specify operation on Channel 244C2 in lieu of Channel 244A, BPH–97031IC.) The coordinates for Channel 244C2 at Frankston are 32–02–02 NL and 95–24–30 WL. With this action, this proceeding is terminated.

EFFECTIVE DATE: May 17, 1999. FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT: Kathleen Scheuerle, Mass Media Bureau, (202) 418–2180.

SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION: This is a summary of the Commission's Report and Order, MM Docket No. 98-37, adopted March 10, 1999, and released April 2, 1999. The full text of this Commission decision is available for inspection and copying during normal business hours in the Commission's Reference Center (Room 239), 1919 M Street, NW, Washington, DC. The complete text of this decision may also be purchased from the Commission's copy contractors, International Transcription Services, Inc., 1231 20th Street, NW, Washington, DC 20036, (202) 857-3800, facsimile (202) 857-

## List of Subjects in 47 CFR Part 73

Radio broadcasting. Part 73 of title 47 of the Code of Federal Regulations is amended as follows:

### PART 73—[AMENDED]

1. The authority citation for Part 73 continues to read as follows:

Authority: 47 U.S.C. 154, 303, 334 and 336.

#### §73.202 [Amended]

2. Section 73.202(b), the Table of FM Allotments under Texas, is amended by removing Channel 244A at Palestine and adding Frankston, Channel 244C2.

Federal Communications Commission.

### John A. Karousos,

Chief, Allocations Branch, Policy and Rules Division, Mass Media Bureau.

[FR Doc. 99–8740 Filed 4–7–99; 8:45 am] BILLING CODE 6712–01–M

## ENVIRONMENTAL PROTECTION AGENCY

48 CFR Parts 1533 and 1552

[FRL-6320-1]

Acquisition Regulation: Incorporate Solicitation Notice for Agency Protests

**AGENCY:** Environmental Protection

Agency (EPA).

**ACTION:** Direct Final Rule.

**SUMMARY:** EPA is taking direct final action on amending the EPA

Acquisition Regulation (EPAAR) (48 CFR Chapter 15) to include the solicitation notice of the filing requirements for Agency protests. **DATES:** This rule is effective on July 7, 1999 without further notice, unless EPA receives adverse comments by June 7, 1999. If we receive adverse comments, we will publish a timely withdrawal in the **Federal Register** informing the public that this rule will not take effect. ADDRESSES: Written comments should be submitted to the contact listed below at the following address: U.S. Environmental Protection Agency, Office of Acquisition Management (3802R), 401 M Street, SW, Washington, D.C. 20460. Comments and data may also be submitted electronically by sending electronic mail (e-mail) to: Avellar.Linda@epamail.epa.gov. Electronic comments must be submitted as an ASCII file avoiding the use of special characters and any form of encryption. Comments and data will also be accepted on disks in WordPerfect in 6.1 formal or ASCII file format. No confidential business information (CBI) should be submitted through e-mail. Electronic comments on this rule may be filed on-line at many Federal Depository Libraries.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT: Linda Avellar, U.S. EPA, Office of Acquisition Management, (3802R), 401 M Street, SW, Washington, D.C. 20460, Telephone: (202) 564–4356.

## SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION:

## A. Background

This direct final rule includes the notice of filing requirements for Agency protests. This notice of filing is in accordance with the Federal Acquisition Regulation (FAR) 33.103(d)(4). EPA is publishing this rule without prior proposal because we view this as a noncontroversial amendment and anticipate no adverse comment; usage of this notice of filing in Agency solicitations has been non-controversial. This rule will be effective on July 7, 1999 without further notice unless we receive adverse comments by June 7, 1999. If EPA receives adverse comments, we will publish a timely withdrawal in the Federal Register informing the public that the rule will not take effect. We also will publish a notice of proposed rulemaking in a future edition of the **Federal Register**. We will address the comments on the direct final rule as part of that proposed rulemaking.

#### B. Executive Order 12866

The direct final rule is not a significant regulatory action for the purposes of Executive Order 12866;

therefore, no review is required by the Office of Information and Regulatory Affairs within the Office of Management and Budget (OMB).

#### C. Paperwork Reduction Act

The Paperwork Reduction Act does not apply because this direct final rule does not contain information collection requirements that require the approval of OMB under the Paperwork Reduction Act of 1980 (44 U.S.C. 3501 *et seq.*).

### D. Regulatory Flexibility Act

The EPA certifies that this direct final rule does not exert a significant economic impact on a substantial number of small entities. The requirements to contractors under the rule impose no reporting, recordkeeping, or any compliance costs.

#### E. Unfunded Mandates

Title II of the Unfunded Mandates Reform Act of 1995 (UMRA), Public Law 104-4, establishes requirements for Federal agencies to assess their regulatory actions on State, local, and tribal governments, and the private sector. This direct final rule does not contain a Federal mandate that may result in expenditures of \$100 million or more for State, local, and tribal governments, in the aggregate, or the private sector in one year. Any private sector costs for this action relate to paperwork requirements and associated expenditures that are far below the level established for UMRA applicability. Thus, the rule is not subject to the requirements of sections 202 and 205 of the UMRA.

## F. Executive Order 13045

Protection of Children from **Environmental Health Risks and Safety** Risks (62 FR 19885, April 23, 1997), applies to any rule that: (1) is determined to be economically significant as defined under Executive Order 12866, and (2) concerns an environmental health or safety risk that EPA has reason to believe may have a disproportionate effect on children. If the regulatory action meets both criteria, the Agency must evaluate the environmental health or safety effects of the planned rule on children, and explain why the planned regulation is preferable to other potentially effective and reasonably feasible alternatives considered by the Agency.

This rule is not subject to E.O. 13045 because it is not an economically significant rule as defined by E.O. 12866, and because it does not involve decisions on environmental health or safety risks.

#### G. Executive Order 12875

Under E.O. 12875, EPA may not issue a regulation that is not required by statute and creates a mandate upon a State, local, or tribal government, unless the Federal government provides the funds necessary to pay the direct compliance costs incurred by those governments, or EPA consults with those governments. If EPA complies by consulting, E.O. 12875 requires EPA to provide OMB a description of the extent of EPA's prior consultation with representatives of affected State, local, and tribal governments, the nature of their concerns, copies of any written communications from the governments, and a statement supporting the need to issue the regulation. In addition, E.O. 12875 requires EPA to develop an effective process permitting elected officials and other representatives of State, local, and tribal governments "to provide meaningful and timely input in the development of regulatory proposals containing significant unfunded mandates." Today's rule does not create a mandate on State, local, or tribal governments. The rule does not impose any enforceable duties on these entities. Accordingly, the requirements of section 1(a) of E.O. 12875 do not apply to this rule.

## H. Executive Order 13084

Under E.O. 13084, EPA may not issue a regulation that is not required by statute, that significantly or uniquely affects the communities of Indian tribal governments, and that imposes substantial direct compliance costs on those communities, unless the Federal government provides the funds necessary to pay the direct compliance costs incurred by tribal governments, or EPA consults with those governments. If EPA complies by consulting, E.O. 13084 requires EPA to provide to the OMB, in a separately identified section of the preamble to the rule, a description of the extent of EPA's prior consultation with representatives of affected tribal governments, a summary of the nature of their concerns, and a statement supporting the need to issue the regulation. In addition, E.O. 13084 requires EPA to develop an effective process permitting elected and other representatives of Indian tribal government "to provide meaningful and timely input in the development of regulatory policies on matters that significantly or uniquely affect their communities.'

Today's rule does not significantly or uniquely affect the communities of Indian tribal governments. Accordingly, the requirements of section 3(b) of E.O. 13084 do not apply to this rule.

## I. National Technology Transfer and Advancement Act of 1995

Section 12(d) of the National Technology Transfer and Advancement Act of 1995 ("NTTAA"), Pub. L. 104-113, section 12(d) (15 U.S.C. 272 note) directs EPA to use voluntary consensus standards in its regulatory activities unless to do so would be inconsistent with applicable law or otherwise impractical. Voluntary consensus standards are technical standards (e.g., materials specifications, test methods, sampling procedures, and business practices) that are developed or adopted by voluntary consensus standards bodies. The NTTAA directs EPA to provide Congress, through OMB, explanations when the Agency decides not to use available and applicable voluntary consensus standards.

This rulemaking does not involve technical standards. Therefore, EPA is not considering the use of any voluntary consensus standards.

# J. Submission to Congress and the General Accounting Office

The Congressional Review Act, 5 U.S.C. 801 et seq., as added by the Small **Business Regulatory Enforcement** Fairness Act of 1996, generally provides that before a rule may take effect, the agency promulgating the rule must submit a rule report, which includes a copy of the rule, to each House of the Congress and to the Comptroller General of the United States. EPA will submit a report containing this rule and other required information to the U.S. Senate, the U.S. House of Representatives, and the Comptroller General of the United States prior to publication of the rule in the **Federal Register**. This rule is not a "major rule" as defined by 5 U.S.C. 804(2).

**Authority:** The provisions of this regulation are issued under 5 U.S.C. 301; Sec. 205(c), 63 Stat. 390, as amended, 40 U.S.C. 486(c).

## List of Subjects in 48 CFR Parts 1533 and 1552

Government procurement.

Therefore, 48 CFR Chapter 15 is amended as set forth below:

## PARTS 1533 AND 1552—[AMENDED]

1. The authority citations for part 1533 and for part 1552 continue to read as follows:

**Authority:** Sec. 205(c), 63 Stat. 390, as amended, 40 U.S.C. 486(c).

2. Section 1533.103, is revised to read as follows: 1533.103 Protests to the Agency.

Protests to the Agency are processed pursuant to the requirements of FAR 33.103. Contracting Officers must include in every solicitation the provision at 1552.233–70, Notice of Filing Requirements for Agency Protests.

3. Part 1552 is amended by adding the following new Section 1552.233–70:

# 1552.233-70 Notice of Filing Requirements for Agency Protests.

As prescribed in 1533.103, insert the following clause in all types of solicitations:

#### Notice of Filing Requirements for Agency Protests July 1999

Agency protests must be filed with the Contracting Officer in accordance with the requirements of FAR 33.103 (d) and (e). Within 10 calendar days after receipt of an adverse Contracting Officer decision, the protester may submit a written request for an independent review by the Head of the Contracting Activity. This independent review is available only as an appeal of a Contracting Officer decision on a protest. Accordingly, as provided in 4 CFR 21.2(a)(3), any protest to the GAO must be filed within 10 days of knowledge of the initial adverse Agency action.

Dated: March 1, 1999.

## Betty L. Bailey,

Director, Office of Acquisition Management. [FR Doc. 99–8479 Filed 4–7–99; 8:45 am]
BILLING CODE 6560–50–P

#### **DEPARTMENT OF THE INTERIOR**

#### Fish and Wildlife Service

50 CFR Part 17

RIN 1018-AF01

## Endangered and Threatened Wildlife and Plants; Determination of Threatened Status for the Jarbidge River Population Segment of Bull Trout

**AGENCY:** Fish and Wildlife Service, Interior.

**ACTION:** Final rule.

SUMMARY: We, the U.S. Fish and Wildlife Service (Service), determine threatened status for the Jarbidge River distinct population segment of bull trout (Salvelinus confluentus) from the Jarbidge River basin in northern Nevada and southern Idaho, with a special rule, pursuant to the Endangered Species Act of 1973, as amended (Act). The Jarbidge River population segment, composed of a single subpopulation with few individuals, is threatened by habitat degradation from past and ongoing land