Through December 04, 1998 Pursuant to 40 CFR 1506.9.

- EIS No. 980488, FINAL SUPPLEMENT, EPA, LA, Atchafalaya River Bar Channel Ocean Dredged Material Disposal Site Designation, Updated Information, St. Mary Parish, LA, Due: January 11, 1999, Contact: Joe Swick (214) 665–7456
- EIS No. 980489, DRAFT EIS, IBR, CA, Groundwater Replenishment System, Implementation to Repurifying Water from Orange County Water District (OCWD) Orange County Sanitation District (OCSD), Funding and COE Section 404 Permit, Orange County, CA, Due: February 01, 1999, Contact: Del Kidd (702) 293–8698
- EIS No. 980490, FINAL EIS, TVA, TN, GA, MS, VA, AL, KY, NC, Shoreline Management Initiative: An Assessment of Residential Shoreline Development Impacts in the Tennessee Valley, Mainstream Tennessee River and Tributary Reservoirs in AL, KY, NC, TN, GA, MS and VA, Due: January 11, 1999, Contact: Harold M. Draper (423) 632– 6889
- EIS No. 980491, FINAL EIS, STA, NM, TX, Programmatic EIS—International Bridge Crossing Project, Construction and Operation, Along the United States-Mexico Border from EL Paso to Brownsville, TX, Presidential Permit, NM and TX, Due: January 11, 1999, Contact: Eric Verwers (817) 978–0202.
- EIS No. 980492, FINAL SUPPLEMENT, JUS, CA, Service Processing Center (SPC) for Detainees, Construction and Operation, Possible Sites, Stockton and Tracy Sites, San Joaquin Counties, CA, Due: January 11, 1999, Contact: William A. Kopitz (202) 307– 1877
- EIS No. 980493, DRAFT EIS, AFS, MT, Swamp Timber Sales Project, Implementation, Kootenai National Forest, Fortine Ranger District, Lincoln County, MT, Due: January 25, 1999, Contact: Robert G. Carlin (406) 882–4451.
- EIS No. 980494, FINAL EIS, COE, MN, WI, Duluth-Superior Harbor Phase II, Dredge Material Management Plan, Cities of Duluth, St. Louis County, MN and Douglas County, WI, January 11, 1999, Contact: Mr. Terry A. Long (313) 226–6758.
- EIS No. 980495, DRAFT EIS, FHW, IN, IN–641 Terre Haute Bypass, Improve access between US 41 South to I–70 East of Terre Haute, Funding and COE Section 404 Permit, Vigo County, IN, Due: March 01, 1999, Contact: Douglas N. Head (317) 226–7487.
- EIS No. 980496, DRAFT EIS, NPS, DC, The White House and President's

Park, Comprehensive Design Plan, Implementation of a Framework for Future Management, Washington, D.C., Due: March 11, 1999, Contact: James I. McDaniel (202) 619–6344.

- EIS No. 980497, DRAFT EIS, FTA, WA, Central Link Light Rail Transit Project, (Sound Transit), Construct and Operate an Electric Rail Transit System, Funding and COE Section 10 and 404 Permit, In the Cities of Seattle, Sea Tac and Tukwila, King County, WA, Due: February 05, 1998, Contact: Helen Knoll (206) 220–4464.
- EIS No. 980498, DRAFT EIS, AFS, UT, Brighton Ski Resort Master Development Plan Updated, Implementation, Wasatch-Cache National Forest, Salt Lake City, UT, Due: January 26, 1999, Contact: Steve Scheid (801) 943–9483.

Amended Notices

- EIS No. 980396, DRAFT EIS, COE, AL, GA, FL, Apalachicola-Chattahochee-Flint (AFC) River Basin Water Allocation, Allocation Formula Approval, AL, FL and GA, Due: February 26, 1999, Contact: Joanne Brandt (334) 690–3260. Published FR 10–09–98—Review Period extended.
- EIS No. 980401, DRAFT EIS, COE, AL, GA, Alabama-Coosa-Tallapoosa (ACT) River Basin Compact, Water Allocation, several counties, AL and GA, Due: February 26, 1999, Contact: Michael L. Eubank (334) 694–3861. Published FR 10–09–98 Review Period Extended.
- EIS No. 980435, DRAFT EIS, USA, GA, U.S.

Army/Fort Benning and The Consolidated Government of Columbus Proposed Land Exchange, Muscogee and Chattahoochee Counties, GA, Due: December 14, 1998, Contact: John Brent (706) 545–4766. Published FR–10–30– 98 EIS Status. Correction. Changed from Preliminary DEIS to DEIS. EIS No. 980453. DRAFT EIS. NPS. TX.

- Lis No. 980435, DRAFT EIS, NFS, TX, Lyndon B. Johnson National Historical Park, Package 227, General Management Plan, Implementation, Blanco and Gillespie Counties, TX, Due: December 28, 1998, Contact: Leslie Starhart (830) 868–7128 ext. 226. Published FR 11–13–98 Correction to Telephone Number.
- EIS No. 980460, DRAFT EIS, AFS, MT, Clancy-Unionville Vegetation Manipulation and Travel Management Project, Implementation, Helene National Forest, Helena Ranger District, Lewis and Clark and Jefferson Counties, MT, Due: February 01, 1999, Contact: Dave Turner (406) 449– 5490.
- Published FR-11-13-98—Review Period Extended.

Dated: December 8, 1998. **William D. Dickerson**, *Director, NEPA Compliance Division, Office of Federal Activities.* [FR Doc. 98–33004 Filed 12–10–98; 8:45 am] BILLING CODE 6560–50–P

ENVIRONMENTAL PROTECTION AGENCY

[ER-FRL-5497-8]

Environmental Impact Statements and Regulations; Availability of EPA Comments

Availability of EPA comments prepared November 23, 1998 through November 27, 1998 pursuant to the Environmental Review Process (ERP), under Section 309 of the Clean Air Act and Section 102(2)(c) of the National Environmental Policy Act as amended. Requests for copies of EPA comments can be directed to the Office of FEDERAL ACTIVITIES AT (202) 564– 7153. An explanation of the ratings assigned to draft environmental impact statements (EISs) was published in FR dated April 10, 1998 (62 FR 17856).

Draft EISs

ERP No. D–COE–G39031–AR Rating EC2, Grand Prairie Area Demonstration Project, Implementation, Water Conservation, Groundwater Management and Irrigation Water Supply, Prairie, Arkansas, Monroe and Lonoke Counties, AR.

Summary: EPA expressed environmental concerns regarding water quality, wetlands, environmental justice, land use, noise, visual and asethetic impact, and historic preservation.

ERP No. D-COE-K39052-CA Rating LO, Hamilton Wetland Restoration Project, Tidal Salt Marsh Habitat, Alameda County, CA.

Summary: EPA expressed a lack of objections with the DEIS and the proposed wetland restoration project.

ÈRP No. D–IBR–K39048–CA Răting EC2, Truckee River Operating Agreement (TROA, Modify Operation and Selected Non-Federal Reservoirs, Implementation, Truckee River Basin, EL Dorado, Nevada, Placer and Sierra Counties, CA and Douglas, Lyon, Storey and Washoe Counties, NV.

Summary: EPA expressed environmnetal concern that the proposed agreement does not significantly improve Lahontan cutthroat trout (LCT) habitat and recommended that the negotiating parties take this opportunity to better improve LCT habitat. EPA also requested additional information in the EIS regarding water quality, water quantity and conservation, biological resources, groundwater effects, air quality, and population growth.

² ERP No. D–IBR–K39050–CA Rating LO, Programmatic—CALFED Bay-Delta Program, Long-Term Comprehensive Plan to Restore Ecosystem Health and Improve Water Management, Implementation, San Francisco Bay— Sacramento/San Joaquin River Bay-Delta, CA.

Summary: Review of the Final EIS was not deemed necessary. No formal comment letter was sent to the preparing agency.

ÉRP No. DS-AFS-K65273-AZ Rating LO, Grand Canyon/Tusayan Growth Area Improvements, Updated Information on three New Alternatives, General Management Plan (GMP), Special-Use-Permit, Land Exchange Options, Approval and Licenses Issuance, Coconino County, AZ.

Summary: EPA expressed lack of objections and that the final EIS examine a mix of water supply sources which would limit reliance and dependence on any one water source and minimize adverse effects to the scarce and susceptible water supply sources.

Final EISs

ERP No. F-COE-K36108-CA, Santa Rosa Subregional Long-Term Wastewater Project, Implementation, Reclaimed Water Disposal from the Laguna Wastewater Treatment Plant, COE Section 10 and 404 Permits, Sonoma County, CA.

Summary: EPA recommended support for the Santa Rosa City Council's preferred alternative, Modified Geyers Recharge, because it concentrates on maximizing reuse of reclaimed water while minimizing adverse effects on wetlands, sensitive habitats, water quality, drinking water wells, air quality, and existing resource such as aggregate material. EPA reiterated concerns with the West County Reclamation, South County Reclamation, and Discharge alternatives due to potential adverse impacts to surface and groundwater quality and potential conversion of sensitive wetland habitats. EPA urged continued aggressive efforts toward maximum reduction of effluent volume and maximum reuse of treated water.

ERP No. F-COE-K36116-CA, San Pedro Creek Section 205 Flood Control Project, Construction, Flood Protection, COE Section 10 and 404 Permits and Permits Approval, San Mateo County, CA.

Summary: Review of the Final EIS was not deemed necessary. No formal

comment letter was sent to the preparing agency.

ERP No. F–COE–K36123–CA, South Sacramento County Streams Investigation, Proposed to Increase Flood Protection, Non-Federal Sponsor, Sacramento Waste Water Treatment Plant and along portions of Morrison, Elder, Unionhouse and Florin Creeks, Sacramento County, CA.

Summary: Review of the Final EIS was not deemed necessary. No formal comment letter was sent to the preparing agency.

ERP No. F-COE-K36124-CA, Yuba River Basin Investigation Study, Flood Protection, Also Portions of the Feather River Basin below Oroville Dam, City of Maryville Yuba County, CA.

Summary: Review of the Final EIS was not deemed necessary. No formal comment letter was sent to the preparing agency.

ERP No. F–COE–K36125–CA, Hansen Dam Water Conservation and Supply Study, Flood Protection, Implementation, Los Angeles County, CA.

Summary: Review of the final EIS was not deemed necessary. No formal comment letter was sent to the preparing agency.

ERP No. F–IBR–K34010–AZ, Tucson Aqueduct System Reliability Investigation (TASRI), Central Arizona Project, Surface Storage Reservoir Construction, COE Section 404 Permit, Gila River, City of Tucson, Pima County, AZ.

Summary: Review of the Final EIS was not deemed necessary. No formal comment letter was sent to the preparing agency.

ERP No. F1–COE–K35012–CA, Sacramento River Bank Protection Project, Implementation of Streambank Protection for the Lower American River between RM–0 and 13.7, Updated Information, City of Sacramento, Sacramento County, CA.

Summary: Review of the Final EIS was not deemed necessary. No formal comment letter was sent to the preparing agency.

Dated: December 8, 1998.

William D. Dickerson,

Director, NEPA Compliance Division, Office of Federal Activities. [FR Doc. 98–33005 Filed 12–10–98; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 6560-50-P

ENVIRONMENTAL PROTECTION AGENCY

[FRL-6201-6]

Notice of Stakeholder Meetings on the Implementation of the Interim Enhanced Surface Water Treatment Rule and the Stage I Disinfectants/ Disinfection Byproducts Rule

AGENCY: Environmental Protection Agency (EPA). ACTION: Announcement of stakeholder meetings.

SUMMARY: The Interim Enhanced Surface Water Treatment Rule (IESWTR) and the Stage I Disinfectants/ Disinfection Byproducts Rule (Stage I DBPR), were signed by the EPA Administrator on November 30, 1998, as the first of a series of rules referred to as the "Microbial-Disinfectants/ Disinfection Byproducts Rule Cluster." These two new rules are intended to increase control of microbial pathogens while simultaneously broadening regulatory coverage for, and minimizing the public health risks from, disinfectants and disinfection byproducts. At this time, the EPA would like to obtain stakeholder comments on the implementation of these rules and will hold two public meetings to solicit comments and suggestions from parties who will be affected by or are otherwise interested in the implementation of the IESWTR and the Stage I DBPR. To facilitate participation by interested stakeholders, meetings will be held in Denver, Colorado, on January 13, 1999, and in Washington, D.C., on January 22, 1999. Conference call-in lines will be available to be reserved by interested parties who are unable to attend in person. The meetings will begin at 9:00 am local time with an hour for public comment following the morning presentation on the IESWTR. A presentation on the Stage I DBPR will follow the IESWTR public comment period. Another public comment period will begin immediately following the Stage I DBPR presentation and will close when all public comments have been received. EPA will consider the comments and views expressed at the meetings in the implementation of these rules. EPA encourages the full participation of all stakeholders throughout this process.

DATES: The stakeholder meetings regarding the implementation of the IESWTR and the Stage I DBPR will be held as follows:

(1) In Denver, Colorado, on Wednesday, January 13, 1999, at 9:00 am MT until all public comments have been received.