

Copies of the filing were served upon all parties to the underlying proceeding.  
*Comment date:* January 19, 1999, in accordance with Standard Paragraph E at the end of this notice.

#### Standard Paragraphs

E. Any person desiring to be heard or to protest said filing should file a motion to intervene or protest with the Federal Energy Regulatory Commission, 888 First Street, N.E., Washington, D.C. 20426, in accordance with Rules 211 and 214 of the Commission's Rules of Practice and Procedure (18 CFR 385.211 and 18 CFR 385.214). All such motions or protests should be filed on or before the comment date. Protests will be considered by the Commission in determining the appropriate action to be taken, but will not serve to make protestants parties to the proceeding. Any person wishing to become a party must file a motion to intervene. Copies of these filings are on file with the Commission and are available for public inspection.

**Linwood A. Watson, Jr.,**

*Acting Secretary.*

[FR Doc. 99-351 Filed 1-7-99; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 6717-01-P

## DEPARTMENT OF ENERGY

### Federal Energy Regulatory Commission

#### Notice of Declaration of Intention

January 4, 1999.

Take notice that the following hydroelectric application has been filed with the Commission and is available for public inspection:

a. *Type of Application:* Declaration of Intention.

b. *Docket No.:* DI99-2-000.

c. *Date Filed:* December 7, 1998.

d. *Applicant:* Alaska Power & Telephone Company.

e. *Name of Project:* Gartina Creek Hydro Project.

f. *Location:* Located on Gartina Creek, 3 miles southeast of Hoonah on Chuchag of Island, Alaska, in sections 2 and 11, T. 44 S., R. 61 E., Copper River Meridian.

g. *Filed Pursuant to:* Federal Power Act, 16 USC Section 791(a)-825(r).

h. *Applicant Contact:* Robert S. Grimm, President, Alaska Power & Telephone Company, 191 Otto Street, P.O. Box 222, Port Townsend, WA 98368, (360) 385-1733.

i. *FERC Contact:* Henry G. Ecton, (202) 219-2678.

j. *Comment Date:* February 19, 1999.

k. *Description of Project:* The proposed run-of-river project will

consist of: (1) a 27-foot-high, 280-foot-long concrete gravity dam; (2) a 190-foot-long penstock; (3) a 30-foot-wide, 40-foot-long, and 20-foot-high metal powerhouse, containing two 400-kilowatt generators; (4) a 2-mile-long 12.5 kV transmission line; and (5) appurtenant facilities.

When a Declaration of Intention is filed with the Federal Energy Regulatory Commission, the Federal Power Act requires the Commission to investigate and determine if the interests of interstate or foreign commerce would be affected by the project. The Commission also determines whether or not the project: (1) would be located on a navigable waterway; (2) would occupy or affect public lands or reservations of the United States; (3) would utilize surplus water or water power from a government dam; or (4) if applicable, has involved or would involve any construction subsequent to 1935 that may have increased or would increase the project's head or generating capacity, or have otherwise significantly modified the project's pre-1935 design or operation.

1. This notice also consists of the following standard paragraphs: B, C1, and D2.

B. Comments, Protests, or Motions to Intervene—Anyone may submit comments, a protest, or a motion to intervene in accordance with the requirements of Rules of Practice and Procedure, 18 CFR 385.210, .211, .214. In determining the appropriate action to take, the Commission will consider all protests or other comments filed, but only those who file a motion to intervene in accordance with the Commission's Rules may become a party to the proceeding. Any comments, protests, or motions to intervene must be received on or before the specified comment date for the particular application.

C1. Filing and Service of Responsive Documents—Any filings must bear in all capital letters the title "COMMENTS",

"RECOMMENDATIONS FOR TERMS AND CONDITIONS", "PROTEST", OR "MOTION TO INTERVENE", as applicable, and the Project Number of the particular application to which the filing refers. Any of the above-named documents must be filed by providing the original and the number of copies provided by the Commission's regulations to: The Secretary, Federal Energy Regulatory Commission, 888 First Street, N.E., Washington, D.C. 20426. A copy of any motion to intervene must also be served upon each representative of the Applicant specified in the particular application.

D2. Agency Comments—Federal, state, and local agencies are invited to file comments on the described application. A copy of the application may be obtained by agencies directly from the Applicant. If an agency does not file comments within the time specified for filing comments, it will be presumed to have no comments. One copy of an agency's comments must also be sent to the Applicant's representatives.

**Linwood A. Watson, Jr.,**

*Acting Secretary.*

[FR Doc. 99-343 Filed 1-7-99; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 6717-01-M

## DEPARTMENT OF ENERGY

### Federal Energy Regulatory Commission

#### Notice of Application Filed With the Commission

January 4, 1999.

Take notice that the following hydroelectric application has been filed with the Federal Energy Regulatory Commission and is available for public inspection.

a. *Type of Application:* Amendment of Recreation Plan (Exhibit R) and Project Boundary (Exhibit G).

b. *Project No.:* 199-133.

c. *Date Filed:* January 4, 1999.

d. *Applicant:* South Carolina Public Service Authority.

e. *Name of Project:* Santee-Cooper.

f. *Location:* The proposed amendment would affect land on Lake Marion in Orangeburg County, SC.

g. *Filed Pursuant to:* Federal Power Act, 16 U.S.C. 791(a)-825(r).

h. *Applicant Contact:* G. Denton Lindsay, Jr., Property Management, South Carolina Public Service, Authority, P.O. Box 2946101, Moncks Corner, SC 29461-2901, (803) 761-4068.

i. *FERC contact:* John K. Hannula, (202) 219-0116.

j. *Comment date:* February 3, 1999.

k. *Description of the Application:* South Carolina Public Service Authority (licensee) requests Commission authorization to amend its Recreation Plan and Project boundary (exhibits R and G) to reclassify an 8.6-acre parcel from Residential Marginal to Residential. The licensee also requests authorization to sell the 8.6 acres along with a 2.0-acre Future Residential parcel to the high water contour. The licensee would reserve a 30-foot control easement above the high water contour and require a 75-foot building setback requirement.

1. *This notice also consists of the following standard paragraphs:* B, C1, and D2.

B. Comments, Protests, or Motions to Intervene—Anyone may submit comments, a protest, or a motion to intervene in accordance with the requirements of Rules of Practice and Procedure, 18 CFR 385.210, .211, .214. In determining the appropriate action to take, the Commission will consider all protests or other comments filed, but only those who file a motion to a intervene in accordance with the Commission's Rules may become a party to the proceeding. Any comments, protests, or motions to intervene must be received on or before the specified comment date for the particular application.

C1. Filing and Service of Responsive Documents—Any filings must bear in all capital letters the title "COMMENTS" "RECOMMENDATIONS FOR TERMS AND CONDITIONS", "PROTEST", or "MOTION TO INTERVENE", as applicable, and the Project Number of the particular application to which the filing refers. Any of the number of copies provided by the Commission's regulations to: The Secretary, Federal Energy Regulatory Commission, 888 First Street, N.E., Washington, D.C. 20426. A copy of any motion to intervene must also be served upon each representative of the Applicant specified in the particular application.

D2. Agency Comments—Federal, state, and local agencies are invited to file comments on the described application. A copy of the application may be obtained by agencies directly from the Applicant. If an agency does not file comments within the time specified for filing comments, it will be presumed to have no comments. One copy of an agency's comments must also be sent to the Applicant's representatives.

**Linwood A. Watson, Jr.,**

*Acting Secretary.*

[FR Doc. 99-345 Filed 1-7-99; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 6717-01-M

## ENVIRONMENTAL PROTECTION AGENCY

[ER-FRL-5498-6]

### Environmental Impact Statements and Regulations; Availability of EPA Comments

Availability of EPA comments prepared December 21, 1998 Through December 25, 1998 pursuant to the Environmental Review Process (ERP),

under Section 309 of the Clean Air Act and Section 102(2)(c) of the National Environmental Policy Act as amended. Requests for copies of EPA comments can be directed to the Office of Federal Activities at (202) 564-7167. An explanation of the ratings assigned to draft environmental impact statements (EISs) was published in FR dated April 10, 1998 (62 FR 17856).

#### Draft EISs

ERP No. D-DOE-E09802-00 Rating EC2, Commercial Light Water Reactor for the Production of Tritium at one or more Facilities:

Watts Bar 1, Spring City, TN; Sequoyah 1 and 2 Soddy Daisy, TN; Bellefonte Units 1 and 2, Hollywood, AL, Approval of Permits and Licenses, TN and AL.

*Summary:* EPA had environmental concerns about the project; and requested more information about the comparative costs of the Tritium production alternatives, processes, and potential environmental impacts.

ERP No. D-SFW-K65115-CA Rating EO2, Headwaters Forest Acquisition and the Palco Sustained Yield Plan and Habitat Conservation Plan, Implementation, Humboldt, Del Norte and Mendocino Counties, CA.

*Summary:* EPA expressed environmental objections and has identified key issues which need to be better addressed to fashion a more environmentally beneficial package. EPA key issues primarily focus on improvements EPA would like to see in the aquatics section of the HCP: cumulative watershed effects analysis process, Mass Wasting Strategy, wider riparian buffer zones consistent with recent State legislation, road storm-proofing program and wet weather road use, herbicide/forest chemical use, implementation, compliance, and monitoring.

ERP No. D-USA-K11092-AZ Rating EC2, Yuma Proving Ground Multipurpose Installation, Diversification of Mission and Changes to Land Use, NPDES General Permit and COE Section 404 Permit, Yuma and La Pas Counties, AZ.

*Summary:* EPA expressed environmental concerns due to the lack of detailed analyses pertaining to actions associated with implementing the preferred alternative.

ERP No. D-USA-K26001-HI Rating LO, Schofield Barracks Wastewater Treatment Plant (WWTP), Effluent Treatment and Disposal, NPDES Permit and COE Section 404 Permit, City of County of Honolulu, Oahu, HI.

*Summary:* EPA expressed a lack of objection to the proposed project.

ERP No. D-USN-K11094-00 Rating EC2, Developing Home Port Facilities For Three NIMITZ-Class Aircraft Carriers in Support of the U.S. Pacific Fleet, Construction and Operation, Coronado, CA; Bremerton and Everett, WA, Pearl Harbor, HI.

*Summary:* EPA expressed environmental concerns at the three alternative sites in California and Washington State that were identified as part of the Proposed Action regarding dredging and dredged material disposal; impacts to marine water quality and aquatic biological resources; air quality; pollution prevention; and cumulative impacts. EPA noted that are dredging and dredged material disposal issues that need to be examined by EPA should the Navy decide to homeport a Nimitz-class carrier at Pearl Harbor, Hawaii.

ERP No. DS-NOA-K90020-CA Rating EC2, Coastal Pelagic Species Fishery Management Plan Amendment 8, (Formerly Known as Northern Anchovy Fishery Management Plan), Approval and Implementation, WA, CA and OR.

*Summary:* EPA expressed environmental concern with potential impacts to endangered marine mammals and birds, the minimal development of stock recovery plans (rebuilding program, pg B-81), and scarcity of firm data upon which to base management decisions. Additional information and clarification were requested regarding EPA above concerns.

ERP No. DS-UMC-K24018-CA Rating EO2, Sewage Effluent Compliance Project, Updated and Additional Information, Implementation, Lower Santa Margarita Basin, Marine Corps Base Camp Pendleton, San Diego County, CA.

*Summary:* EPA expressed environmental objections to the proposed project based on potential adverse impacts to waters of the United States, and special aquatic sites. EPA requested additional data and assurances of mitigation, to avoid potential degradation of a riparian habitat mitigation area and a coastal salt marsh, from disposal of sewage effluent.

#### Final EISs

ERP No. F-DOE-J22005-CO Rocky Flats Environmental Technology Site Management of Certain Plutonium Residues and Srub Alloy Stored, Golden, CO.

*Summary:* EPA had no comments on the final document.

ERP No. F-FAA-E51045-FL Miami International Airport Master Plan Update for the Proposed New Runway, Funding and COE Section 404 Permit, Miami-Dade County, FL.