

public from 9:30 a.m. to 12 noon and 1 p.m. to 4 p.m., Monday through Friday.

A. James Bradley,

Assistant U.S. Trade Representative for Monitoring and Enforcement.

[FR Doc. 99-13557 Filed 5-27-99; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 3190-01-M

DEPARTMENT OF TRANSPORTATION

Federal Aviation Administration

[Summary Notice No. PE-99-13]

Petitions for Exemption; Summary of Petitions Receiving; Disposition of Petitions Issued

AGENCY: Federal Aviation Administration (FAA), DOT.

ACTION: Notice of petitions for exemption received and of dispositions of prior petitions.

SUMMARY: Pursuant to FAA's rulemaking provisions governing the application, processing, and disposition of petitions for exemption (14 CFR Part 11), this notice contains a summary of certain petitions seeking relief from specified requirements of the Federal Aviation Regulations (14 CFR Chapter I), dispositions of certain petitions previously received, and corrections. The purpose of this notice is to improve the public's awareness of, and participation in, this aspect of FAA's regulatory activities. Neither publication of this notice nor the inclusion or omission of information in the summary is intended to affect the legal status of any petition or its final disposition.

DATES: Comments on petitions received must identify the petition docket number involved and must be received on or before June 21, 1999.

ADDRESSES: Send comments on any petition in triplicate to: Federal Aviation Administration, Office of the Chief Counsel, Attn: Rule Docket (AGC-200), Petition Docket No. _____, 800 Independence Avenue, SW., Washington, D.C. 20591.

Comments may also be sent electronically to the following internet address: 9-NPRM-cmts@faa.gov.

The petition, any comments received, and a copy of any final disposition are filed in the assigned regulatory docket and are available for examination in the Rules Docket (AGC-200), Room 915G, FAA Headquarters Building (FOB 10A), 800 Independence Avenue, SW., Washington, D.C. 20591; telephone (202) 267-3132.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT: Cherie Jack (202) 276-7271 or Terry Stubblefield (202) 267-7624 Office of

Rulemaking (ARM-1), Federal Aviation Administration, 800 Independence Avenue, SW., Washington, DC 20591.

This notice is published pursuant to paragraphs (c), (e), and (g) of § 11.27 of Part 11 of the Federal Aviation Regulations (14 CFR Part 11).

Issued in Washington, D.C., on May 24, 1999.

Donald P. Byrne,

Assistant Chief Counsel for Regulations.

Petitions for Exemption

Docket No.: 28834.

Petitioner: LifePort, Inc.

Section of the FAR Affected: 14 CFR 25.562 and 25.785(b).

Description of Relief Sought: To exempt LifePort, Inc., from the requirement of 14 CFR 25.562 and 14 CFR 25.785(b) to permit certification of medical stretchers for transport of persons whose medical condition dictates such accommodations on the Dassault Model Falcon 2000.

Docket No.: 29435.

Petitioner: Point Adventure Lodge and Iliamna Air Guides.

Section of the FAR Affected: 14 CFR 135.267(f).

Description of Relief Sought: To permit IAG to realign its calendar quarters by 1 month from quarters ending in June 30 and September 30, to quarters ending in July 31 and October 31, respectively, to meet the flight crewmember rest requirements of 14 CFR 135.267(f).

Docket No.: 29471.

Petitioner: Terry L. Florie.

Section of the FAR Affected: 14 CFR 61.213(a)(3).

Description of Relief Sought: To permit Mr. Florie to be eligible for a ground instructor certificate or rating without passing the knowledge test on the fundamentals of instructing required by 14 CFR 61.213(a)(3).

Docket No.: 29500.

Petitioner: Alaska's Enchanted Lake Lodge, Inc.

Section of the FAR Affected: 14 CFR 135.267(f).

Description of Relief Sought: To permit AELL to adjust its calendar quarters by 1 month from quarters ending in June 30, to quarters ending in July 31, as to allow AELL pilots to meet the flight crewmember rest requirements of 14 CFR 135.267(f).

Docket No.: 29503.

Petitioner: Delta Air Lines, Inc.

Section of the FAR Affected: 14 CFR 121.344(b)(3).

Description of Relief Sought: To permit Delta to continue to operate its Lockheed L-1011 TriStar and Boeing Model 727 aircraft scheduled to be

retired from service before the August 20, 2001, compliance deadline for installation of digital flight data recorders (DFDRs), without installing the approved DFDRs at the next heavy maintenance check for those aircraft after August 18, 1999.

Docket No.: 29534.

Petitioner: Freshwater Adventure, Inc.

Section of the FAR Affected: 14 CFR 91.323(b)(4).

Description of Relief Sought: To permit FWA to operate its Grumman Goose G-21A amphibian aircraft at a weight that is in excess of that airplane's maximum certificated weight.

Dispositons of Petitions

Docket No.: 26936.

Petitioner: Woods Air Fuel, Inc.

Section of the FAR Affected: 14 CFR 91.9(a).

Description of Relief Sought/Disposition: To permit WAF to operate certain aircraft without complying with the zero fuel and landing weight requirements of the operating limitations prescribed for the aircraft in the FAA-approved flight manual.

Grant, 5/7/99, Exemption No. 6892

[FR Doc. 99-13641 Filed 5-27-99; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 4910-13-M

DEPARTMENT OF TRANSPORTATION

Federal Aviation Administration

Notice of Intent To Rule on Application 99-03-C-00-PLB To Impose/Use the Revenue From a Passenger Facility Charge (PFC) at Clinton County Airport, Plattsburgh, NY

AGENCY: Federal Aviation Administration (FAA), DOT.

ACTION: Notice of intent to rule on application.

SUMMARY: The FAA proposes to rule and invites public comment on the application to impose/use the revenue from a PFC at Clinton County Airport under the provisions of the Aviation Safety and Capacity Expansion Act of 1990 (Title IX of the Omnibus Budget Reconciliation Act of 1990) (Public Law 101-508) and Part 158 of the Federal Aviation Regulations (14 CFR Part 158).

DATES: Comments must be received on or before June 28, 1999.

ADDRESSES: Comments on this application may be mailed or delivered in triplicate to the FAA at the following address: New York Airports District Office, 600 Old Country Road, Suite 446, Garden City, New York 11530.

In addition, one copy of any comments submitted to the FAA must

be mailed or delivered to Mr. Ralph L. Hensel, Airport Manager: Clinton County Airport, 11 Airport Road, Suite 101, Plattsburgh, New York 12901.

Air carriers and foreign air carriers may submit copies of written comments previously provided to the County of Clinton under section 158.23 of Part 158.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT: Mr. Robert Levine, Airport Engineer, New York Airports District Office, 600 Old Country Road, Suite 446, Garden City, New York, (516) 227-3807. The application may be reviewed in person at this same location.

SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION: The FAA proposes to rule and invites public comment on the application to impose/use the revenue from a PFC at Clinton County Airport under the provisions of the Aviation Safety and Capacity Expansion Act of 1990 (Title IX of the Omnibus Budget Reconciliation Act of 1990) (Public Law 101-508) and Part 158 of the Federal Aviation Regulations (14 CFR Part 158).

On May 12, 1999, the FAA determined that the application to impose/use the revenue from a PFC submitted by the County of Clinton was substantially complete within the requirements of section 158.25 of Part 158. The FAA will approve or disapprove the application, in whole or in part, no later than August 10, 1999.

The following is a brief overview of the application.

PFC Application No.: 99-03-C-00-PLB.

Level of the proposed PFC: \$3.00
Proposed charge effective date: July 1, 1999

Proposed charge expiration date: May 1, 2001

Total estimated PFC revenue: \$63,764
Brief description of proposed project(s):

- Obstruction Evaluation & Aerial Mapping
- Airport Master Plan Update
- Off Airport Obstruction Removal (Phase I)
- Easement Acquisition
- Off Airport Obstruction Removal (Phase II)
- Terminal Expansion & Renovations

Class or classes of air carriers which the public agency has requested not be required to collect PFCs: Air taxi and charter operators (ATCO) filing DOT Form 1800-31.

Any person may inspect the application in person at the FAA office listed above under **FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT** and at the FAA regional airports office located at: Fitzgerald Federal Building #111,

Airports Division, AEA-610, John F. Kennedy International Airport, Jamaica, New York, 11430.

In addition, any person may, upon request, inspect the application, notice and other documents germane to the application in person at the County of Clinton.

Issued in Garden City, New York on May 17, 1999.

Philip Brito,

Manager, New York Airports District Office, Eastern Region.

[FR Doc. 99-13642 Filed 5-27-99; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 4910-13-M

DEPARTMENT OF TRANSPORTATION

Federal Highway Administration

Environmental Impact Statement: Clear Creek County, Colorado

AGENCY: Federal Highway Administration (FHWA), DOT.

ACTION: Notice of intent and public scoping meetings.

SUMMARY: The FHWA is issuing this notice to advise the public that an Environmental Impact Statement will be prepared for the proposed transportation project for transportation improvements and alternatives analysis of Interstate 70 (I-70) from Empire Junction to the top of Floyd Hill at the Highland Hills Interchange in Clear Creek County, Colorado.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT: Mr. Scott Sands, FHWA Colorado Division, 555 Zang Street, Room 250, Denver, CO 80228, Telephone: 303/969-6730, extension 362.

SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION: Pursuant to Title 23, Code of Federal Regulations, Part 771, Environmental Impact and Related Procedures (40 CFR 1501.7), the FHWA, in cooperation with the Colorado Department of Transportation (CDOT), will prepare an Environmental Impact Statement (EIS) in accordance with the National Environmental Policy Act (NEPA) for proposed transportation improvements and alternatives analysis of I-70 from Empire Junction to the top of Floyd Hill in Clear Creek County, Colorado. The proposed improvement would involve the reconstruction of all or parts of the existing I-70 corridor (as described above) for a distance of approximately sixteen miles. The EIS will evaluate the No-Action and Build alternatives(s) on this I-70 corridor and determine the estimated costs and potential impacts associated with each.

A Major Investment Study (MIS) was performed by CDOT in 1998 to evaluate solutions for the mobility and

congestion problems in the I-70 corridor from the interchange of I-70 and C-470 in Jefferson County, Colorado, west bound to Glenwood Springs, Colorado. The MIS recommended a vision incorporating futuristic thinking over a fifty-year planning horizon. In order to minimize highway improvements, the vision emphasizes changing travel behavior and preservation of the environmental character of the corridor. This EIS is a direct result of the recommendations detailed in the MIS.

The proposed improvements resulting from the MIS are considered necessary to provide for increased safety, existing traffic demand, and projected future travel demand. Alternatives which may be evaluated include: (1) improved four lane roadway typical sections, (2) standard six lane roadway section, (3) non-standard six lane roadway section, (4) Twin Tunnel (MP 242) modifications, (5) interchange improvements, (6) an envelope for the preservation of Fixed Guideway Transit (FGT) system, (7) intermodal transfer center(s), (8) Transportation System Management (TSM) measures, (9) curve smoothing to increase the interstate design geometrics, and (10) No-Action.

To ensure that the full range of issues related to this proposed action are addressed and all significant issues identified, written comments, suggestions or questions should be directed to the FHWA at the address provided above or directed to: Ms. Cecelia Joy, Planning and Environmental Manager, Colorado Department of Transportation-Region 1, 18500 East Colfax Avenue, Aurora, Colorado 80011, Telephone: 303/757-9112.

All significant social, economic, and environmental impacts of the proposed alternatives carried through the EIS process will be evaluated. Depending upon the alternatives under study, impacts to be evaluated may include safety and mobility, visual, social, historic, cultural and archaeological resources, local economy, Section 4(f) and Section 6(f) issues, noise, wetlands, threatened and endangered wildlife species, animal migration, water resources, floodplains, hydrology, geology, air quality, and potential contaminant sources (hazardous wastes). Depending upon the preferred alternative and the associated impacts of that alternative, construction-related impacts and secondary and cumulative impacts may also be evaluated. Subsequently, mitigation of any significant adverse impacts would be developed in the EIS for that alternative.