§ 39.13 [Amended]

2. Section 39.13 is amended by adding the following new airworthiness directive:

Breeze Eastern Aerospace: Docket No. 98–ANE–37–AD.

Applicability: Breeze Eastern Aerospace rescue hoists series BL–16600, excluding BL–16600–160. These hoists are installed on but not limited to Bell 206, Bell 407, Bell 222, Agusta A109, Eurocopter France AS332, McDonnell Douglas MD–500, and Sikorsky S–61 rotorcraft.

Note 1: This airworthiness directive (AD) applies to each hoist identified in the preceding applicability provision, regardless of whether it has been modified, altered, or repaired in the area subject to the requirements of this AD. For hoists that have been modified, altered, or repaired so that the performance of the requirements of this AD is affected, the owner/operator must request approval for an alternative method of compliance in accordance with paragraph (b) of this AD. The request should include an assessment of the effect of the modification, alteration, or repair on the unsafe condition addressed by this AD; and, if the unsafe condition has not been eliminated, the request should include specific proposed actions to address it.

Compliance: Required as indicated, unless accomplished previously.

To prevent mounting bracket cracks, which could result in mounting bracket failure and separation of the rescue hoist from the aircraft, accomplish the following:

- (a) Prior to the next usage of the rescue hoist after the effective date of this AD, perform a one-time inspection for mounting bracket cracks, and, if necessary, replace with serviceable parts, in accordance with Breeze Eastern Aerospace Advisory Bulletin CAB—100–56, dated November 11, 1997.
- (b) An alternative method of compliance or adjustment of the compliance time that provides an acceptable level of safety may be used if approved by the Manager, New York Aircraft Certification Office. Operators shall submit their request through an appropriate FAA Principal Maintenance Inspector, who may add comments and then send it to the Manager, New York Aircraft Certification Office.

Note 2: Information concerning the existence of approved alternative methods of compliance with this airworthiness directive, if any, may be obtained from the New York Aircraft Certification Office.

(c) Special flight permits may be issued in accordance with sections 21.197 and 21.199 of the Federal Aviation Regulations (14 CFR 21.197 and 21.199) to operate the aircraft to a location where the requirements of this AD can be accomplished.

Issued in Burlington, Massachusetts, on December 7, 1998.

David A. Downey,

Assistant Manager, Engine and Propeller Directorate, Aircraft Certification Service. [FR Doc. 98–33025 Filed 12–11–98; 8:45 am] BILLING CODE 4910–13–P

DEPARTMENT OF TRANSPORTATION

Federal Aviation Administration

14 CFR Part 39

[Docket No. 98-ANE-69-AD]

RIN 2120-AA64

Airworthiness Directives; CFE Company Model CFE738-1-1B Turbofan Engines

AGENCY: Federal Aviation Administration, DOT.

ACTION: Notice of proposed rulemaking

(NPRM).

SUMMARY: This document proposes the adoption of a new airworthiness directive (AD) that is applicable to CFE Company model CFE738-1-1B turbofan engines. This proposal would require a one-time dimensional inspection of the curvic coupling tooth profile of certain high pressure compressor (HPC) rotor components to check for machining mismatches in the curvic coupling, and, if necessary, replacement with serviceable parts. The actions specified by the proposed AD are intended to prevent failure of certain HPC rotor components, which could result in an uncontained engine failure and damage to the aircraft.

DATES: Comments must be received by February 12, 1999.

ADDRESSES: Submit comments in triplicate to the Federal Aviation Administration (FAA), New England Region, Office of the Regional Counsel, Attention: Rules Docket No. 98-ANE-69-AD, 12 New England Executive Park, Burlington, MA 01803-5299. Comments may also be sent via the Internet using the following address: "9-adengineprop@faa.gov". Comments sent via the Internet must contain the docket number in the subject line. Comments may be inspected at this location between 8:00 a.m. and 4:30 p.m., Monday through Friday, except Federal holidays.

The service information referenced in the proposed rule may be obtained from CFE Company, Data Distribution, M/S 64-03/2101-201, P. O. Box 52170, Phoenix, AZ 85072-2170; telephone (602) 365-2493, fax (602) 365-5577. This information may be examined at the FAA, New England Region, Office of the Regional Counsel, 12 New England Executive Park, Burlington, MA.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT:

Keith Mead, Aerospace Engineer, Engine Certification Office, FAA, Engine and Propeller Directorate, 12 New England Executive Park, Burlington, MA 01803–5299; telephone (781) 238–7744, fax (781) 238–7199.

SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION:

Comments Invited

Interested persons are invited to participate in the making of the proposed rule by submitting such written data, views, or arguments as they may desire. Communications should identify the Rules Docket number and be submitted in triplicate to the address specified above. All communications received on or before the closing date for comments, specified above, will be considered before taking action on the proposed rule. The proposals contained in this notice may be changed in light of the comments received.

Comments are specifically invited on the overall regulatory, economic, environmental, and energy aspects of the proposed rule. All comments submitted will be available, both before and after the closing date for comments, in the Rules Docket for examination by interested persons. A report summarizing each FAA-public contact concerned with the substance of this proposal will be filed in the Rules Docket.

Commenters wishing the FAA to acknowledge receipt of their comments submitted in response to this notice must submit a self-addressed, stamped postcard on which the following statement is made: "Comments to Docket Number 98-ANE-69-AD." The postcard will be date stamped and returned to the commenter.

Availability of NPRMs

Any person may obtain a copy of this NPRM by submitting a request to the FAA, New England Region, Office of the Regional Counsel, Attention: Rules Docket No. 98-ANE-69-AD, 12 New England Executive Park, Burlington, MA 01803–5299.

Discussion

The Federal Aviation Administration (FAA) has determined that certain stage 4 and 5 high pressure compressor (HPC) blisks, the impeller aft shafts, and the impellers, installed on CFE Company model CFE738-1-1B turbofan engines, have machining mismatches in the curvic coupling tooth profiles, including under-minimum root fillet radii, tooth profile mismatch and gable mismatch. These machining mismatches, if present, may decrease the service life of these HPC parts. This condition, if not corrected, could result in failure of certain HPC rotor components, which could result in an uncontained engine failure and damage to the aircraft.

The FAA has reviewed and approved the technical contents of CFE Company Alert Service Bulletin (ASB) No. CFE738-A72–8029, dated October 1, 1998, that describes procedures for dimensional inspections of curvic couplings on certain HPC rotor components.

Since an unsafe condition has been identified that is likely to exist or develop on other products of this same type design, the proposed AD would require a one-time dimensional inspection of the curvic coupling tooth profile of certain HPC rotor components to check for machining mismatches in the curvic coupling, and, if necessary, replacement with serviceable parts. The actions would be required to be accomplished in accordance with the ASB described previously.

There are approximately 146 engines of the affected design in the worldwide fleet. The FAA estimates that 94 engines installed on aircraft of U.S. registry would be affected by this proposed AD, that it would take approximately 300 work hours per engine to accomplish the proposed actions, and that the average labor rate is \$60 per work hour. Required parts would cost approximately \$18,000 per engine. Based on these figures, the total cost impact of the proposed AD on U.S. operators is estimated to be \$3,384,000.

The regulations proposed herein would not have substantial direct effects on the States, on the relationship between the national government and the States, or on the distribution of power and responsibilities among the various levels of government. Therefore, in accordance with Executive Order 12612, it is determined that this proposal would not have sufficient federalism implications to warrant the preparation of a Federalism Assessment.

For the reasons discussed above, I certify that this proposed regulation (1) is not a "significant regulatory action" under Executive Order 12866; (2) is not a "significant rule" under the DOT Regulatory Policies and Procedures (44 FR 11034, February 26, 1979); and (3) if promulgated, will not have a significant economic impact, positive or negative, on a substantial number of small entities under the criteria of the Regulatory Flexibility Act. A copy of the draft regulatory evaluation prepared for this action is contained in the Rules Docket. A copy of it may be obtained by contacting the Rules Docket at the location provided under the caption ADDRESSES.

List of Subjects in 14 CFR Part 39

Air transportation, Aircraft, Aviation safety, Safety.

The Proposed Amendment

Accordingly, pursuant to the authority delegated to me by the Administrator, the Federal Aviation Administration proposes to amend part 39 of the Federal Aviation Regulations (14 CFR part 39) as follows:

PART 39—AIRWORTHINESS DIRECTIVES

1. The authority citation for part 39 continues to read as follows:

Authority: 49 U.S.C. 106(g), 40113, 44701.

§39.13 [Amended]

2. Section 39.13 is amended by adding the following new airworthiness directive:

CFE Company: Docket No. 98-ANE-69-AD.

Applicability: CFE Company Model CFE738–1–1B turbofan engines, serial numbers 105106 through 105251, installed on but not limited to the Dassault Aviation Falcon 2000 model aircraft.

Note 1: This airworthiness directive (AD) applies to each engine identified in the preceding applicability provision, regardless of whether it has been modified, altered, or repaired in the area subject to the requirements of this AD. For engines that have been modified, altered, or repaired so that the performance of the requirements of this AD is affected, the owner/operator must request approval for an alternative method of compliance in accordance with paragraph (c) of this AD. The request should include an assessment of the effect of the modification, alteration, or repair on the unsafe condition addressed by this AD; and, if the unsafe condition has not been eliminated, the request should include specific proposed actions to address it.

Compliance: Required as indicated, unless accomplished previously.

To prevent failure of certain high pressure compressor (HPC) rotor components, which could result in an uncontained engine failure and damage to the airplane, accomplish the following:

(a) Perform a one-time dimensional inspection of the curvic coupling tooth profile of certain HPC components, as identified in the table below, for machining mismatches in the curvic coupling, prior to exceeding the specified number of cycles since new, as listed in the table below, in accordance with procedures described in CFE Company Alert Service Bulletin (ASB) CFE738–A72–8029, dated October 1, 1998:

Nomenclature	Part No.	Cycles since new
Stage 4 and 5 Blisk	6079T74P07	2,460
	6079T74P08	3,430
Impeller Shaft	6079T80P05	1,670
·	6079T80P04	2,060
Impeller	6079T77P05	2,150
•	6079T77P06	2,130

(b) Remove from service any component that is found with a machining mismatch,

and replace with serviceable component. parts.

(c) An alternative method of compliance or adjustment of the compliance time that provides an acceptable level of safety may be used if approved by the Manager, Engine Certification Office. Operators shall submit their request through an appropriate FAA Principal Maintenance Inspector, who may add comments and then send it to the Manager, Engine Certification Office.

Note 2: Information concerning the existence of approved alternative methods of compliance with this airworthiness directive, if any, may be obtained from the Engine Certification Office.

(d) Special flight permits may be issued in accordance with sections 21.197 and 21.199 of the Federal Aviation Regulations (14 CFR 21.197 and 21.199) to operate the aircraft to a location where the requirements of this AD can be accomplished.

Issued in Burlington, Massachusetts, on December 7, 1998.

David A. Downey,

Assistant Manager, Engine and Propeller Directorate, Aircraft Certification Service.

[FR Doc. 98-33026 Filed 12-11-98; 8:45 am] BILLING CODE 4910-13-P

DEPARTMENT OF TRANSPORTATION

Federal Aviation Administration

14 CFR Part 39

[Docket No. 98-ANE-42-AD]

RIN 2120-AA64

Airworthiness Directives; AlliedSignal Inc. (formerly Textron Lycoming)
ALF502R-5 and ALF502R-3A Model Turbofan Engines

AGENCY: Federal Aviation Administration, DOT.

ACTION: Notice of proposed rulemaking (NPRM).

SUMMARY: This document proposes the adoption of a new airworthiness directive (AD) that is applicable to AlliedSignal Inc. ALF502R-5 and ALF502R-3A model turbofan engines. This proposal would require incorporation of an improved fan core inlet anti-ice system. This proposal is prompted by reports of uncommanded reduction of engine thrust (rollback) and loss of thrust control in icing conditions. The actions specified by the proposed AD are intended to prevent ice accretion on the fan core inlet stator vane surfaces, which can result in engine rollback and loss of thrust control in icing conditions.

DATES: Comments must be received by February 12, 1999.

ADDRESSES: Submit comments in triplicate to the Federal Aviation