provide references to those specific sources and documents of which the petitioner is aware and on which the petitioner intends to rely to establish those facts or expert opinion. Petitioner must provide sufficient information to show that a genuine dispute exists with the applicant on a material issue of law or fact. Contentions shall be limited to matters within the scope of the amendment under consideration. The contention must be one which, if proven, would entitle the petitioner to relief. A petitioner who fails to file such a supplement which satisfies these requirements with respect to at least one contention will not be permitted to participate as a party.

Those permitted to intervene become parties to the proceeding, subject to any limitations in the order granting leave to intervene, and have the opportunity to participate fully in the conduct of the hearing, including the opportunity to present evidence and cross-examine witnesses.

If a hearing is requested, the Commission will make a final determination on the issue of no significant hazards consideration. The final determination will serve to decide when the hearing is held.

If the final determination is that the amendment request involves no significant hazards consideration, the Commission may issue the amendment and make it immediately effective, notwithstanding the request for a hearing. Any hearing held would take place after issuance of the amendment.

If the final determination is that the amendment request involves a significant hazards consideration, any hearing held would take place before the issuance of any amendment.

Normally, the Commission will not issue the amendment until the expiration of the 30-day notice period. However, should circumstances change during the notice period such that failure to act in a timely way would result, for example, in derating or shutdown of the facility, the Commission may issue the license amendment before the expiration of the 30-day notice period, provided that its final determinations is that the amendment involves no significant hazards consideration. The final determination will consider all public and State comments received. Should the Commission take this action, it will publish in the Federal Register a notice of issuance and provide for opportunity for a hearing after issuance. The Commission expects that the need to take this action will occur very infrequently.

A request for a hearing or a petition for leave to intervene that seeks to invoke the hybrid hearing procedures in accordance with this notice must be filed with the Secretary of the Commission, U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission, Washington, DC 20555-0001, Attention: Rulemakings and Adjudications Staff, or may be delivered to the Commission's Public Document Room, the Gelman Building, 2120 L Street, NW., Washington, DC, by the above date. A copy of the petition should also be sent to the Office of the General Counsel, U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission, Washington, DC 20555-0001, and to M.S. Ross, Florida Power & Light Company, P.O. Box 14000, Juno Beach, FL 33408-0420, attorney for the licensee.

Nontimely filings of petitions for leave to intervene, amended petitions, supplemental petitions and/or requests for hearing will not be entertained absent a determination by the Commission, the presiding officer or the presiding Atomic Safety and Licensing Board that the petition and/or request should be granted based upon a balancing of the factors specified in 10 CFR 2.714(a)(1)(i)–(v) and 2.714(d).

For further details with respect to this action, see the application for amendment dated December 31, 1997, as supplemented May 15, 1998, September 15, 1998, November 25, 1998, and January 28, 1999, which are available for public inspection at the Commission's Public Document Room, the Gelman Building, 2120 L Street, NW., Washington, DC, and at the local public document room located at the Indian River Community College Library, 3209 Virginia Avenue, Fort Pierce, Florida 34981–5596.

Dated at Rockville, Maryland, this 30th day of March 1999.

For the Nuclear Regulatory Commission. **William C. Gleaves**,

Project Manager, Project Directorate II, Division of Licensing Project Management, Office of Nuclear Reactor Regulation. [FR Doc. 99–8314 Filed 4–2–99; 8:45 am] BILLING CODE 7590–01–P

NUCLEAR REGULATORY COMMISSION

[Docket No. IA-98-006; ASLBP No. 99-765-02-EA]

Gary Isakoff; establishment of Atomic Safety and Licensing Board

Pursuant to delegation by the Commission dated December 29, 1972, published in the **Federal Register**, 37 FR 28,710 (1972), and Sections 2.700,

2.702, 2.714, 2.714a, 2.717, 2.721 of the Commission's Regulations, all as amended, an Atomic Safety and Licensing Board is being established to preside over the following proceeding.

Gary Isakoff, Order Prohibiting

Involvement in NRC-Licensed Activities, IA-98-006

In accordance with 10 CFR 2.202, this Board is established as a result of a request for hearing dated March 16, 1999, submitted by Attorney John F. O'Riordan on behalf of petitioner, Gary Isakoff. The request is pursuant to an NRC Order published in the Federal Register on March 10, 1999 (64 FR 11,954). The Order prohibits Mr. Isakoff from participating in NRC-licensed activities for a period of one year. The Order requires him to immediately cease his involvement in any NRClicensed activities; inform the NRC of the name, address, and telephone number of the employer for which he was performing those activities; and provide a copy of the Order to that employer. In addition, the Order requires Mr. Isakoff to notify NRC of any employment involving NRC-licensed activities for one year following the prohibition period.

The Board is comprised of the following administrative judges:

Charles Bechhoefer, Chairman, Atomic Safety and Licensing Board Panel, U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission, Washington, D.C. 20555–0001

Dr. Richard F. Cole, Atomic Safety and Licensing Board Panel, U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission, Washington, D.C. 20555–0001

Dr. Charles N. Kelber, Atomic Safety and Licensing Board Panel, U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission, Washington, D.C. 20555–0001

All correspondence, documents and other materials in this proceeding shall be filed with the Judges in accordance with 10 CFR 2.701.

Issued at Rockville, Maryland, this 30th day of March 1999.

G. Paul Bollwerk III,

Acting Chief Administrative Judge, Atomic Safety and Licensing Board Panel.

[FR Doc. 99–8312 Filed 4–2–99; 8:45 am] BILLING CODE 7590–01–P

NUCLEAR REGULATORY COMMISSION

Advisory Committee on Reactor Safeguards, Meeting of the Subcommittee on Plant License Renewal; Notice of Meeting

The ACRS Subcommittee on Plant License Renewal will hold a meeting on April 28 and 29, 1999, in Room T–2B3, 11545 Rockville Pike, Rockville, Maryland.

The entire meeting will be open to public attendance.

The agenda for the subject meeting shall be as follows:

Wednesday, April 28, 1999—1:00 p.m. until the conclusion of business

Thursday, April 29, 1999—8:30 a.m. until the conclusion of business

The Subcommittee will review the NRC staff's Safety Evaluation Report concerning Calvert Cliffs Plant License Renewal Application, and related matters. The purpose of this meeting is to gather information, analyze relevant issues and facts, and to formulate proposed positions and actions, as appropriate, for deliberation by the full Committee.

Oral statements may be presented by members of the public with the concurrence of the Subcommittee Chairman; written statements will be accepted and made available to the Committee. Electronic recordings will be permitted only during those portions of the meeting that are open to the public, and questions may be asked only by members of the Subcommittee, its consultants, and staff. Persons desiring to make oral statements should notify the cognizant ACRS staff engineer named below five days prior to the meeting, if possible, so that appropriate arrangements can be made.

During the initial portion of the meeting, the Subcommittee, along with any of its consultants who may be present, may exchange preliminary views regarding matters to be considered during the balance of the meeting.

The Subcommittee will then hear presentations by and hold discussions with representatives of the NRC staff and other interested persons regarding this review.

Further information regarding topics to be discussed, whether the meeting has been canceled or rescheduled, and the Chairman's ruling on requests for the opportunity to present oral statements and the time allotted therefor, can be obtained by contacting the cognizant ACRS staff engineer, Mr. Noel F. Dudley (telephone 301/415-6888) between 7:30 a.m. and 4:15 p.m. (EST). Persons planning to attend this meeting are urged to contact the above named individual one or two working days prior to the meeting to be advised of any potential changes to the agenda, etc., that may have occurred.

Dated: March 30, 1999.

Richard P. Savio,

Associate Director for Technical Support, ACRS/ACNW.

[FR Doc. 99–8311 Filed 4–2–99; 8:45 am] BILLING CODE 7590–01–P

NUCLEAR REGULATORY COMMISSION

Issuance of Directors Decision Under 10 CFR 2.206

Notice is hereby given that by petition dated October 15, 1998, the Natural Resources Defense Council (NRDC) has requested that the U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission (NRC) exert authority to ensure that the U.S. Army Corps of Engineers' (the Corps) handling of radioactive materials in connection with the Formerly Utilized Sites Remedial Action Program (FUSRAP) is effected in accord with properly issued license and all other applicable requirements. As NRDC notes in its petition, FUSRAP began in 1974 as a program of the U.S. Department of Energy (DOE), and that DOE had identified a total of 46 sites for cleanup under FUSRAP. By 1997, cleanup of 25 of these sites had been completed. There are currently 21 sites still in need of remediation. In October 1997, Congress transferred funding for FUSRAP from DOE to the Corps. NRDC believes that the Corps should obtain an NRC license to conduct activities under FUSRAP. At this time, the NRC has not required the Corps to obtain a license.

The request has been referred to the Director of the Office of Nuclear Material Safety and Safeguards. A copy of the petition was sent to DOE and the Corps, and DOE and the Corps were given the opportunity to comment.

By letter dated November 30, 1998, NRC acknowledged receipt of the October 15, 1998, Petition.

The Director, Office of Nuclear Materials Safety and Safeguards, has determined that the request should be denied for the reasons stated in the "Director's Decision Under 10 CFR 2.206" (DD–99–07), the complete text of which follows this notice and which is available for public inspection in the Commission's Public Document Room, the Gelman Building, located at 2120 L Street, N.W, Washington D.C. 20555, and is also available on the NRC Electronic Bulletin Board at (800) 952–9676.

A copy of this Decision has been filed with the Secretary of the Commission for the Commission's review in accordance with 10 CFR 2.206(c) of the Commission's regulations. As provided

by this regulation, this Decision will constitute the final action of the Commission 25 days after the date of issuance unless the Commission, on its own motion, institutes review of the Decision within that time.

Dated at Rockville, Maryland, this 26 day of March 1999.

For the Nuclear Regulatory Commission. **Carl J. Paperiello**,

Director, Office of Nuclear Material Safety and Safeguards.

Director's Decision Under 10 CFR § 2.206

I. Introduction

On October 15, 1998, Thomas B. Cochran, Ph.D., Director, Nuclear Program, Natural Resources Defense Council (NRDC) and James Sottile, IV, Caplin & Drysdale, Chartered, filed a petition on behalf of NRDC (the 'petitioner'') addressed to L. Joseph Callan, Executive Director for Operations, U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission (NRC). The petition requests that NRC exert authority to ensure that the Corps of Engineers' handling of radioactive materials in connection with the Formerly Utilized Sites Remedial Action Program (FUSRAP) is effected in accord with a properly issued license and all other applicable requirements.

II. Background

During the 1940s, 1950s, and 1960s, the Manhattan Engineer District and the Atomic Energy Commission performed work at a number of sites throughout the United States as part of the nation's early atomic energy program. Although many of the sites were cleaned up under guidelines in effect at the time, residual contamination remains at many of the sites today. The contaminants at these sites involved primarily low levels of uranium, thorium, and radium, with their associated decay products. The U.S. Department of Energy (DOE) began FUSRAP in 1974 to study these sites and take appropriate cleanup action. By 1997, DOE had identified 46 sites in the program and had completed remediation at 25 sites with some ongoing operation, maintenance, and monitoring being undertaken by DOE. Remedial action was planned, underway, or pending final closeout at the remaining 21 sites.

On October 13, 1997, Congress passed the 1998 Energy and Water Development Appropriations Act,¹ which transferred administration of FUSRAP to the U.S. Army Corps of

¹ Energy and Water Development Appropriations Act, 1998, Pub. L. No. 105–62, 111 Stat. 1326 (1997)