attend are invited to submit proposal discussion topics.

Registration: Participants are requested to register their intent to attend this meeting by May 3, 1999. Names, affiliation, telephone and facsimile numbers should be sent to the point of contact listed below.

Point of Contact: Registration and submission of suggested discussion topics may be made to Mr. Steven Albers, phone (202) 267–7301, fax (202) 267–5086, or email at steven.CTR.albers@faa.gov.

Issued in Washington D.C. on March 22, 1999.

Hank Cabler,

SOIT Co-Chairman.

[FR Doc. 99-7882 Filed 3-30-99; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 4910-13-M

DEPARTMENT OF TRANSPORTATION

Federal Aviation Administration

Notice of Intent to Rule on PFC Application 99–03–C–00–PSC to impose and use the revenue from a passenger facility charge (PFC) at Tri-Cities Airport, submitted by the Port of Pasco, Pasco, Washington

AGENCY: Federal Aviation Administration (FAA), DOT.

ACTION: Notice of Intent to Rule on Application.

SUMMARY: The FAA proposes to rule and invites public comment on the application to impose and use, the revenue from a PFC at TriCities Airport under the provisions of 49 U.S.C. 40117 and Part 158 of the Federal Aviation Regulations (14 CFR Part 158).

DATES: Comments must be received on or before April 30, 1999.

ADDRESSES: Comments on this application may be mailed or delivered in triplicate to the FAA at the following address:

J. Wade Bryant, Manager, Seattle Airports District Office, SEA–ADO; Federal Aviation Administration; 1601 Lind Avenue SW, Suite 250; Renton, Washington 98055–4056.

In addition, one copy of any comments submitted to the FAA must be mailed or delivered to Mr. James L. Morasch, A.A.E., Director of Airports, at the following address; Tri-Cities Airport, 3601 North 20th Avenue, Pasco, WA 99301.

Air carriers and foreign air carriers may submit copies of written comments previously provided to Tri-Cities Airport under section 158.23 of Part 158. FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT: Ms. Mary Vargas, (425) 227–2660; Seattle Airports District Office, SEA–ADO; Federal Aviation Administration; 1601 Lind Avenue SW, Suite 250; Renton, WA 98055–4056. The application may be reviewed in person at this same location.

SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION: The FAA proposes to rule and invites public comment on the application 99–03–C–00–PSC to impose and use the revenue from a PFC at Tri-Cities Airport, under the provisions of 49 U.S.C. 40117 and Part 158 of the Federal Aviation Regulations (14 CFR Part 158).

On March 24, 1999, the FAA determined that the application to impose and use the revenue from a PFC submitted by the Port of Pasco, Pasco, Washington, was substantially complete within the requirements of section 158.25 of Part 158. The FAA will approve or disapprove the application, in whole or in part, no later than June 22, 1999.

The following is a brief overview of the application.

Level of the proposed PFC: \$3.00 Proposed charge effective date: February 1, 2002

Proposed charge expiration date: September 1, 2003

Total estimated net PFC revenue: \$740,000

Brief description of proposed project(s): Access road reconstruction; Terminal building upgrades; Loading bridge/mobile covered walkway; Security access system upgrade.

Class or classes of air carriers which the public agency has requested not be required to collect PFCs: None.

Any person may inspect the application in person at the FAA office listed above under FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT and at the FAA Regional Airports Office located at: Federal Aviation Administration, Northwest Mountain Regional, Airports Division, ANM–600, 1601 Lind Avenue S.W., Suite 315, Renton, WA 98055–4056.

In addition, any person may, upon request, inspect the application, notice and other documents germane to the application in person at Tri-Cities Airport.

Issued in Renton, Washington on March 24, 1999.

David A. Field,

Manager, Planning, Programming and Capacity Branch; Northwest Mountain Region.

[FR Doc. 99–7883 Filed 3–30–99; 8:45 am] BILLING CODE 4910–13–M

DEPARTMENT OF TRANSPORTATION

Research and Special Programs Administration

[Docket No. RSPA-99-5143 (Notice No. 99-3)]

Safety Advisory: Unauthorized Marking of Compressed Gas Cylinders

AGENCY: Research and Special Programs Administration (RSPA), DOT. **ACTION:** Safety advisory notice.

SUMMARY: This is to notify the public that RSPA is investigating the unauthorized marking of high-pressure compressed gas cylinders. During November and December of 1998, RSPA conducted inspections of AAA Fire & Safety Company, Inc. (AAA), 48 East Newberry Road, Bloomfield, CT. The results of the inspections indicate that between the latter part of 1995 and August of 1998, AAA may have informed its customers that their cylinders had been hydrostatically retested by Aero All-Gas Company, Inc., Hartford, CT, when, in fact, the cylinders had not been retested by Aero All-Gas Company. There is no indication that Aero All-Gas Company had any involvement in the unauthorized marking of these compressed gas cylinders.

Without conducting hydrostatic retests, cylinders that should have been condemned may be returned to service. Serious personal injury, death, and property damage could result from rupture of a cylinder. Cylinders that have not been retested in accordance with the Hazardous Materials Regulations (HMR) may not be charged or filled with a hazardous material (compressed gas).

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT: Chris Michalski, Hazardous Materials Enforcement Specialist, Eastern Region, telephone (609) 989–2256, fax (609) 989–2277, Office of Hazardous Materials Enforcement, Research and Special Programs Administration, US Department of Transportation, 820 Bear Tavern Rd, Suite 306, West Trenton, NJ 08628.

SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION: During November and December 1998, RSPA inspectors conducted inspections pertaining to the cylinder testing operations of AAA Fire & Safety Co., Inc. (AAA), 48 East Newberry Road, Bloomfield, CT. The results of the inspections indicate that between the latter part of 1995 and August of 1998, AAA may have informed its customers that a number of high pressure compressed gas cylinders, primarily fire extinguishers, had been hydrostatically

retested by Aero All-Gas Company, Inc., Hartford, CT. The inspectors obtained evidence from several of AAA's customers that indicates that AAA supplied its customers with cylinders marked as having been properly retested, in accordance with the HMR, by Aero All-Gas Company. An inspection conducted at Aero All-Gas Company revealed that the cylinders in question had not been retested by Aero All-Gas Company and that Aero All-Gas Company had not authorized these particular cylinders to be marked with its retester identification number (RIN). The cylinders in question were stamped with Aero All-Gas Company's (RIN) "A393".

The markings appear in the following pattern:

Where A393 is Aero All-Gas Company's RIN, X is the month of the retest (i.e. 11) and Y is the year of the retest (i.e. 98).

Aero All-Gas Company is a DOTapproved cylinder retester, that conducts hydrostatic retesting. Most cylinders marked with Aero All-Gas Company's RIN have, in fact, been tested by Aero All-Gas Company. However, the results of the RSPA investigation appear to indicate that any cylinder that had been serviced by AAA and marked with Aero All-Gas Company's RIN between the latter part of 1995 and August of 1998 may not have been tested. RSPA inspectors have obtained a list of AAA's customers, and this safety notice will be mailed to everyone appearing on that list. Anyone concerned with a specific cylinder, marked as described above, can ask for a verification of Aero All-Gas Company's retest records by providing Mr. Michalski with the following information: cylinder serial number, DOT specification and the most recent retest markings (i.e. #389467, DOT-3AA1800, 10/98).

Anyone who has a cylinder that is marked with RIN A393 and dated between late 1995 and August 1998, and that was serviced during that time by AAA, should determine from Mr. Michalski whether that specific cylinder appears on Aero All Gas Company's retest records. Any such cylinder that does not appear on Aero All-Gas Company's retest records should be considered unsafe and not charged with a hazardous material unless first properly retested by a DOT-authorized retest facility. Filled cylinders (if filled with an atmospheric gas) described in this safety advisory should be vented or otherwise safely discharged, and then

taken to a DOT-authorized cylinder retest facility for proper retest, to determine compliance with the HMR.

Under no circumstances should a cylinder described in this safety advisory, and verified as not appearing on Aero All-Gas Company's retest records, be filled, refilled or used for any purpose other than scrap, until it is reinspected and retested by a DOT-authorized retest facility.

Issued in Washington, DC on March 25, 1999.

Alan I. Roberts,

Associate Administrator for Hazardous Materials Safety.

[FR Doc. 99–7798 Filed 3–30–99; 8:45 am] BILLING CODE 4910–60–P

DEPARTMENT OF THE TREASURY

Internal Revenue Service

Proposed Collection; Comment Request for Form 637

AGENCY: Internal Revenue Service (IRS), Treasury.

ACTION: Notice and request for comments.

SUMMARY: The Department of the Treasury, as part of its continuing effort to reduce paperwork and respondent burden, invites the general public and other Federal agencies to take this opportunity to comment on proposed and/or continuing information collections, as required by the Paperwork Reduction Act of 1995, Public Law 104–13 (44 U.S.C. 3506(c)(2)(A)). Currently, the IRS is soliciting comments concerning Form 637, Application for Registration (For Certain Excise Tax Activities).

DATES: Written comments should be received on or before June 1, 1999 to be assured of consideration.

ADDRESSES: Direct all written comments to Garrick R. Shear, Internal Revenue Service, room 5571, 1111 Constitution Avenue NW., Washington, DC 20224.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT: Requests for additional information or copies of the form(s) and instructions should be directed to Carol Savage, (202) 622–3945, Internal Revenue Service, room 5569, 1111 Constitution Avenue NW., Washington, DC 20224.

SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION:

Title: Application for Registration (For Certain Excise Tax Activities).

OMB Number: 1545–0014. Form Number: Form 637.

Abstract: Form 637 is used to apply for excise tax registration. The registration applies to a person required

to be registered under Internal Revenue Code section 4101 for purposes of the federal excise tax on taxable fuel imposed under Code sections 4041 and 4081; and to certain manufacturers or sellers and purchasers that must register under Code section 4222 to be exempt from the excise tax on taxable articles. The data is used to determine if the applicant qualifies for the exemption. Taxable fuel producers are required by Code section 4101 to register with the Service before incurring any tax liability.

Current Actions: There are no changes being made to the form at this time.

Type of Review: Extension of a currently approved collection.

Affected Public: Business or other forprofit organizations, and not-for-profit institutions.

Estimated Number of Respondents: 2,000.

Estimated Time Per Respondent: 13 hours, 53 minutes.

Estimated Total Annual Burden Hours: 27,780.

The following paragraph applies to all of the collections of information covered by this notice:

An agency may not conduct or sponsor, and a person is not required to respond to, a collection of information unless the collection of information displays a valid OMB control number. Books or records relating to a collection of information must be retained as long as their contents may become material in the administration of any internal revenue law. Generally, tax returns and tax return information are confidential, as required by 26 U.S.C. 6103.

REQUEST FOR COMMENTS: Comments submitted in response to this notice will be summarized and/or included in the request for OMB approval. Al comments will become a matter of public record. Comments are invited on: (a) Whether the collection of information is necessary for the proper performance of the functions of the agency, including whether the information shall have practical utility; (b) the accuracy of the agency's estimate of the burden of the collection of information; (c) ways to enhance the quality, utility, and clarity of the information to be collected; (d) ways to minimize the burden of the collection of information on respondents, including through the use of automated collection techniques or other forms of information technology; and (e) estimates of capital or start-up costs and costs of operation, maintenance, and purchase of services to provide information.