involving non-formal educational training including adult literacy and vocational skills, community-based grass roots projects, projects suitable to the development of small-scale private enterprise, projects that are related to agricultural and rural development which promote independent activity, and projects involving the donation of goods to meet basic human needs as provided in 15 CFR 740.12(b) of the Export Administration Regulations, 15 CFR parts 730–774. Specific licenses may be issued authorizing transactions for multiple visits for the same project over an extended period of time by applicants demonstrating a significant record of overseas humanitarian projects.

39. New § 515.576 is added to subpart E to read as follows:

§ 515.576 Activities of private foundations or research or educational institutes.

Specific licenses may be issued on a case-by-case basis authorizing the travel-related transactions set forth in § 515.560(c) and such additional transactions as are directly incident to activities by private foundations or research or educational institutes that have an established interest in international relations to collect information related to Cuba for noncommercial purposes, not otherwise covered by the general license for professional research contained in § 515.564 or more properly issued under § 515.575, relating to humanitarian projects. Specific licenses may be issued pursuant to this section authorizing transactions for multiple trips to Cuba for the same project over an extended period of time.

Dated: April 30, 1999.

R. Richard Newcomb,

Director, Office of Foreign Assets Control. Approved: May 5, 1999.

Elisabeth A. Bresee,

BILLING CODE 4810-25-F

Assistant Secretary (Enforcement), Department of the Treasury. [FR Doc. 99–12083 Filed 5–10–99; 3:39 pm] **DEPARTMENT OF DEFENSE**

Department of the Navy

32 CFR Part 706

Certifications and Exemptions Under the International Regulations for Preventing Collisions at Sea, 1972 Amendment

AGENCY: Department of the Navy, DOD. **ACTION:** Final rule.

SUMMARY: The Department of the Navy is amending its certifications and exemptions under the International Regulations for Preventing Collisions at Sea, 1972 (72 COLREGS), to reflect that the Deputy Assistant Judge Advocate General (Admiralty) of the Navy has determined that USS JUNEAU (LPD 10) is a vessel of the Navy which, due to it special construction and purpose, cannot fully comply with certain provisions of the 72 COLREGS without interfering with its special functions as a naval ship. The intended effect of this rule is to warn mariners in waters where 72 COLREGS apply.

EFFECTIVE DATE: April 16, 1999.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT:

Captain Rand R. Pixa, JAGC, U.S. Navy, Admiralty Counsel, Office of the Judge Advocate General, Washington Navy Yard, 1322 Patterson Avenue SE, Suite 3000, Washington, DC 20374–5066, Telephone number: (202) 685–5040.

SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION: Pursuant to the authority granted in 33 U.S.C. 1605, the Department of the Navy amends 32 CFR Part 706. This amendment provides notice that the Deputy Assistant Judge Advocate General (Admiralty) of the Navy, under authority delegated by the Secretary of the Navy, has certified that USS JUNEAU (LPD) is a vessel of the Navy which, due to its special construction and purpose, cannot fully comply with the following specific provisions of 72 COLREGS without interfering with its special functions as a naval ship: Annex I, section 2(a)(i), pertaining to the height

of the forward masthead light; Annex I, section 2(g), pertaining to the distance of the sidelights above the hull; and, Annex I, section 3(a), pertaining to the horizontal distance between the forward and after masthead lights. The Deputy Assistant Judge Advocate General (Admiralty) of the Navy has also certified that the lights involved are located in closest possible compliance with the applicable 72 COLREGS requirements.

Moreover, it has been determined, in accordance with 32 CFR Parts 296 and 701, that publication of this amendment for public comment prior to adoption is impracticable, unnecessary, and contrary to public interest since it is based on technical findings that the placement of lights on this vessel in a manner differently from that prescribed herein will adversely affect the vessel's ability to perform its military functions.

List of Subjects in 32 CFR Part 706

Marine safety, Navigation (water), Vessels.

Accordingly, 32 CFR Part 706 is amended as follows:

PART 706—[AMENDED]

1. The authority citation for 32 CFR Part 706 continues to read as follows:

Authority: 33 U.S.C. 1605.

2. Table One of § 706.2 is amended by adding, in numerical order, the following entry for the USS JUNEAU (LPD 10):

§ 706.2 Certifications of the Secretary of the Navy under Executive Order 11964 and 33 U.S.C. 1605.

* * * * *

	Vessel			Number		Distance in meters of forward masthead light below minimum required height. § 2(a)(i), Annex I
*	*	*	*	*	*	*
USS JUNEAU	*	*	. LPD 10	*	*	4.27

3. Table Four, Paragraph 19 of § 706.2 is amended by adding, in numerical

order, the following entry for the USS JUNEAU (LPD 10):

§ 706.2 Certifications of the Secretary of the Navy under Executive Order 11964 and 33 U.S.C. 1605.

* * * * *

Vessel				Number		
* USS JUNEAU	*	*	* LPD 10	*	*	* 1.6
*	*	*	*	*	*	*

4. Table Five of § 706.2 is amended by revising the entry for the USS JUNEAU (LPD 10) to read as follows:

§ 706.2 Certifications of the Secretary of the Navy under Executive Order 11964 and 33 U.S.C. 1605.

* * * * *

TABLE FIVE

Ve	essel	No.	Masthead lights not over all other lights and obstructions. annex I, sec. 2(f)	Forward masthead light not in forward quarter of ship. annex I, sec. 3(a)	After masthead light less than ½ ship's length aft of forward masthead light. annex I, sec. 3(a)	Percentage horizontal separation attained.
* USS JUNEAU	*	* LPD 10	* N/A	* N/A	* X	* 54.8
*	*	*	*	*	*	*

Dated: April 16, 1999. Approved:

R.R. PIXA,

Captain, JAGC, U.S. Navy, Deputy Assistant Judge Advocate General (Admiralty).

Dated: May 3, 1999.

Pamela A. Holden,

Lieutenant Commander, Judge Advocate General's Corps, U.S. Navy, Federal Register Certifying Officer.

[FR Doc. 99–12105 Filed 5–12–99; 8:45 am] BILLING CODE 3810–FF–M

DEPARTMENT OF AGRICULTURE

Forest Service

36 CFR Part 254

Landownership Adjustment; Land Exchanges

AGENCY: Forest Service, USDA. **ACTION:** Final rule; technical amendment.

SUMMARY: This technical amendment corrects an oversight that occurred when regulations pertaining to land exchanges were adopted in 1994. The final land exchange rule failed to

correctly conform the citations for administrative appeal regulations applicable to appealing land exchange decisions. This technical amendment corrects that oversight, making it clear that the appeal procedures to be followed are those in 36 CFR part 215, not part 217.

EFFECTIVE DATE: This rule is effective May 13, 1999.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT: Greg Smith, Lands Staff, MAIL STOP 1124, Forest Service, USDA, PO Box 96090, Washington, DC 20090–6090, 202–205–1769.

SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION: On March 8, 1994, the Department adopted a final rule (59 FR 10854) at 36 CFR part 254 revising procedures for Forest Service land exchange activities as authorized by the Federal Land Exchange Facilitation Act of August 20, 1988. When the Forest Service published the proposed land exchange rule in 1991, the applicable appeal regulations were at 36 CFR parts 251 and 217. At that time, part 217 covered appeals of both plan and project level decisions. However in 1993, the Department adopted new appeal regulations at 36 CFR part 215 (58 FR 58904) and

simultaneously revised the appeal rules at 36 CFR part 217 to apply solely to National Forest Land and Resource Management Plan decisions. When the Department proceeded to the final land exchange rule, the citations to the appeal regulation inadvertently was not changed to conform to the 1993 appeal rules.

Decisions pertaining to specific land exchanges are not National Forest Land and Resource Management Plan decisions and, therefore, have not been appealable under 36 CFR part 217 since 1993 pursuant to section 322 of the Department of the Interior and Related Agencies Appropriations Act of 1993 (16 U.S.C. 1612 note). Instead, these land exchange decision concern projects or activities that implement land and resource management plans and therefore are subject to appeal under CFR part 215. This rule corrects the citations in 36 CFR part 254 at § 254.4(g), § 254.13(b), and § 254.14(b)(6).

This oversight was discovered only recently, and the agency is moving to correct this citation error as quickly as possible to avoid any further confusion.