

Act, the term "ministerial error" includes errors "in addition, subtraction, or other arithmetic function, clerical errors resulting from inaccurate copying, duplication, or the like, and any other type of unintentional

error which the [Department] considers ministerial." The Department's error in not extending the inflation index period when it expanded the data period was clearly an oversight of this nature.

Therefore, we are amending the final results.

Amended Final Results of Review

We determine that the following dumping margins exist:

Manufacturer/exporter	Time period	Margin (percent)
Jiangsu Rudong, Grease Gun Factory	9/1/96-8/31/97	1.23
PRC-Wide rate	9/1/96-8/31/97	44.99

The Department shall determine, and the Customs Service shall assess, antidumping duties on all appropriate entries. Individual differences between export price and normal value (NV) may vary from the percentage stated above for Rudong. We have calculated importer-specific duty assessment rates for lug nuts by dividing the total dumping margins (calculated as the difference between NV and export price) for each importer/customer by the total number of units sold to that importer/customer. We will direct Customs to assess the resulting per-unit dollar amount against each unit of merchandise in each of the importer's/customer's entries during the review period. The Department will issue appraisal instructions directly to the Customs Service.

Furthermore, the following deposit rates will be effective upon publication of this notice of final results of review for all shipments of lug nuts from the PRC entered, or withdrawn from warehouse, for consumption on or after the publication date, as provided for by section 751(a)(2)(C) of the Act: (1) for Rudong, which was found to merit a separate rate for the final results of this review, the cash deposit rate will be 1.23 percent; (2) for all other PRC exporters, the cash deposit rate will be the PRC-wide rate; and (3) for non-PRC exporters of subject merchandise from the PRC, the cash deposit rate will be the rate applicable to a PRC supplier of that exporter.

These deposit rates, when imposed, shall remain in effect until publication of the final results of the next administrative review.

This notice serves as a final reminder to importers of their responsibility under 19 CFR 351.402(f) to file a certificate regarding the reimbursement of antidumping duties prior to liquidation of the relevant entries during this review period. Failure to comply with this requirement could result in the Secretary's presumption that reimbursement of antidumping duties occurred and subsequent

assessment of double antidumping duties.

Notification to Interested Parties

This notice also serves as a reminder to parties subject to administrative protective order (APO) of their responsibility concerning the disposition of proprietary information disclosed under APO in accordance with 19 CFR 351.306. See 63 FR 24391, 24403 (May 4, 1998). Timely written notification of the return/destruction of APO materials or conversion to judicial protective order is hereby requested. Failure to comply with the regulations and the terms of an APO is a sanctionable violation.

This administrative review and notice are in accordance with section 751(a)(1) of the Act (19 U.S.C. 1675(a)(1)) and 19 CFR 351.221.

Dated: November 23, 1998.

Robert S. LaRussa,

Assistant Secretary for Import Administration.

[FR Doc. 98-31981 Filed 11-30-98; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 3510-DS-P

DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE

International Trade Administration

[A-533-502]

Certain Welded Carbon Steel Pipes and Tubes From India; Amended Final Results of Antidumping Duty Administrative Review

AGENCY: Import Administration, International Trade Administration, United States Department of Commerce.

ACTION: Notice of amended final results of antidumping duty administrative review.

SUMMARY: On July 22, 1998, the Department of Commerce published in the **Federal Register** (63 FR 39269) the amended final results of administrative review of the antidumping duty order on certain welded carbon steel pipes and tubes from India. The period of review is May 1, 1996 through April 30,

1997. Subsequent to the publication of the amended final results, we received a comment from the respondent alleging a ministerial error. After analyzing the comment submitted, we are amending our amended final results to correct the ministerial error. Based on the correction of the ministerial error, we have changed the margin for Rajinder Pipes Ltd. and Rajinder Steel, Ltd. **EFFECTIVE DATE:** December 1, 1998.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT: Hermes Pinilla or Robin Gray, Import Administration, International Trade Administration, U.S. Department of Commerce, 14th and Constitution Avenue, NW, Washington, DC 20230; telephone: (202) 482-3477 or 482-4023, respectively.

Applicable Statute

Unless otherwise indicated, all citations to the Tariff Act of 1930, as amended (the Act), are references to the provisions effective January 1, 1995, the effective date of the amendments made to the Tariff Act by the Uruguay Round Agreements Act. In addition, unless otherwise indicated, all citations to the Department of Commerce's (the Department's) regulations are to 19 CFR Part 353 (April 1997).

SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION:

Background

On July 22, 1998, the Department published in the **Federal Register** (63 FR 39269) the amended final results of administrative review of the antidumping duty order on certain welded carbon steel pipes and tubes from India covering the period May 1, 1996 through April 30, 1997. After publication of the amended final results, we received a timely allegation from Rajinder Pipes Ltd. and Rajinder Steel, Ltd. (collectively called "RSL") that we had made a ministerial error. However, because RSL had filed a summons and complaint with the Court of International Trade (CIT), we did not issue amended final results of review reflecting the correction of the clerical error. In accordance with *Zenith Elec. Corp. v. United States*, 699 F. Supp. 296

(CIT 1988), *Aff'd* 884 F.2d 556 (Fed Cir. 1989), the Department may not amend an administrative result while it is under the CIT's exclusive jurisdiction unless it has leave of the CIT.

On November 2, 1998, pursuant to the order issued in *Rajinder Pipes Ltd. v. United States*, Court No. 98-07-02504, the CIT granted the Department leave to correct the clerical error in the calculation of RSL's weighted-average margin. Therefore, we are correcting the calculation, in accordance with section 751(h) of the Act.

Clerical-Error Allegation

RSL alleges that, while making corrections to the final results, the Department caused another clerical error to occur inadvertently. Specifically, RSL asserts that, after the Department corrected the clerical error regarding the home market credit-expense adjustment for direct sales, it did not deduct the excise duty when making comparisons to U.S. price.

We agree with RSL and have made the appropriate change in the margin program so that we have deducted excise duty from home market price before making a comparison to U.S. price. This was an inadvertent error in our computer programming.

Amended Final Results of Review

As a result of the amended margin calculations, the following weighted-average percentage margin exists for the period May 1, 1996, through April 30, 1997:

Manufacturer/exporter	Percentage margin
RSL	14.05

The Department shall determine, and the Customs Service shall assess, antidumping duties on all appropriate entries. We have calculated, wherever possible, an exporter/importer-specific assessment rate for RSL's sales to the United States. We will also direct the Customs Service to collect cash deposits of estimated antidumping duties on all appropriate entries in accordance with the procedures discussed in the final results of review (63 FR 32825, 32833) and as amended by this notice. The amended deposit requirements are effective for all shipments of the subject merchandise entered, or withdrawn from warehouse, for consumption on or after the date of publication of this notice and shall remain in effect until publication of the final results of the next administrative review.

This notice also serves as a final reminder to importers of their

responsibility under 19 CFR 353.26 to file a certificate regarding the reimbursement of antidumping duties prior to liquidation of the relevant entries during this review period. Failure to comply with this requirement could result in the Secretary's presumption that reimbursement of antidumping duties occurred and the subsequent assessment of doubled antidumping duties.

We are issuing and publishing this determination in accordance with sections 751(h) and 777(i) of the Act and 19 CFR 353.28(c).

Dated: November 18, 1998.

Robert S. LaRussa,

Assistant Secretary for Import Administration.

[FR Doc. 98-31982 Filed 11-30-98; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 3510-DS-P

DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE

International Trade Administration

[C-475-819]

Certain Pasta from Italy: Final Results of New Shipper Countervailing Duty Administrative Review

AGENCY: Import Administration, International Trade Administration, Department of Commerce.

ACTION: Notice of Final Results of New Shipper Countervailing Duty Administrative Review.

SUMMARY: On September 1, 1998, the Department of Commerce published in the **Federal Register** the preliminary results of its new shipper administrative review of the countervailing duty order on certain pasta from Italy for the period January 1, 1997, through December 31, 1997. We have now completed this review and determine the net subsidy to be 0.95 percent *ad valorem*. We will instruct the Customs Service to assess countervailing duties with respect to all shipments of the subject merchandise by Co. R. EX. S.r.L., the new shipper to this review, entered during this period.

EFFECTIVE DATE: December 1, 1998.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT: Vincent Kane or Sally Hastings, Office of AD/CVD Enforcement, Group I, Import Administration, U.S. Department of Commerce, Room 3099, 14th Street and Constitution Avenue, N.W., Washington, D.C. 20230; telephone (202) 482-2815 or 482-3464, respectively.

Applicable Statute: Unless otherwise indicated, all citations to the statute are references to the provisions of the Tariff Act of 1930, as amended by the Uruguay

Round Agreements Act (URAA), effective January 1, 1995 (the Act). The Department of Commerce (the Department) is conducting this administrative review in accordance with section 751(a) of the Act. All other references are to the Department's regulations codified at 19 CFR Part 351 *et seq.* Antidumping Duties; Countervailing Duties; Final Rule 62 FR 27296 (May 19, 1997), unless otherwise indicated.

SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION:

Background

On September 1, 1998, the Department published in the **Federal Register** (63 FR 46411) the preliminary results of the new shipper administrative review of the countervailing duty order on certain pasta from Italy. The Department has now completed this new shipper administrative review pursuant to section 751(a)(2)(B) of the Act, and in accordance with 19 CFR 351.214. We invited interested parties to comment on the preliminary results. We received no comments. The review covers CO.R.EX., an exporter of the subject merchandise, and CO.R.EX.'s subcontractor. (CO.R.EX. does not produce pasta but has a subcontractor produce pasta for it from semolina supplied by Co.R.EX.) This review covers 24 programs.

Scope of the Review

The merchandise under review consists of certain non-egg dry pasta in packages of five pounds (or 2.27 kilograms) or less, whether or not enriched or fortified or containing milk or other optional ingredients such as chopped vegetables, vegetable purees, milk, gluten, diastases, vitamins, coloring and flavorings, and up to two percent egg white. The pasta covered by this scope is typically sold in the retail market, in fiberboard or cardboard cartons or polyethylen or polypropylene bags, of varying dimensions.

Excluded from the scope of this review are refrigerated, frozen, or canned pastas, as well as all forms of egg pasta, with the exception of non-egg dry pasta containing up to two percent egg white. Also excluded are imports of organic pasta from Italy that are accompanied by the appropriate certificate issued by one of the following agencies: Instituto Mediterraneo Di Certificazione, Bioagricoop Srl pasta imported in kitchen display bottles of decorative glass, which are sealed with cork or paraffin and bound with raffia, is excluded from the scope of this review.