Building 8, 200 C St. SW, Washington, DC. To register for the meeting, contact Ms. Theresa Thomas by telephone at (202) 205-4210 or by FAX at (202) 205-4594 no later than March 10, 1999. If a sign language interpreter or other special accommodation is necessary, contact Ms. Thomas at the above telephone number. Submit one original and two copies of comments to the FSIS Docket Clerk, Docket No. 99-014N, Room 102, Cotton Annex, 300 12th Street, SW, Washington, DC 20250-3700. All comments submitted in response to this notice will be considered part of the public record and will be available for viewing in the Docket Room between 8:30 a.m. and 4:30 p.m., Monday through Friday.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT:

Patrick J. Clerkin, Associate U.S. Manager for Codex, U.S. Codex Office, Food Safety and Inspection Service, Room 4861, South Building, 1400 Independence Avenue, SW, Washington, DC 20250–3700, Phone: (202) 205–7760, Fax: (202) 720–3157.

SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION:

Background

Codex was established in 1962 by two United Nations organizations, the Food and Agriculture Organization and the World Health Organization. Codex is the major international organization for encouraging fair international trade in food and protecting the health and economic interests of consumers. Through adoption of food standards, codes of practice, and other guidelines developed by its committees, and by promoting their adoption and implementation by governments, Codex seeks to ensure that the world's food supply is sound, wholesome, free from adulteration, and correctly labeled.

The Codex Committee on Food Labelling was established to draft provisions on labelling applicable to all foods; to consider, amend if necessary, and endorse draft specific provisions on labelling prepared by the Codex Committees drafting standards, codes of practice and guidelines; to study specific labelling problems assigned to it by the Commission; and to study problems associated with the advertisement of food with particular reference to claims and misleading descriptions. Issues to be discussed at the March 16, 1999, public meeting:

- 1. Matters referred by the Codex Alimentarius Commission and other Codex committees
- Draft guidelines for the production, processing, labelling and marketing of organically produced foods

- 3. Draft amendment to the general standard for the labelling of prepackaged foods (25% Rule)
- Proposed draft recommendations for the labelling of foods obtained through biotechnology
- 5. Proposed draft amendment to the general standard (class names)
- 6. Proposed draft amendment to the guidelines on nutrition labelling
- 7. Proposed draft recommendations for the use of health claims
- 8. Proposed draft guidelines for sport and energy drinks
- Proposed draft guidelines for the use of the term vegetarian

F. Edward Scarbrough,

U.S. Manager for Codex. [FR Doc. 99–5850 Filed 3–9–99; 8:45 am] BILLING CODE 3410–DM–P

DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE

International Trade Administration

[A-549-813]

Canned Pineapple Fruit from Thailand: Notice of Extension of Time Limits for Antidumping Duty Administrative Review

AGENCY: Import Administration, International Trade Administration, Department of Commerce.

EFFECTIVE DATE: March 10, 1999.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT:

Charles Riggle or Kris Campbell, Import Administration, International Trade Administration, U.S. Department of Commerce, 14th Street and Constitution Avenue, NW, Washington, DC 20230; telephone (202) 482–0650 and (202) 482–3813, respectively.

SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION:

Postponement of Preliminary Results

On August 27, 1998, the Department of Commerce initiated the third administrative review of the antidumping duty order on canned pineapple fruit from Thailand, covering the period July 1, 1997, through June 30, 1998 (63 FR 45796). The current deadline for the preliminary results of this review is April 2, 1999. Section 751(a)(3)(A) of the Tariff Act of 1930, as amended ("the Act"), requires the Department to make a preliminary determination in an administrative review within 245 days after the last day of the anniversary month of an order for which a review is requested. However, if it is not practicable to complete the review within the time period, section 751(a)(3)(A) allows the Department to extend this time period to up to 365 days.

We determine that it is not practicable to complete this review within the original time frame because this review involves collecting and analyzing information from a large number of companies, including investigating sales below the cost of production for several companies. Although section 751(a)(3)(A) of the Act allows for an extension of up to 120 days, we believe at this time that only a limited extension of the deadline is necessary to analyze the complex legal and methodological issues involved in this case. Accordingly, the Department is extending the time limit for completion of the preliminary results of this administrative review by 60 days, or until June 1, 1999. We plan to issue the final results of this administrative review within 120 days after publication of the preliminary results.

This extension is in accordance with section 751(a)(3)(A) of the Act.

Dated: March 3, 1999.

Robert S. LaRussa,

Assistant Secretary for Import Administration.

[FR Doc. 99-5941 Filed 3-9-99; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 3510-DS-P

DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE

International Trade Administration

[A-549-807]

Carbon Steel Butt-Weld Pipes from Thailand; Antidumping Duty Administrative Review: Time Limits

AGENCY: Import Administration, International Trade Administration, Department of Commerce.

ACTION: Notice of extension of time limits of preliminary results of review.

SUMMARY: The Department of Commerce is extending the time limits of the preliminary of the antidumping duty administrative review of the antidumping duty order on carbon steel butt-weld pipe fittings from Thailand. The review covers one manufacturer/exporter of the subject merchandise to the United States for the period July 1, 1997, through June 30, 1998.

EFFECTIVE DATE: March 10, 1999.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT: Zev Primor or Wendy Frankel, Office of AD/CVD Enforcement, Group II, Office IV, Import Administration, U.S. Department of Commerce, 14th Street and Constitution Avenue, N.W., Washington, D.C. 20230, telephone: (202) 482–4114, or (202) 482–5849, respectively.

POSTPONEMENT OF PRELIMINARY RESULTS OF ADMINISTRATIVE REVIEW: The

Department initiated the administrative review of the antidumping duty order on carbon steel butt-weld pipe fittings from Thailand on August 27, 1998 (63 FR 45796). The current deadline for the preliminary results in this review is April 1, 1999. In accordance with section 751(a)(3)(A) of the Tariff Act of 1930 ("the Act"), as amended, the Department finds that it is not practicable to complete this administrative review within the original time frame. (See memorandum from Holly Kuga to Robert LaRussa, dated March 3, 1999). Thus the Department is extending the time limit for completion of the preliminary results until August 2, 1999, which is 365 days after the last day of the anniversary month of the order. The final determination will occur within 120 days of the publication of the preliminary results.

Dated: March 4, 1999.

Holly A. Kuga,

Acting Deputy Assistant Secretary for Import Administration.

[FR Doc. 99–5946 Filed 3–9–99; 8:45 am]

DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE

International Trade Administration

[A-421-804]

Certain Cold-rolled Carbon Steel Flat Products from the Netherlands: Final Results of Antidumping Duty Administrative Review

AGENCY: Import Administration, International Trade Administration, Department of Commerce.

ACTION: Notice of Final Results of Antidumping Duty Administrative Review.

SUMMARY: On September 4, 1998, the Department of Commerce (the Department) published the preliminary results of the administrative review of the antidumping duty order on certain cold-rolled carbon steel flat products from the Netherlands (63 FR 47227). This review covers one manufacturer/ exporter of the subject merchandise to the United States during the period of review (POR), August 1, 1996, through July 31, 1997. We gave interested parties an opportunity to comment on our preliminary results. Based on our analysis of the comments received, we have not changed the results from those presented in the preliminary results of review.

EFFECTIVE DATE: March 10, 1999.
FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT:
Helen Kramer or Linda Ludwig,
Enforcement Group III, Import
Administration, International Trade
Administration, U.S. Department of
Commerce, 14th Street and Constitution
Avenue, NW, Washington, DC 20230;
telephone: (202) 482–0405 or (202) 482–
3833, respectively.

SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION:

Background

On September 4, 1998, the Department published in the **Federal Register** (63 FR 47227) the preliminary results of the administrative review of the antidumping duty order on certain cold-rolled carbon steel flat products from the Netherlands (58 FR 44172, August 19, 1993), as amended pursuant to Court of International Trade (CIT) decision (61 FR 47871, September 11, 1996). The Department has now completed this administrative review in accordance with section 751 of the Tariff Act of 1930, as amended.

Applicable Statute and Regulations

Unless otherwise stated, all citations to the Tariff Act of 1930, as amended (the Act) are references to the provisions effective January 1, 1995, the effective date of the amendments made to the Act by the Uruguay Round Agreements Act (URAA). In addition, unless otherwise indicated, all citations to the Department's regulations are to 19 CFR Part 351 (1998).

Scope of this Review

The products covered by this review include cold-rolled (cold-reduced) carbon steel flat-rolled products, of rectangular shape, neither clad, plated nor coated with metal, whether or not painted, varnished or coated with plastics or other nonmetallic substances, in coils (whether or not in successively superimposed layers) and of a width of 0.5 inch or greater, or in straight lengths which, if of a thickness less than 4.75 millimeters, are of a width of 0.5 inch or greater and which measures at least 10 times the thickness or if of a thickness of 4.75 millimeters or more are of a width which exceeds 150 millimeters and measures at least twice the thickness, as currently classifiable in the Harmonized Tariff Schedule (HTS) under item numbers 7209.15.0000, 7209.16.0030, 7209.16.0060, 7209.16.0090, 7209.17.0030, 7209.17.0060, 7209.17.0090, 7209.18.1530, 7209.18.1560, 7209.18.2550, 7209.18.6000, 7209.25.0000, 7209.26.0000, 7209.27.0000, 7209.28.0000,

7209.90.0000, 7210.70.3000,

7210.90.9000, 7211.23.1500, 7211.23.2000, 7211.23.3000, 7211.23.4500, 7211.23.6030, 7211.23.6060, 7211.23.6085, 7211.29.2030, 7211.29.2090, 7211.29.4500, 7211.29.6030, 7211.29.6080, 7211.90.0000, 7212.40.1000, 7212.40.5000, 7212.50.0000, 7215.50.0015, 7215.50.0060, 7215.50.0090, 7215.90.5000, 7217.10.1000, 7217.10.2000, 7217.10.3000, 7217.10.7000, 7217.90.1000, 7217.90.5030, 7217.90.5060, and 7217.90.5090. Included in this review are flat-rolled products of nonrectangular cross-section where such cross-section is achieved subsequent to the rolling process (i.e., products which have been "worked after rolling")—for example, products which have been beveled or rounded at the edges. Excluded from this review is certain shadow mask steel, i.e., aluminumkilled, cold-rolled steel coil that is opencoil annealed, has a carbon content of less than 0.002 percent, of 0.003 to 0.012 inch in thickness, 15 to 30 inches in width, and has an ultra flat, isotropic surface. These HTS item numbers are provided for convenience and Customs purposes. The written description remains dispositive.

The POR is August 1, 1996, through July 31, 1997. This review covers entries of certain cold-rolled carbon steel flat products from the Netherlands by Hoogovens Staal B.V. (Hoogovens).

Analysis of Comments Received

We gave interested parties an opportunity to comment on the preliminary results. We received case briefs on October 13, 1998, and rebuttal briefs on October 19, 1998, from the respondent (Hoogovens) and petitions (Bethlehem Steel Corporation, U.S. Steel Company (a Unit of USX Corporation), Inland Steel Industries, Inc., Geneva Steel, Gulf States Steel Inc. of Alabama, Sharon Steel Corporation, and Lukens Steel Company).

Comment 1: Classifying U.S. Sales as EP or CEP Sales

Petitioners urge the Department to reclassify sales that Hoogovens reported as Export Price (EP) sales as Constructed Export Price (CEP) sales. Petitioners argue that all of Hoogoven's direct sales should be treated as CEP sales because the role of Hoogovens' U.S. affiliate, HSUSA, in the sales process was allegedly more than merely incidental or ancillary. Petitioners cite U.S. Steel Group—a Unit of USX Corporation v. United States, Slip Op. 98–96 (U.S. Court of International Trade (CIT), 1998) ("U.S. Steel Group") and Certain Cold-