Dangerous Goods, Solid Cargoes and Containers; Meeting

The Working Group on Dangerous Goods, Solid Cargoes and Containers (DSC) of the Subcommittee on Safety of Life at Sea (SOLAS) will conduct an open meeting at 9:30 AM on Wednesday, February 3, 1999, in Room 2415, at U.S. Coast Guard Headquarters, 2100 Second Street, S.W., Washington, DC 20593-0001. The purpose of the meeting is to finalize preparations for the Fourth Session of the DSC Subcommittee of the International Maritime Organization (IMO) which is scheduled for February 22-26, 1999, at the IMO Headquarters in London.

The agenda items of particular interest are:

a. Amendment 30 to the International Maritime Dangerous Goods (IMDG) Code, its Annexes and Supplements including harmonization of the IMDG Code with the United Nations Recommendations on the Transport of Dangerous Goods, reformatting of the IMDG Code, and revision of the format of the Emergency Schedules (EmS).

b. Implementation of Annex III of the Marine Pollution Convention (MARPOL 73/78), as amended.

c. Review of the Code of Safe Practice for Solid Bulk Cargoes (BC Code).

d. Amendments to SOLAS chapters VI and VII to make the IMDG Code mandatory.

e. Mandatory application of the Code for the Safe Carriage of Irradiated Nuclear Fuel, Plutonium and High Level Radioactive Wastes in Flasks on board Ships (INF Code).

f. Implementation of IMO instruments and training requirements for cargo-related matters, including revision of resolution A.537(13) and development of multimodal training requirements.

g. Reports on incidents involving dangerous goods or marine pollutants in packaged form on board ships or in port areas.

Members of the public may attend this meeting up to the seating capacity

<sup>7</sup> 17 C.F.R. 200.30-3(a)(12). In approving the proposal, the Commission has considered the rule's impact on efficiency, and capital formation. 15 U.S.C. 78c(f).