Room N–4611, 200 Constitution Avenue, NW, Washington, DC 20210. Individuals or representatives wishing to address the Committee should forward their request to Ms. Goodwin or telephone (202) 219–4600. During each day of the negotiation session, time permitting, there shall be time for oral public comment. Members of the public are encouraged to keep oral statements brief, but extended written statements may be submitted for the record.

Organizations or individuals may also submit written statements for the record without presenting an oral statement. 15 copies of such statements should be sent to Ms. Goodwin at the address above. Papers will be accepted and included in the record of the meeting if received on or before June 29, 1999.

Signed at Washington, DC, this 1st day of June, 1999.

#### Richard McGahey,

Assistant Secretary, Pension and Welfare Benefits Administration.

[FR Doc. 99–14466 Filed 6–7–99; 8:45 am] BILLING CODE 4510–29–M

# ENVIRONMENTAL PROTECTION AGENCY

40 CFR Part 52

[OH 118-1b; FRL-6353-3]

# Approval and Promulgation of Implementation Plans; Ohio

**AGENCY:** Environmental Protection

Agency (EPA). **ACTION:** Proposed rule.

**SUMMARY:** EPA is proposing approval of revisions to the Ohio State Implementation Plan (SIP) for rules 3745–15–05 (De Minimis exemption) and 3745–35–02 (Permits to Operate) of the Ohio Administrative Code (OAC).

In the final rules section of this **Federal Register**, EPA is approving the State's request as a direct final rule without prior proposal because EPA views this action as noncontroversial and anticipates no adverse comments. A detailed rationale for approving the State's request is set forth in the direct final rule. The direct final rule will become effective without further notice unless EPA receives relevant adverse written comment. Should EPA receive such comment, we will publish a timely withdrawal informing the public that the direct final rule will not take effect and such public comment received will be addressed in a subsequent final rule based on the proposed rule. If no adverse written comments are received, the direct final rule will take effect on

the date stated in that document, and no further action will be taken. EPA does not plan to institute a second comment period on this action. Any parties interested in commenting on this action should do so at this time.

**DATES:** Written comments must be received on or before July 8, 1999.

ADDRESSES: Written comments may be mailed to J. Elmer Bortzer, Chief, Regulation Development Section, Air Programs Branch (AR–18J), Region 5 at the address listed below.

Copies of the materials submitted by the Ohio Environmental Protection Agency may be examined during normal business hours at the following location: Regulation Development Section, Air Programs Branch (AR–18J), U.S. Environmental Protection Agency, 77 West Jackson Boulevard, Chicago, Illinois 60604.

### FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT:

Steve Gorg or Genevieve Damico, Environmental Engineers, Permits and Grants Section, Air Programs Branch (AR–18J), U.S. Environmental Protection Agency, Region 5, 77 West Jackson Boulevard, Chicago, Illinois 60604, 312–353–8641.

#### SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION:

For additional information see the direct final rule published in the rules section of this **Federal Register**.

Dated: May 20, 1999.

## Francis X. Lyons,

Regional Administrator, Region 5. [FR Doc. 99–14053 Filed 6–7–99; 8:45 am] BILLING CODE 6560–50–P

# ENVIRONMENTAL PROTECTION AGENCY

40 CFR Part 63

[AD-FRL-6355-4]

RIN 2060-AH47

National Emission Standards for Hazardous Air Pollutants Emissions: Group IV Polymers and Resins

**AGENCY:** Environmental Protection Agency (EPA).

**ACTION:** Proposed rule; extension of compliance.

SUMMARY: The EPA is proposing to extend certain compliance dates contained in National Emissions Standards for Hazardous Air Pollutants Emissions: Group IV Polymers and Resins. The revisions concern an extension of the compliance dates specified in 40 CFR 63.1311(b) and (d)(6) for polyethylene terephthalate (PET) affected sources. We are

proposing these compliance extensions pursuant to Clean Air Act section 301(a)(1) to complete reconsideration of equipment leak provisions and any necessary revision to the rule.

In the "Rules and Regulations" section of the **Federal Register**, we are approving the extension of compliance dates as a direct final rule without prior proposal because we view this as a noncontroversial revision and anticipate no adverse comment. We have explained our reasons for this approval in the preamble to the direct final rule. If we receive no adverse comment, we will not take further action on this proposed rule. If we received adverse comment, we will withdraw the direct final rule and it will not take effect. We will address all public comments in a subsequent final rule based on this proposed rule. We will not institute a second comment period on this action. Any parties interested in commenting must do so at this time.

DATES: Comments. Written comments must be received by July 8, 1999, unless a hearing is requested by June 18, 1999. If a hearing is requested, written comments must be received by July 23, 1999.

Public Hearing. Anyone requesting a public hearing must contact the EPA no later than June 18, 1999. If a hearing is held, it will take place on June 23, 1999, beginning at 10:00 a.m.

ADDRESSES: Comments. Comments should be submitted (in duplicate, if possible) to: Air and Radiation Docket and Information Center (6102), Attention Docket Number A-92-45 (see docket section below), Room M-1500, U.S. Environmental Protection Agency, 401 M Street, SW, Washington, DC 20460. The EPA requests that a separate copy also be sent to the contact person listed below. Comments and data may also be submitted electronically by following the instructions provided in the SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION section. No Confidential Business Information (CBI) should be submitted through electronic mail.

Public Hearing. If a public hearing is held, it will be held at the EPA's Office of Administration Auditorium, Research Triangle Park, North Carolina. Persons interested in attending the hearing or wishing to present oral testimony should notify Ms. Maria Noell, U.S. Environmental Protection Agency, MD–13, Research Triangle Park, N.C. 27711, telephone (919) 541–5607.

Docket. The official record for this rulemaking has been established under docket number A–92–45 (including comments and data submitted electronically as described below). A

public version of this record, including printed, paper versions of electronic comments and data, which does not include any information claimed as CBI, is available for inspection between 8 a.m. and 4 p.m., Monday through Friday, excluding legal holidays. The official rulemaking record is located at the address in the ADDRESSES section. Alternatively, a docket index, as well as individual items contained within the docket, may be obtained by calling (202) 260–7548 or (202) 260–7549. A reasonable fee may be charged for copying.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT: Mr. Keith Barnett, Emission Standards Division (MD–13), U.S. Environmental Protection Agency, Office of Air Quality Planning and Standards, Research Triangle Park, North Carolina 27711, telephone number (919) 541–5605, fax number (919) 541–3470, and electronic mail: barnett.keith@epa.gov.

#### SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION:

### **Electronic Filing**

Electronic comments and data can be sent directly to the EPA at: a-and-r-docket@epamail.epa.gov. Electronic comments and data must be submitted as an ASCII file avoiding the use of special characters and any form of encryption. Comments and data will also be accepted on diskette in WordPerfect 5.1 file format or ASCII file format. All comments and data in electronic form must be identified by the docket number A-92-45. Electronic comments may be filed online at many Federal Depository Libraries.

### **Electronic Availability**

This document is available in docket number A-92-45 or by request from the EPA's Air and Radiation Docket and Information Center (see ADDRESSES), and is available for downloading from the Technology Transfer Network (TTN), the EPA's electronic bulletin board system. The TTN provides information and technology exchange in various areas of emissions control. The service is free, except for the cost of a telephone call. Dial (919) 541-5742 for up to a 14,000 baud per second modem. For further information, contact the TTN HELP line at (919) 541–5348, from 1:00 p.m. to 5:00 p.m., Monday through Friday, or access the TTN web site at: http://www.epa.gov/ttn/oarpg.

# **Regulated Entities**

Regulated categories and entities include:

Category	Examples of regulated entities
Industry	Facilities that produce PET.

This table is not intended to be exhaustive, but rather provides a guide for readers regarding entities regulated by the NESHAP addressed in this notice. If you have questions regarding the applicability of the NESHAP addressed in this notice to a particular entity, consult the person listed in the preceding FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT section.

For further information, please see the information provided in the direct final action that is located in the "Rules and Regulations" section of this **Federal Register** publication.

### Administrative

### A. Paperwork Reduction Act

For the Group IV Polymers and Resins NESHAP, the information collection requirements were submitted to the Office of Management and Budget (OMB) under the *Paperwork Reduction Act.* The OMB approved the information collection requirements and assigned OMB control number 2060-0351. An Agency may not conduct or sponsor, and a person is not required to respond to, a collection of information unless it displays a currently valid OMB control number. The OMB control numbers for the EPA's regulations are listed in 40 CFR part 9 and 48 CFR Chapter 15. The EPA has amended 40 CFR 9.1. to indicate the information collection requirements contained in the Group IV Polymers and Resins NESHAP.

This action has no impact on the information collection burden estimates made previously. Therefore, the ICR has not been revised.

# B. Executive Order 12866—Regulatory Planning and Review

Under Executive Order 12866 (58 FR 51735, October 4, 1993), the EPA must determine whether the regulatory action is "significant" and therefore subject to review by OMB on the basis of the requirements of the Executive Order in addition to its normal review requirements. The Executive Order defines "significant regulatory action" as one that is likely to result in a rule that may:

(1) have an annual effect on the economy of \$100 million or more or adversely affect in a material way the economy, a sector of the economy, productivity, competition, jobs, the environment, public health or safety, or State, local or Tribal governments or communities;

(2) create a serious inconsistency or otherwise interfere with an action taken or planned by another agency;

(3) materially alter the budgetary impact of entitlements, grants, user fees, or loan programs, or the rights and obligations of recipients thereof; or

(4) raise novel legal or policy issues arising out of legal mandates, the President's priorities, or the principles set forth in the Executive Order.

Today's action does not fall within any of the four categories described above. Instead, the proposed rule will provide an extension of the compliance dates specified in 40 CFR 63.1311(b) and (d)(6) for PET affected sources. The proposed rule does not add any additional control requirements. Therefore, this proposed rule was classified "non-significant" under Executive Order 12866 and was not required to be reviewed by OMB.

# C. Regulatory Flexibility

The Regulatory Flexibility Act generally requires an agency to conduct a regulatory flexibility analysis of any rule subject to notice and comment rulemaking requirements unless the agency certifies that the rule will not have a significant economic impact on a substantial number of small entities. Small entities include small businesses. small not-for-profit enterprises, and small government jurisdictions. This proposed rule would not have a significant impact on a substantial number of small entities because rather than imposing additional requirements, this proposed rule provides additional time for compliance while the EPA completes reconsideration and any necessary revision to parts of the Group IV Polymers and Resins NESHAP. Therefore, I certify that this rule will not have a significant economic impact on a substantial number of small entities.

## D. Unfunded Mandates Reform Act

Title II of the Unfunded Mandates Reform Act of 1995 (UMRA), Public Law 104-4, establishes requirements for Federal agencies to assess the effects of their regulatory actions on State, local and tribal governments and the private sector. Under section 202 of the UMRA, the EPA generally must prepare a written statement, including a costbenefit analysis, for proposed and final rules with "Federal mandates" that may result in expenditures to State, local and tribal governments, in the aggregate, or to the private sector of \$100 million or more in any one year. Before promulgating an EPA rule for which a written statement is needed, section 205 of the UMRA generally requires the EPA to identify and consider a reasonable

number of regulatory alternatives and adopt the least costly, most costeffective or least burdensome alternative that achieves the objects of the rule. The provisions of section 205 do not apply when they are inconsistent with applicable law. Moreover, section 205 allows the EPA to adopt an alternative other than the least costly, most costeffective or least burdensome alternative if the Administrator publishes with the final rule an explanation of why that alternative was not adopted. Before the EPA establishes any regulatory requirements that may significantly or uniquely affect small governments, including tribal governments, it must have developed under section 203 of the UMRA a small government agency plan. The plan must provide for notifying potentially affected small governments, enabling officials of affected small governments to have meaningful and timely input in the development of EPA regulatory proposals with significant Federal intergovernmental mandates, and informing, educating, and advising small governments on compliance with the regulatory requirements.

Today's proposed rule contains no Federal mandates (under the regulatory provisions of Title II of the UMRA) for State, local or tribal governments or the private sector. Instead, this proposed rule provides additional time to comply with some requirements of the Polymers and Resins IV NESHAP. In any event, the EPA has determined that this rule does not contain a Federal mandate that may result in expenditure of \$100 million or more for State, local and tribal governments, in the aggregate, or the private sector, in any one year. This rule is not subject to the requirements of sections 202 and 205 of the UMRA.

We also have determined that this rule contains no regulatory requirements that might significantly or uniquely affect small governments. This rule does not impose any enforceable duties on small governments, i.e., they own or operate no sources subject to this rule and therefore are not required to purchase control systems to meet the requirements of this rule.

# E. Executive Order 13045—Protection of Children From Environmental Health Risks and Safety Risks

Executive Order 13045, entitled Protection of Children from Environmental Health Risks and Safety Risks (62 FR 19885, April 23, 1997), applies to any rule that (1) is determined to be "economically significant" as defined under Executive Order 12866, and (2) concerns an environmental health or safety risk that the EPA has reason to believe may have

a disproportionate effect on children. If the regulatory action meets both criteria, the Agency must evaluate the environmental health or safety aspects of the planned rule on children, and explain why the planned regulation is preferable to other potentially effective and reasonably feasible alternatives considered by the Agency.

The EPA interprets E.O. 13045 as applying only to those regulatory actions that are based on health or safety risks, such that the analysis required under section 5–501 of the Order has the potential to influence the regulation. This proposed action is not subject to the Executive Order 13045 because it is not an economically significant regulatory action as defined in E.O. 12866, and it is based on technology performance and not on health or safety risks.

## F. National Technology Transfer and Advancement Act

Section 12 of the National Technology Transfer and Advancement Act of 1995 (NTTAA) requires federal agencies to evaluate existing technical standards when developing new regulations. To comply with the NTTAA, the EPA must consider and use "voluntary consensus standards" (VCS) if available and applicable when developing programs and policies unless doing so would be inconsistent with applicable law or otherwise impractical.

The EPA believes that the use of VCS in this proposed rule is impractical. The compliance extension of the Group IV Polymers and Resins NESHAP is merely a procedural action that does not require sources to take substantive steps that lend themselves to VCS.

# G. Executive Order 12875—Enhancing Intergovernmental Partnership

Under Executive Order 12875, the EPA may not issue a regulation that is not required by statute and that creates a mandate upon a State, local or tribal government, unless the Federal government provides the funds necessary to pay the direct compliance costs incurred by those governments, or the EPA consults with those governments. If the EPA complies by consulting, Executive Order 12875 requires the EPA to provide to the Office of Management and Budget a description of the extent of the EPA's prior consultation with representatives of affected State, local and tribal governments, the nature of their concerns, copies of any written communications from the governments, and a statement supporting the need to issue the regulation. In addition, Executive Order 12875 requires the EPA

to develop an effective process permitting elected officials and other representatives of State, local and tribal governments "to provide meaningful and timely input in the development of regulatory proposals containing significant unfunded mandates."

Today's proposed rule does not create a mandate on State, local or tribal governments. The proposed rule does not impose any enforceable duties on these entities. Rather, the proposed rule extends certain regulatory requirements. Accordingly, the requirements of section 1(a) of Executive Order 12875 do not apply to this rule.

# H. Executive Order 13084— Consultation and Coordination With Indian Tribal Governments

Under Executive Order 13084, the EPA may not issue a regulation that is not required by statute, that significantly or uniquely affects the communities of Indian tribal governments, and that imposes substantial direct compliance costs on those communities, unless the Federal government provides the funds necessary to pay the direct compliance costs incurred by the tribal governments, or the EPA consults with those governments. If the EPA complies by consulting, Executive Order 13084 requires the EPA to provide to the Office of Management and Budget, in a separately identified section of the preamble to the rule, a description of the extent of the EPA's prior consultation with representatives of affected tribal governments, a summary of the nature of their concerns, and a statement supporting the need to issue the regulation. In addition, Executive Order 13084 requires the EPA to develop an effective process permitting elected officials and other representatives of Indian tribal governments "to provide meaningful and timely input in the development of regulatory policies on matters that significantly or uniquely affect their communities.'

Today's proposed rule does not significantly or uniquely affect the communities of Indian tribal governments. This proposed rule imposes no enforceable duties on these entities. Rather, the proposed rule extends certain regulatory requirements. Accordingly, the requirements of section 3(b) of Executive Order 13084 do not apply to this rule.

# List of Subjects in 40 CFR Part 63

Environmental protection, Air pollution control, Hazardous substances, Reporting and recordkeeping requirements. Dated: May 28, 1999.

Carol M. Browner, Administrator.

[FR Doc. 99-14350 Filed 6-7-99; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 6560-50-U

# ENVIRONMENTAL PROTECTION AGENCY

40 CFR Part 63

[AD-FRL-6355-6]

RIN 2060-AH47

National Emission Standards for Hazardous Air Pollutants: Group IV Polymers and Resins

**AGENCY:** Environmental Protection Agency (EPA).

**ACTION:** Proposed denial of petition for reconsideration and notice of public hearing.

SUMMARY: Promulgated standards for the Group IV Polymers and Resins were published in the **Federal Register** on September 12, 1996. Two sets of petitioners have petitioned the EPA to reconsider the equipment leak standards contained in the promulgated rule as they pertain to polyethylene terephthalate (PET) facilities. After consideration of the petitioners' comments and data, and a reanalysis of the equipment leak program, the EPA has determined to retain without modification the equipment leak provisions of the promulgated rule.

Today's notice provides the opportunity to provide public comment on the new equipment leak analysis, which was conducted based on comments and additional data provided by the petitioners.

**DATES:** Comments. Comments must be received on or before August 9, 1999. For information on submitting

electronic comments see the **SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION** section of this document.

Public Hearing. A public hearing will be held, if requested, to provide interested persons an opportunity for oral presentation of data, views, or arguments concerning the EPA's decision to retain the equipment leak standards based on the comments and data provided by the petitioners and on the reanalysis incorporating those comments and data. If anyone contacts the EPA requesting to speak at a public hearing by July 1, 1999, a public hearing will be held on July 8, 1999, beginning at 9:30 a.m. Persons interested in attending the hearing or wishing to present oral testimony should contact Ms. Maria Noell at (919) 541–5607, Organic Chemicals Group (MD-13). If held, the public hearing will take place at the EPA's Office of Administration Auditorium, Research Triangle Park, North Carolina.

ADDRESSES: Comments. Comments should be submitted (in duplicate, if possible) to: Air and Radiation Docket and Information Center (6102) Attention: Docket No. A-92-45, U.S. Environmental Protection Agency, 401 M Street SW, Washington, DC 20460. The EPA requests that a separate copy also be sent to Mr. Keith Barnett, US EPA, Office of Air Quality Planning and Standards, Research Triangle Park, NC 27711, telephone (919) 541-5605, fax (919) 541–3470, and electronic mail: barnett.keith@epa.gov. Comments and data may also be submitted electronically by following the instructions listed in SUPPLEMENTARY **INFORMATION.** No confidential business information (CBI) should be submitted through electronic mail.

Technical Memoranda. The "Summary of Responses to Petitioners' Comments" memo may be obtained

electronically from the EPA's Technology Transfer Network (TTN) (see SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION for access information.)

Docket. A docket, No. A-92-45, containing information considered by the EPA in the development of the standards for the Group IV Polymers and Resins, is available for public inspection and copying between 8:00 a.m. and 4:00 p.m., Monday through Friday at the EPA's, Air and Radiation Docket and Information Center, Waterside Mall, Room M-1500, first floor, 401 M Street SW, Washington, D.C. 20460. The proposed and promulgated regulations, the Basis and Purpose Document for the promulgated rule, Summary of Responses to Petitioners' Comments, (Docket Item VI-B-19), Equipment Leak Analysis for PET Facilities Subject to the Group IV Polymers and Resins NESHAP (Docket Item VI-B-20), and other supporting information are available for inspection and copying. Alternatively, a docket index, as well as individual items contained with the docket, may be obtained by calling (202) 260-7548 or (202) 260-7549. A reasonable fee may be charged for copying. The docket index is also available electronically on the Virtual Air Toxics Website at http://www.epa.gov/ttn/uatw/pr4/ pr4pg.html.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT: Mr. Keith Barnett, US EPA, Office of Air Quality Planning and Standards, Research Triangle Park, NC 27711, telephone (919) 541–5605, fax (919) 541–3470, and electronic mail: barnett.keith@epa.gov.

## SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION:

# **Regulated Entities**

Regulated categories and entities include:

Category	Examples of regulated entities
Industry	Facilities manufacturing polyethylene terephthalate (PET) using a batch dimethyl terephthalate (DMT) process, PET facilities using a continuous DMT process, PET facilities using a batch terephthalic acid (TPA) process, and PET facilities using a continuous TPA process.

This table is not intended to be exhaustive, but rather provides a guide for readers regarding entities likely to be regulated by the Group IV Polymers and Resins standard. Other types of entities not listed in the table could also be regulated. To determine whether your facility is regulated, you should carefully examine the applicability criteria in § 63.1310 of the rule. If you have questions regarding the applicability of this action to a

particular entity, consult the person listed in the preceding FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT section.

# **Electronic Filing**

Electronic comments and data can be sent directly to the EPA at: a-and-r-docket@epamail.epa.gov. Electronic comments and data must be submitted as an ASCII file avoiding the use of special characters and any form of encryption. Comments and data will

also be accepted on diskette in Wordperfect 5.1 or 6.1, or ASCII file formats. All comments and data in electronic form must be identified by the docket number A–92–45. No Confidential Business Information (CBI) should be submitted through electronic mail. Electronic comments may be filed online at many Federal Depository Libraries.