that the performance of the requirements of this AD is affected, the owner/operator must request approval for an alternative method of compliance in accordance with paragraph (c) of this AD. The request should include an assessment of the effect of the modification, alteration, or repair on the unsafe condition addressed by this AD; and, if the unsafe condition has not been eliminated, the request should include specific proposed actions to address it.

Compliance: Required as indicated, unless accomplished previously. To prevent critical life-limited rotating engine part failure, which could result in an uncontained engine failure and damage to the airplane, accomplish the following:

(a) Within the next 30 days after the effective date of this AD, revise the manufacturer's Life Limits Section of the Instructions for Continued Airworthiness (ICA), and for air carrier operations revise the

approved continuous airworthiness maintenance program, by adding the following:

## "MANDATORY INSPECTIONS

(1) Perform inspections of the following parts at each piece-part opportunity in accordance with the instructions provided in the applicable manual provisions:

Part nomenclature	Part No (P/N)	Inspect per engine manual chapter
HPCR Stage 7 Disk	All	72–31–07–200–001–001, Fluorescent-Penetrant Inspection (subtask 72–31–07–230–051) and 72–31–07–200–001, Eddy Current Inspection (subtask 72–31–07–250–051, or 72–31–07–250–052, or 72–31–07–250–053).
HPT Rotor Interstage Seal	All	72–53–03–200–001–001 Fluorescent Penetrant Inspection (subtask 72–53–03–230–053).

- (2) For the purposes of these mandatory inspections, piece-part opportunity means:
- (i) The part is considered completely disassembled when done in accordance with the disassembly instructions in the engine manufacturer's maintenance manual; and
- (ii) The part has accumulated more than 100 cycles in service since the last piece-part opportunity inspection, provided that the part was not damaged or related to the cause for its removal from the engine."
- (b) Except as provided in paragraph (c) of this AD, and notwithstanding contrary provisions in § 43.16 of the Federal Aviation Regulations (14 CFR 43.16), these mandatory inspections shall be performed only in accordance with Life Limits Section of the manufacturer's ICA.
- (c) An alternative method of compliance or adjustment of the compliance time that provides an acceptable level of safety may be used if approved by the Manager, Engine Certification Office. Operators shall submit their requests through an appropriate FAA Principal Maintenance Inspector (PMI), who may add comments and then send it to the Manager, Engine Certification Office.

**Note 2:** Information concerning the existence of approved alternative methods of compliance with this airworthiness directive, if any, may be obtained from the Certification Office.

- (d) Special flight permits may be issued in accordance with §§ 21.197 and 21.199 of the Federal Aviation Regulations (14 CFR 21.197 and 21.199) to operate the aircraft to a location where the requirements of this AD can be accomplished.
- (e) FAA-certificated air carriers that have an approved continuous airworthiness maintenance program in accordance with the record keeping requirement of § 121.369(c) of the Federal Aviation Regulations [14 CFR 121.369(c)] of this chapter must maintain records of the mandatory inspections that result from revising the Life Limits section of the ICA and the air carrier's continuous airworthiness program. Alternately, certificated air carriers may establish an approved system of record retention that provides a method for preservation and retrieval of the maintenance records that include the inspections resulting from this AD, and include the policy and procedures

for implementing this alternate method in the air carrier's maintenance manual required by § 121.369(c) of the Federal Aviation Regulations [14 CFR 121.369(c)]; however, the alternate system must be accepted by the appropriate PMI and require the maintenance records be maintained either indefinitely or until the work is repeated. Records of the piece-part inspections are not required under § 121.380(a)(2)(vi) of the Federal Aviation Regulations [14 CFR 121.380(a)(2)(vi)]. All other Operators must maintain the records of mandatory inspections required by the applicable regulations governing their operations.

**Note 3:** The requirements of this AD have been met when the engine manual changes are made and air carriers have modified their continuous airworthiness maintenance plans to reflect the requirements in the engine manuals.

(f) This amendment becomes effective on May 13, 1999.

Issued in Burlington, Massachusetts, on April 2, 1999.

# Jay J. Pardee,

Manager, Engine and Propeller Directorate, Aircraft Certification Service.

[FR Doc. 99–8859 Filed 4–12–99; 8:45 am]
BILLING CODE 4910–13–P

# **DEPARTMENT OF TRANSPORTATION**

# **Federal Aviation Administration**

#### 14 CFR Part 39

[Docket No. 98-ANE-38-AD; Amendment 39-11122; AD 99-08-16]

#### RIN 2120-AA64

Airworthiness Directives; CFM International (CFMI) CFM56-2, -2A, -2B, -3, -3B, and -3C Series Turbofan Engines

AGENCY: Federal Aviation Administration, DOT.
ACTION: Final rule.

**SUMMARY:** This amendment adopts a new airworthiness directive (AD), that requires revisions to the Engine Time Limits section in the Engine Shop Manual (ESM) for CFM International (CFMI) CFM56-2, -2A, -2B, -3, -3B, and -3C series turbofan engines to include required enhanced inspection of selected critical life-limited parts at each piece-part exposure. This amendment will also require an air carrier's approved continuous airworthiness maintenance program to incorporate these inspection procedures. This amendment is prompted by a Federal Aviation Administration (FAA) study of inservice events involving uncontained failures of critical rotating engine parts which indicated the need for improved inspections. The improved inspections are needed to identify those critical rotating parts with conditions, that if allowed to continue in service, could result in uncontained failures. The actions specified by this AD are intended to prevent critical life-limited rotating engine part failure, which could result in an uncontained engine failure and damage to the airplane.

DATES: Effective May 13, 1999.

ADDRESSES: The information referenced in this AD may be examined at the Federal Aviation Administration (FAA), New England Region, Office of the Regional Counsel, 12 New England Executive Park, Burlington, MA.

# FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT:

Robert Ganley, Aerospace Engineer, Engine Certification Office, FAA, Engine and Propeller Directorate, 12 New England Executive Park, Burlington, MA 01803–5299; telephone (781) 238–7138, fax (781) 238–7199.

**SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION:** A proposal to amend part 39 of the Federal Aviation Regulations (14 CFR part 39) to include an airworthiness directive (AD)

that is applicable to CFM International (CFMI) ĈFM56-2, -2A, -2B, -3, -3B, and -3C series turbofan engines was published in the Federal Register on July 28, 1998 (63 FR 40208). That action proposed to require revisions to the Engine Time Limits section in the Engine Shop Manual (ESM) for CFMI CFM56-2, -2A, -2B, -3, -3B, and -3Cseries turbofan engines to include required enhanced inspection of selected critical life-limited parts at each piece-part exposure. That action also proposed to require an air carrier's approved continuous airworthiness maintenance program to incorporate these inspection procedures.

Interested persons have been afforded an opportunity to participate in the making of this amendment. Due consideration has been given to the comments received.

Several commenters ask that the FAA clarify the record keeping aspects of the mandatory inspections resulting from the required changes to the Original Equipment Manufacturer's manual and operator's continuous airworthiness maintenance program. One commenter believes that paragraph (e) of the proposed AD is unclear and suggests that it be revised by eliminating the word "or" from the first sentence and beginning a second sentence with "In lieu of the record. \* \* \*" Two commenters state that the AD should be revised to clearly specify which types of maintenance records must be retained (i.e., inspection results, defect reporting requirements, date of performed maintenance, signature of the person performing the maintenance). These commenters believe that these revisions are necessary in order to avoid potential differences in interpretation between the air carriers and the FAA. And, one commenter states that the AD should clarify that there is no need for a special form to comply with the AD record keeping requirements. The FAA concurs in part. Generally, record keeping requirements are addressed in other regulations and this AD does not change those requirements. In order to allow flexibility from operator to operator, the FAA does not agree that the AD itself specify the precise nature of the records that will result from the required changes to the manufacturer's manual and operator's maintenance program. The FAA has, however, revised Paragraph (e) of this AD to clarify record keeping aspects of the new mandatory inspections.

One commenter believes that the table in paragraph (a)(1) is unclear and suggests it be revised to read "Mandatory Inspection/s" and that both the inspection and the appropriate manual reference (72–xx–xx) be included in this column. The FAA concurs in part. The table format in paragraph (a)(1) of the final rule has been revised to be consistent with the ESM.

Two commenters support the AD as proposed.

No comments were received on the economic analysis contained in the proposed rule. Based on that analysis, the FAA has determined that the annual per engine cost of \$860 does not create a significant economic impact on small entities.

After careful review of the available data, including the comments noted above, the FAA has determined that air safety and the public interest require the adoption of the rule as proposed.

The regulations adopted herein will not have substantial direct effects on the States, on the relationship between the national government and the States, or on the distribution of power and responsibilities among the various levels of government. Therefore, in accordance with Executive Order 12612, it is determined that this final rule does not have sufficient federalism implications to warrant the preparation of a Federalism Assessment.

For the reasons discussed above, I certify that this action (1) is not a 'significant regulatory action' under Executive Order 12866; (2) is not a 'significant rule'' under DOT Regulatory Policies and Procedures (44 FR 11034, February 26, 1979); and (3) will not have a significant economic impact, positive or negative, on a substantial number of small entities under the criteria of the Regulatory Flexibility Act. A final evaluation has been prepared for this action and it is contained in the Rules Docket. A copy of it may be obtained from the Rules Docket at the location provided under the caption ADDRESSES.

#### List of Subjects in 14 CFR Part 39

Air Transportation, Aircraft, Aviation safety, Safety.

# **Adoption of the Amendment**

Accordingly, pursuant to the authority delegated to me by the

Administrator, the Federal Aviation Administration amends part 39 of the Federal Aviation Regulations (14 CFR part 39) as follows:

# PART 39—AIRWORTHINESS DIRECTIVES

1. The authority citation for part 39 continues to read as follows:

Authority: 49 U.S.C. 106(g), 40113, 44701.

#### §39.13 [Amended]

2. Section 39.13 is amended by adding the following new airworthiness directive:

**99–08–16 CFM International:** Amendment 39–11122. Docket 98–ANE–38–AD.

Applicability: CFM International (CFMI) CFM56–2, –2A, –2B, –3, –3B, and –3C series turbofan engines, installed on but not limited to McDonnell Douglas DC–8 series, Boeing 737 series, as well as Boeing E–3, E–6, and KC–135 (military) series airplanes.

Note 1: This airworthiness directive (AD) applies to each engine identified in the preceding applicability provision, regardless of whether it has been modified, altered, or repaired in the area subject to the requirements of this AD. For engines that have been modified, altered, or repaired so that the performance of the requirements of this AD is affected, the owner/operator must request approval for an alternative method of compliance in accordance with paragraph (c) of this AD. The request should include an assessment of the effect of the modification, alteration, or repair on the unsafe condition addressed by this AD; and, if the unsafe condition has not been eliminated, the request should include specific proposed actions to address it.

*Compliance:* Required as indicated, unless accomplished previously.

To prevent critical life-limited rotating engine part failure, which could result in an uncontained engine failure and damage to the airplane, accomplish the following:

(a) Within the next 30 days after the effective date of this AD, revise the Time Limits section (chapter 05–11–00) of Engine Shop Manual (ESM) CFMI–TP.SM.4, for CFM56–2 series engines, ESM CFMI–TP.SM.6, for CFM56–2A/–2B series engines, and ESM CFMI–TP.SM.5, for CFM56–3/–3B/–3C series engines, and for air carrier operations, revise the approved continuous airworthiness maintenance program, by adding the following:

# "MANDATORY INSPECTIONS

(1) Perform inspections of the following parts at each piece-part opportunity in accordance with the Inspection/Check section instructions provided in the applicable manual sections listed below:

Part name	Engine manual section	Inspection
Fan Disk [All Part Numbers (P/N's)]		Disk Fluorescent-Penetrant Inspection (FPI) and Disk Bore and Dovetail Eddy Cur-
HPT Disk (All P/N's)	72–52–02	rent Inspection (ECI). Disk FPI and Disk Bolt Holes ECI.

(2) For the purposes of these mandatory inspections, piece-part opportunity means:

(i) The part is considered completely disassembled when accomplished in accordance with the disassembly instructions in the engine manufacturer's ESM; and

(ii) The part has accumulated more than 100 cycles in service since the last piece-part opportunity inspection, provided that the part was not damaged or related to the cause for its removal from the engine."

(b) Except as provided in paragraph (c) of this AD, and notwithstanding contrary provisions in § 43.16 of the Federal Aviation Regulations (14 CFR 43.16), these mandatory inspections shall be performed only in accordance with the Time Limits section in the manufacturer's ESM.

(c) An alternative method of compliance or adjustment of the compliance time that provides an acceptable level of safety may be used if approved by the Manager, Engine Certification Office. Operators shall submit their requests through an appropriate FAA Principal Maintenance Inspector (PMI), who may add comments and then send it to the Manager, Engine Certification Office.

**Note 2:** Information concerning the existence of approved alternative methods of compliance with this airworthiness directive, if any, may be obtained from the Engine Certification Office.

(d) Special flight permits may be issued in accordance with §§21.197 and 21.199 of the Federal Aviation Regulations (14 CFR 21.197 and 21.199) to operate the airplane to a location where the requirements of this AD can be accomplished.

(e) FAA-certificated air carriers that have an approved continuous airworthiness maintenance program in accordance with the record keeping requirement of § 121.369(c) of the Federal Aviation Regulations [14 CFR 121.369(c)] of this chapter must maintain records of the mandatory inspections that result from revising the Time Limits section of the ESM and the air carrier's continuous airworthiness program. Alternately certificated air carriers may establish an approved system of record retention that provides a method for preservation and retrieval of the maintenance records that include the inspections resulting from this AD, and include the policy and procedures for implementing this alternate method in the air carrier's maintenance manual required by § 121.369(c) of the Federal Aviation Regulations [14 CFR 121.369(c)]; however, the alternate system must be accepted by the appropriate PMI and require the maintenance records be maintained either indefinitely or until the work is repeated. Records of the piece-part inspections are not required under § 121.380(a)(2)(vi) of the Federal Aviation Regulations [14 CFR 121.380(a)(2)(vi)]. All other Operators must maintain the records of

mandatory inspections required by the applicable regulations governing their operations.

**Note 3:** The requirements of this AD have been met when the engine manual changes are made and air carriers have modified their continuous airworthiness maintenance plans to reflect the requirements in the engine manuals.

(f) This amendment becomes effective on May 13, 1999.

Issued in Burlington, Massachusetts, on April 2, 1999.

## Jay J. Pardee,

Manager, Engine and Propeller Directorate, Aircraft Certification Service.

[FR Doc. 99–8858 Filed 4–12–99; 8:45 am] BILLING CODE 4910–13–P

#### **DEPARTMENT OF TRANSPORTATION**

#### **Federal Aviation Administration**

#### 14 CFR Part 39

[Docket No. 99-SW-25-AD; Amendment 39-11127; AD 99-07-18]

# RIN 2120-AA64

# Airworthiness Directives; Robinson Helicopter Company Model R44 Helicopters

**AGENCY:** Federal Aviation Administration, DOT.

**ACTION:** Final rule; request for comments.

**SUMMARY:** This document publishes in the Federal Register an amendment adopting Airworthiness Directive (AD) 99-07-18 which was sent previously to all known U.S. owners and operators of Robinson Helicopter Company (RHC) Model R44 helicopters by individual letters. This AD requires, before further flight, inserting a Special Pilot Caution into the Normal Procedures section of the Rotorcraft Flight Manual (RFM). This amendment is prompted by several reports of sprag clutch assemblies with cracked or fractured sprag ends. The sprag clutch failures, determined to be due to a change in the manufacturing process, could result in loss of main rotor revolutions-per-minute (RPM) during autorotations. The intent of this AD is to alert pilots of the potential for the sprag clutch failing to overrun during autorotation, loss of main rotor

RPM, and subsequent loss of control of the helicopter.

**DATES:** Effective April 28, 1999, to all persons except those persons to whom it was made immediately effective by Priority Letter AD 99–07–18, issued on March 26, 1999, which contained the requirements of this amendment.

Comments for inclusion in the Rules Docket must be received on or before June 14, 1999.

ADDRESSES: Submit comments in triplicate to the Federal Aviation Administration (FAA), Office of the Regional Counsel, Southwest Region, Attention: Rules Docket No. 99–SW–25–AD, 2601 Meacham Blvd., Room 663, Fort Worth, Texas 76137.

## FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT:

Elizabeth Bumann, Aerospace Engineer, FAA, Los Angeles Aircraft Certification Office, Propulsion Branch, 3960 Paramount Blvd., Lakewood, California 90712, telephone (562) 627–5265, fax (562) 627–5210.

SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION: On March 26, 1999, the FAA issued Priority Letter AD 99-07-18, applicable to RHC Model R44 helicopters, which requires, before further flight, inserting a Special Pilot Caution into the Normal Procedures section of the RFM. That action was prompted by several reports of sprag clutch assemblies, including one from wreckage of an accident that occurred within the past year, with cracked or fractured sprag ends. The sprag clutch failures, determined to be due to a change in the manufacturing process, could result in loss of main rotor RPM during autorotations. The intent of that priority letter AD is to alert pilots of the potential for the sprag clutch failing to overrun during autorotation, loss of main rotor RPM, and subsequent loss of control of the helicopter.

The FAA has reviewed Robinson Helicopter Company R44 Service Bulletin SB–32, dated March 22, 1999, which describes procedures for checking whether sprag clutches with certain serial numbers are installed and replacing certain serial numbered sprag clutches, and inserting a Special Pilot Caution in the Normal Procedures section of the RFM.

Since the unsafe condition described is likely to exist or develop on other RHC Model R44 helicopters of the same