909–394–8715 by Friday, April 23, 1999.

Objectives

At the scoping meetings, the staff will: (1) summarize the environmental issues tentatively identified for analysis in the EA; (2) solicit from the meeting participants all available information, especially quantifiable data, on the resources at issue; (3) encourage statements from experts and the public on issues that should be analyzed in the EA, including viewpoints in opposition to, or in support of, the staff's preliminary views; (4) determine the resource issues to be addressed in the EA; and (5) identify those issues that require a detailed analysis, as well as those issues that do not require a detailed analysis.

Procedures

The meetings will be recorded by a stenographer and will become part of the formal record of the Commission proceeding on the project. Individuals presenting statements at the meetings will be asked to sign in before the meeting starts and to clearly identify themselves for the record.

Individuals, organizations, and agencies with environmental expertise and concerns are encouraged to attend the meetings and to assist the staff in defining and clarifying the issues to be addressed in the EA.

Linwood A. Watson, Jr.,

Acting Secretary.

[FR Doc. 99–8116 Filed 4-1–99; 8:45 am] BILLING CODE 6717–01–M

ENVIRONMENTAL PROTECTION AGENCY

[ER-FRL-6241-3]

Environmental Impact Statements; Notice of Availability

Responsible Agency: Office of Federal Activities, General Information (202) 564–7167 OR (202) 564–7153. Weekly receipt of Environmental Impact Statements Filed March 22, 1999 Through March 26, 1999 Pursuant to 40 CFR 1506.9.

EIS No. 990093, LEGISLATIVE FINAL EIS, UAF, AZ, Barry M. Goldwater Ranger (BMGR), Renewal of the Military Land Withdrawal, Yuma, Pima and Maricopa Counties, AZ, Due: May 03, 1999, Contact: Jack Bush (703) 604–0553.

EIS No. 990094, FINAL SUPPLEMENTAL, TVA, TN, Kingston Fossil Plant Alternative Coal Receiving Systems, New Rail Spur Construction near the Cities of Kingston and Harriman, Roane County, TN, Due: May 03, 1999, Contact: Harold M. Draper (423) 632– 6889.

EIS No. 990095, LEGISLATIVE FINAL EIS, UAF, NV, Nellis Air Force Range (NAFR), Renewal of the Land Withdrawal to Provide a Safe and Secure Location to Test Equipment and Train Military Personnel, Clark, Lincoln and Nye Counties, NV, Due: May 03, 1999, Contact: Jack Bush (703) 604–0553.

EIS No. 990096, DRAFT EIS, USA, NJ, Military Ocean Terminal (MOTBY), Disposal and Reuse, Implementation, in the City of Bayonne, Bergen, Essex and Hudson Counties, NJ, Due: May 17, 1999, Contact: Theresa Persick-Arnold (703) 697–0216.

EIS No. 990097, FINAL EIS, DOE, AZ, Griffith Energy Project, Construction and Operation, 520-Megawatt (MW) Natural Gas-Fired and Combined Cycle Power Plant, Right-of-Way Grant, Operating Permit and COE Section 404 Permit, Kingman, AZ, Due: May 03, 1999, Contact: John Holt (602) 352–2692.

EIS No. 990098, DRAFT
SUPPLEMENTAL, JUS, Programmatic EIS—U.S. Immigration and
Naturalization Service (INS) and U. S. Joint Task Force-Six (JTF-6)
Implementation, Construction and Operation of Integrated Surveillance Intelligence System Project (ISIS) and Drug Law Enforcement Agencies (DLEA), Continental U.S., TX, NM, AZ, CA, Due: May 17, 1999, Contact: Eric Verwers (817) 978–0202.

Amended Notices

EIS No. 990081, DRAFT EIS, UAF, LA, TX, NM, Realistic Bomber Training Initiative, Improve the B-52 and B-1 Aircrews Mission Training and Maximize Combat Training Time, Barksdale Air Force Base, La, NM and TX, Due: June 16, 1999, Contact: Major Brent Adams (915) 696–2863. Published FR-03-19-99 Review Period Extended.

Dated: March 30, 1999.

William D. Dickerson,

Director, NEPA Compliance Division, Office of Federal Activities.

[FR Doc. 99–8182 Filed 4–1–99; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 6560–50–U

ENVIRONMENTAL PROTECTION AGENCY

[ER-FRL-6241-4]

Environmental Impact Statements and Regulations; Availability of EPA Comments

Availability of EPA comments prepared March 8, 1999 through March 12, 1999 pursuant to the Environmental Review Process (ERP), under Section 309 of the Clean Air Act and Section 102(2)(c) of the National Environmental Policy Act as amended. Requests for copies of EPA comments can be directed to the Office of Federal activities at (202) 564–7167.

An explanation of the ratings assigned to draft environmental impact statements (EISs) was published in the **Federal Register** dated April 10, 1998 (63 FR 17856).

Draft EISs

ERP No. D-AFS-B65005-NH Rating EC2, Appalachian Mountain Club (AMC) Huts and Pinkham Notch Visitor Center (PNVC) Continued Operations, Special Use Permit and Possible COE Permit Issuance, White Mountain National Forest, Grafton and Coos Counties, NH.

Summary: EPA expressed environmental concerns with regard to the analysis of impacts to water supply, ground water and wetlands and asked that those issues be addressed in the final EIS in order to fully evaluate the environmental acceptability of the proposed project.

ERP No. D-AFS-B65008-VT Rating EC2, Mount Snow/Haystack Resort, Expansion of Snowmaking Coverage and Development of Alternative Water Supplies, Special-Use-Permit and COE Section 404 Permit, Green Mountain National Forest, Manchester Ranger District, Windham County, VT.

Summary: EPA expressed environmental concerns pertaining to water quality impacts and asked for a more complete discussion of cumulative effects associated with the development of the proposed snowmaking infrastructure. EPA supported the proposed changes that would bring existing water withdrawals in line with established flow criteria and encouraged the Forest Service to use the comments on the DEIS to frame discussions about modifications to the existing water withdrawal settlement agreement.

ERP No. D-AFS-J65217-UT Rating EC2, Brighton Ski Resort Master Development Plan Updated, Implementation, Wasatch-Cache National Forest, Salt Lake City, UT. Summary: EPA expressed environmental concerns from based primarily on the need for identifying potential adverse impacts to wetlands resources from snow storage. The final EIS should include additional mitigation measures to reduce impacts to the aquatic ecosystem.

ERP No. D-AFS-J65293-MT Rating EC2, Taylor Fork Timber Sale and Road Restoration, Implementation, Buck Creek, Taylor Fork Creek and Eldridge Creek, Gallatin National Forest, Madison Ranger, Hebgen Lake Ranger District, Yellow Stone, Gallatin County, MT

Summary: EPA expressed environmental concerns due to lack of information regarding wetlands, existing watershed conditions, and potential impacts to westslope cutthroat trout.

ÉRP No. D-AFS-J65298-CO Rating EC2, South Fork Salvage Analysis Area, Implementation, Routt Divide Blowdown, Land and Resource Management Plan, Medicine Bow-Routt National Forests, Hahns Peak/Bears Ears Ranger District, Rounty County, CO.

Summary: EPA expressed environmental concerns and requested information on impacts from road reconstruction actions and identification of any roads in Streamline Management Zones (SMZs).

ERP No. D-AFS-L61218-ID Rating EC2, Frank Church—River of No Return Wilderness (FC-RONRW), Implementation for the Future Management of Land and Water Resource, Bitterroot, Boise, Nez Perce, Payette and Salmon-Challis National Forests, ID.

Summary: EPA expressed environmental concerns related to the potential adverse of using herbicides to manage noxious weeds in wilderness areas.

ERP No. D-AFS-L65313-ID Rating EC2, Silver Creek Integrated Resource Project, Implementation, Middle Fork Payette River, Boise National Forest, Boise and Valley Counties, ID.

Summary: EPA expressed environmental concerns with potential adverse impacts to water quality, air quality and wilderness habitat.

ERP No. D-AFS-L65314-OR Rating EO2, Mill Creek Watershed Timber Sales Project, Implementation, Ochoco National Forest, Crook County, OR.

Summary: EPA expressed environmental objections with the proposed alternative and with potential adverse impacts to water quality, wildlife and riparian conservation areas.

ERP No. D-ÅFS-L65315-ID Rating EO2, West Fork Potlatch Timber Harvesting, Road Construction, Reforestation and Watershed Restoration, Palouse Ranger District, Latah County, ID.

Summary: EPA expressed environmental concerns related to the lack of an adequate water quality analysis, impacts associated with road construction, and the failure to treat the Potlatch basin as a key watershed. EPA recommends that the Forest Service consider the value of withdrawing the EIS and issuing a revised document.

ERP No. D-AFS-L65320-00 Rating EC2, Targhee National Forest Open Road and Open Motorized Trail Analysis, To Implement a new Travel Plan, several counties, ID and Lincoln and Teton Counties, WY.

Summary: EPA expressed environmental concerns with potential impacts to water quality, cutthroat trout, canada lynx and their habitat.

ERP No. D-BLM-K65214-AZ Rating EC2, Dos Pobres/San Juan Mining Plan and Land Exchange, Implementation of two Open Pit Copper Mines and one Central Ore Facility, NPDES and COE Section 404 Permits, Graham County, AZ.

Summary: EPA expressed environmental concerns over potential impacts to air resources, water resources and quality, and water-related biologic resources. EPA expect that additional mitigation measures of clarification of planned mitigation and monitoring, and inclusion of other additional requested information in the Final EIS may alleviate or reduce these concerns. EPA level of concern over potential impacts to air and water resources has also been raised by the physical magnitude and potential long time line of the Proposed Action.

ERP No. D-NPS-L61222-WA Rating LO, Lake Roosevelt National Recreation Area, General Management Plan, Implementation, Ferry, Grant, Lincoln, Okanogan and Stevens Counties, WA.

Summary: EPA had no objection to the preferred alternative. EPA did request further discussion about how the Lake Roosevelt Management Agreement affects development of a new plan; the effect of lake level fluctuations on recreation facilities: water resources; geology; and sustain ability.

Final EISs

ERP No. F-AFS-K65176-CA Sequoia National Forest Trail System Plan, Implementation, Amendment to the Sequoia National Forest Land and Resource Management Plan, Fresno, Kern and Tulare Counties, CA.

Summary: EPA expressed environmental concerns with the final plan. Elements of the plan may conflict the moratorium on construction in roadless areas, and the Clean Water Action Plan, and EPA requested that the Forest Service suspend evaluation and construction of new motorized trails pursuant to the Forest Plan amendment pending the release or the Forest Service's long-term transportation policy and the completion of the Sierra Nevada Forest Plan Amendment NEPA process.

ERP No. F-BLM-L61219-AK Squirrel River Wild and Scenic River Suitability Study, Designation and Non-Designation, National Wild and Scenic Rivers System, AK.

Summary: Review of the Final EIS was not deemed necessary. No formal comment letter was sent to the preparing agency.

ERP No. F-NPS-E61038-TN Stones River National Battlefield General Management Plan and Development Concept Plan, Implementation, Ruthford County, TN.

Summary: EPA recommends that new building construction and renovations meet energy efficiency strategies, as outlined in the American Society for Heating, Refrigeration and Air Conditioning Efficiency guidelines. Any contractors working in the Stones River floodplain should be required to conform to "Floodplain Management Guidelines", which were issued as NPS "Special Directive 93–4" in 1993.

Dated: March 30, 1999.

William D. Dickerson,

Director, NEPA Compliance Division, Office of Federal Activities.

[FR Doc. 99–8183 Filed 4–1–99; 8:45 am]

ENVIRONMENTAL PROTECTION AGENCY

[OPP-00592; FRL-6073-2]

EPA-USDA Tolerance Reassessment Advisory Committee: Notice of Public Meeting

AGENCY: Environmental Protection Agency (EPA).

ACTION: Notice.

summary: The EPA-U.S. Department of Agriculture (USDA) Tolerance Reassessment Advisory Committee (TRAC) will hold a meeting of Workgroup II to discuss the status of the organophosphate pilot process and transition strategies. On April 27–28, 1999, the TRAC "Full Committee" will meet to provide status reports on recent policy developments, organophosphate risk assessments and refinements, the overall status of tolerance reassessments, and transition strategies. TRAC was established in 1998 as a