its expenditures of non-Federal funds for recurrent expenditures for air pollution control programs will be less than its expenditures were for such programs during the preceding fiscal year. In order for [EPA] to award grants under this section in a timely manner each fiscal year, [EPA] shall compare an agency's prospective expenditure level to that of its second preceding year.' EPA may still award financial assistance to an agency not meeting this requirement, however, if EPA, "after notice and opportunity for public hearing, determines that a reduction in expenditures is attributable to a nonselective reduction in the expenditures in the programs of all Executive branch agencies of the applicable unit of Government." CAA section 105(c)(2). These statutory requirements are repeated in EPA's implementing regulations at 40 CFR 35.210(a).

In its FY–99 section 105 application, SBAPCD projected expenditures of non-Federal funds for recurrent expenditures (or its maintenance of effort (MOE)) of \$3,285,988. This amount represents a shortfall of \$133,552 from the actual FY–98 MOE of \$3,419,540. In order for the District to be eligible to be awarded its FY–99 grant, EPA must make a determination under § 105(c)(2).

The SBAPCD is a single-purpose agency whose primary source of funding is permit fee revenue. Fees associated with permits issued by the SBAPCD go directly to the district to fund its operations. It is the "unit of Government" for section 105(c)(2) purposes. The SBAPCD submitted documentation to EPA which shows that air permit fee revenues have continued to decrease due to emission reductions from permitted sources and a decline in oil and gas activity. As a result, the SBAPCD's overall budget and its MOE decreased. The SBAPCD also submitted documentation to EPA which shows that the District lost 12.75 staff positions since FY97. These reductions in fees and staff have been non-selective in that all programs within SBAPCD have been impacted.

In summary, the SBAPCD's MOE reductions resulted from budget cuts stemming from a loss of fee revenues due to circumstances beyond the District's control. EPA proposes to determine that the SBAPCD's lower FY–99 MOE level meets the section 105(c)(2) criteria as resulting from a non-selective reduction of expenditures. Pursuant to 40 CFR 35.210, this determination will allow the SBAPCD to be awarded financial assistance for FY–99.

This document constitutes a request for public comment and an opportunity

for public hearing as required by the Clean Air Act. All written comments received by June 7, 1999 on this proposal will be considered. EPA will conduct a public hearing on this proposal only if a written request for such is received by EPA at the address above by June 7, 1999.

If no written request for a hearing is received, EPA will proceed to the final determination. While notice of the final determination will not be published in the **Federal Register**, copies of the determination can be obtained by sending a written request to Sara Bartholomew at the above address.

Dated: April 23, 1999.

David P. Howekamp,

Director, Air Division, Region 9.[FR Doc. 99–11563 Filed 5–6–99; 8:45 am]
BILLING CODE 6560–50–P

ENVIRONMENTAL PROTECTION AGENCY

[ER-FRL-6242-4]

Environmental Impact Statements and Regulations; Availability of EPA Comments

Availability of EPA comments prepared April 12, 1999 Through April 16, 1999 pursuant to the Environmental Review Process (ERP), under section 309 of the Clean Air Act and section 102(2)(c) of the National Environmental Policy Act as amended. Requests for copies of EPA comments can be directed to the Office of Federal Activities at (202) 564–7167.

An explanation of the ratings assigned to draft environmental impact statements (EISs) was published in FR dated April 9, 1999 (64 FR 17362).

Draft EISs

ERP No. D-DOA-F36162-MN, Rating EC2, Snake River Watershed Plan, Watershed Protection and Flood Prevention, NPDES Permit and COE section 404 Permit, Marshall Pennington and Polk Counties, MN.

Summary: EPA expressed environmental concerns regarding alternatives, characterization of the affected environment, impacts to wetlands and waters of the United States, and mitigation. EPA requested that those issues be addressed in the final document.

ERP No. D-USA-G11036-AR, Rating EC2, Fort Chaffee Disposal and Reuse, Implementation, Ozark Mountains, Sebastian, Crawford, Franklin, Smith, Barling and Greenwood Counties, AR.

Summary: EPA had environmental concerns regarding potential wetland,

and landfill expansion impact. EPA requested that the final document provide additional information on these issues.

ERP No. DA-NOA-K91007-00, Rating EC2, Pacific Coast Salmon Plan (1997) for Amendment 14, Fishery Management Plan, Comprehensive Updating, Exclusive Economic Zone (EEZ), Off the Coasts of WA, OR and CA.

Summary: EPA requested addition information on hook-and release mortality assumptions, misidentification of harvestable fish and modelling limits.

Final EISs

ERP No. F-COE-K01008-CA, Santa Maria and Sisquoc Rivers Specific Plan, Mining and Reclamantion Plans, (MRPs), Coast Rock Site and S.P. Milling Site, Conditional Use Permits, Approval of Reclamantion Plans, and Section 404 Permits, Santa Barbara and San Luis Obispo County, CA.

Summary: The FEIS satisfactorily addressed EPA's previous objections. EPA requested that the mitigation measures described in the FEIS be included in the Corps' Record of Decision and as permit conditions in the Clean Water Act Section 404 permits for the proposed mining activities.

ERP No. F-SFW-K65115-CA, Headwaters Forest Acquisition and the Palco Sustained Yield Plan and Habitat Conservation Plan, Implementation, Humboldt, Del Norte and Mendocino Counties, CA.

Summary: EPA supports the proposed HCP, EPA remains concerned with the ability of the lead agencies to fully implement all commitments and with the precedent of permitting timber harvest at a level that exceeds growth for the first two decades. Since these watersheds are already over the cumulative effects threshold, EPA recommended reduced harvest levels or limitations on clearcutting.

ERP No. FC-NOA-L64015-AK, Groundfish Fishery of the Bering Sea and Aleutian Islands Area and Groundfish of the Gulf of Alaska, Implementation of Groundfish Total Allowable Catch Specifications and Prohibited Species Catch Limits Under the Authority of the Fishery Management Plans, AK.

Summary: EPA believes that NMFS was generally responsive to EPA's concerns at the DEIS stage. However, EPA continue to be concerned with the range of alternatives related to the "Total Allowable Catch" and the depth of discussion on impacts to Sensitive Species and Native Subsistence need.

ERP No. FS-NOA-A91054-00, Atlantic, Gulf and Caribean Exclusive Economic Zones (EEZ) Billfish Fishery Management Plan, White and Blue Marlin, Sailfish, and the Longbill Spearfish, Implementation.

Summary: EPA had not objections to the proposed regulations.

Dated: May 4, 1999.

William A. Dickerson,

Director, NEPA Compliance Division, Office of Federal Activities.

[FR Doc. 99–11558 Filed 05–06–99; 8:45 am] BILLING CODE 6560–50–U

ENVIRONMENTAL PROTECTION AGENCY

[ER-FRL-6242-3]

Environmental Impact Statements; Notice of Availability

Responsible Agency: Office of Federal Activities, General Information (202) 564–7167 or (202) 564–7153.

Weekly receipt of Environmental Impact Statements

Filed April 26, 1999 Through April 30, 1999

Pursuant to 40 CFR 1506.9.

EIS No. 990144, FINAL EIS, AFS, FL, Florida National Forests, Revised Land and Resource Management Plan, Implementation, Apalachicola, Choctowhatchee, Ocala and Osceola National Forests, Several Counties, FL, Due: June 07, 1999, Contact: Marsha Kearney (850) 942–9300.

EIS No. 990145, DRAFT EIS, AFS, UT, South Manti Timber Salvage, To address Ecological and Economic Values affected by Spruce Beetle Activity in the South Manti Project, Manti-La National Forest, Ferron-Price and Sanpeter Ranger Districts, Sanpete and Sevier Counties, UT, Due: June 21, 1999, Contact: Don Fullmer (435) 637–2817.

EIS No. 990146, DRAFT EIS, FHW, NV, US-95 Improvements, Along Summerlin Parkway to the Local and Arterial Road Network in the Northwest Region of Las Vegas, Construction and Operation, Clark County, NV, Due: July 09, 1999, Contact: Daryl James (775) 888-7013.

EIS No. 990147, DRAFT EIS, SFW, WA, Little Pend Oreille National Wildlife Refuge, Implementation, Comprehensive Conservation Plan, Stevens and Pend Oreille Counties, WA, Due: June 30, 1999, Contact: Mike Marxen (503) 590–6596.

Amended Notices

EIS No. 990071, DRAFT EIS, FHW, CT, CT 82/85/11 Corridor Transportation

Improvements, Funding and COE Section 404 Permit, In the Towns of Salem, Montville, East Lyme and Waterford, CT, Due: May 21, 1999, Contact: Donald J. West (860) 659–6703.

Published FR 03–19–99—Review Period extended.

EIS No. 990075, FINAL EIS, COE, TX, Dallas Floodway Extension, Implementation, Trinity River Basin, Flood Damage Reduction and Environmental Restoration, Dallas County, TX, Due: May 19, 1999, Contact: Gene T. Rice, Jr. (817) 978– 2110.

Published FR—12–04–98—Review Period Extended.

EIS No. 990101, DRAFT EIS, COE, IL, WI, Upper Des Plaines River Flood Damage Reduction Project, Recommended Plan to Construction of a Lateral Storage Area, National Economic Development (NED), Lake County, IL and Kenosha and Racine Counties, WI, Due: June 04, 1999, Contact: Keith Ryder (312) 353–6400. Published FR 04–09–99 Review Period Extended.

EIS No. 990115, DRAFT EIS, SFW, WI, Karner Blue Butterfly Habitat Conservation Plan State-wide, Application for an Incidental Take Permit, several counties, WI, Due: June 15, 1999, Contact: Lisa Mandell (612) 713–5343.

Published FR-04-16-99 Due Date Correction.

Dated: May 4, 1999.

William D. Dickerson,

Director, Office of Federal Activities. [FR Doc. 99–11557 Filed 05–06–99; 8:45 am] BILLING CODE 6560–50–U

ENVIRONMENTAL PROTECTION AGENCY

[FRL-6337-6]

Meeting of the Ozone Transport Commission for the Northeast United States

AGENCY: Environmental Protection Agency (EPA).

ACTION: Notice of meeting.

SUMMARY: The United States Environmental Protection Agency is announcing the Annual meeting of the Ozone Transport Commission to be held on June 16, 1999.

This meeting is for the Ozone Transport Commission to deal with appropriate matters within the transport region, as provided for under the Clean Air Act Amendments of 1990. This meeting is not subject to the provisions of the Federal Advisory Committee Act, Public Law 92–463, as amended.

DATES: The meeting will be held on June 16, 1999 from 9:00 a.m. to 3:00 p.m.

ADDRESSES: The meeting will be held at: The Gideon Putnam Hotel and Conference Center, 24 Gideon Putnam Road, Saratoga Springs, NY 12866, (518) 584–3000.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT: *EPA:* Susan Studlien, U.S. Environmental Protection Agency—Region I, John F. Kennedy Federal Building, Boston, MA 02203, (617) 918–1510.

FOR DOCUMENTS AND PRESS INQUIRIES CONTACT: Stephanie A. Cooper, Ozone Transport Commission, 444 North Capitol Street, N.W., Suite 638, Washington, DC 20001, (202) 508–3840, e-mail: ozone@sso.org, website: http://www.sso.org/otc.

SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION: The Clean Air Act Amendments of 1990 contain at Section 184 provisions for the "Control of Interstate Ozone Air Pollution." Section 184(a) establishes an ozone transport region comprised of the States of Connecticut, Delaware, Maine, Maryland, Massachusetts, New Hampshire, New Jersey, New York, Pennsylvania, Rhode Island, Vermont, parts of Virginia and the District of Columbia.

The Assistant Administrator for Air and Radiation of the Environmental Protection Agency convened the first meeting of the commission in New York City on May 7, 1991. The purpose of the Transport Commission is to deal with ground level oxone formation, transport, and control within the transport region.

The purpose of this notice is to announce that this Commission will meet on June 16, 1999. The meeting will be held at the address noted earlier in this notice.

Section 176A(b)(2) of the Clean Air Act Amendments of 1990 specifies that the meetings of the Ozone Transport Commission are not subject to the provisions of the Federal Advisory Committee Act. This meeting will be open to the public as space permits.

TYPE OF MEETING: Open.

AGENDA: Copies of the final agenda will be available from Stephanie Cooper of the OTC office (202) 508–3840 (by email: ozone@sso.org or via our website at http://www.sso.org/otc) on Wednesday, June 9, 1999. The purpose of this meeting is to review air quality needs within the Northeast and Mid-Atlantic States, including reduction of motor vehicle and stationary source air pollution. The OTC is also expected to address issues related to the transport of