Agenda: To review and evaluate formal proposals submitted to the Centers of Research Excellence in Science and Technology (CREST) Program as part of the selection process for awards.

Reason for Closing: The proposals being reviewed include information of a proprietary or confidential nature, including technical information; financial data, such as salaries; and personal information concerning individuals associated with the proposals. These matters are exempt under 5 U.S.C. 552b(c), (4) and (6) of the Government in the Sunshine Act.

Dated: February 2, 1998.

### M. Rebecca Winkler,

Committee Management Officer. [FR Doc. 98–2944 Filed 2–5–98; 8:45 am] BILLING CODE 7555–01–M

### NATIONAL SCIENCE FOUNDATION

# Special Emphasis Panel in Mathematical Sciences; Notice of Meeting

In accordance with the Federal Advisory Committee Act (Pub. L. 92– 463, as amended), the National Science Foundation announces the following meeting.

*Name:* Special Emphasis Panel in Mathematical Sciences (1204).

Date and Time: February 23–25, 1998; 8:30 a.m. until 5 p.m.

*Place:* Room 310, National Science Foundation, 4201 Wilson Boulevard, Arlington, VA 22230.

Type of Meeting: Closed.

Contact Person: Dr. Bruce Palka, Program Director, National Science Foundation, 4201 Wilson Boulevard, Arlington, VA 22230. Telephone: (703) 306–1879.

Purpose of Meeting: To provide advice and recommendations concerning proposals submitted to NSF for financial support.

Agenda: To review and evaluate the Real Harmonics Analysis Program nominations/applications as part of the selection process for awards.

Reason for Closing: The proposals being reviewed include information of a proprietary of confidential nature, including technical information; financial data, such as salaries and personal information concerning individuals associated with the proposals. These matters are exempt under 5 U.S.C. 552b(c)(4) and (6) of the Government in the Sunshine Act.

Dated: February 2, 1998.

# M. Rebecca Winkler,

Committee Management Officer. [FR Doc. 98–2942 Filed 2–5–98; 8:45 am] BILLING CODE 7555–01–M NATIONAL SCIENCE FOUNDATION

# Advisory Panel for Physiology and Ethology; Notice of Meeting

In accordance with the Federal Advisory Committee Act (Pub. L. 92– 463, as amended), the National Science Foundation (NSF) announces the following meeting.

*Name:* Advisory Panel for Physiology and Ethology (#1160).

Date and Time: February 9–10, 1998, 8:30 a.m.–5 p.m.

Place: NSF, Room 390, 4201 Wilson Blvd., Arlington, VA.

Type of Meeting: Part—Open.

Contact Persons: Dr. John A. Phillips and Dr. Eric T. Nilsen, Program Directors, Ecological & Evolutionary Physiology, Dr. Penny Kukuk, Program Director, Animal Behavior, Division of Integrative Biology and Neuroscience, Suite 685, National Science Foundation, 4201 Wilson Boulevard, Arlington, VA 22230, Telephone: (703) 306–1421.

*Purpose of Meeting:* To provide advice and recommendations concerning proposals submitted to NSF for financial support.

*Minutes*: May be obtained from the contact person listed above.

Agenda: Open Session: February 10, 1998; 2:30 p.m. to 3:30 p.m.—discussion on research trends, opportunities and assessment procedures in Ecological & Evolutionary Physiology and Animal Behavior, with Dr. Mary E. Clutter, Assistant Director, Directorate for Biological Sciences.

Closed Session: February 9, 1998, 8:30 a.m.-6 p.m. and February 10, 1998, 8:30 a.m. to 2:30 p.m. and 3:30 to 5 p.m. To review and evaluate Ecological & Evolutionary Physiology & Animal Behavior proposals as part of the selection process for awards.

Reason for Closing: The proposals being reviewed include information of a proprietary or confidential nature, including technical information; financial data, such as salaries; and personal information concerning individuals associated with the proposals. These matters are exempt under 5 U.S.C. 552b(c), (4) and (6) of the Government in the Sunshine Act.

Reason for Late Notice: This notice was late due to the delayed scheduling of the open session. Confirmation of the open session was made last week.

Dated: February 2, 1998.

## M. Rebecca Winkler,

Committee Management Officer. [FR Doc. 98–2941 Filed 2–5–98; 8:45 am] BILLING CODE 7555–01–M

# NUCLEAR REGULATORY COMMISSION

[Docket No. 50-317]

# Baltimore Gas and Electric Co.; Notice of Partial Denial of Amendment to Facility Operating License and Opportunity for Hearing

The U.S. Nuclear Regulatory
Commission (the Commission) has
partially denied a request by Baltimore
Gas and Electric Company, (licensee) for
an amendment to Facility Operating
License No. DRP–53 issued to the
licensee for operation of the Calvert
Cliffs Nuclear Power Plant, Unit No. 1,
located in Calvert County, Maryland.
Notice of Consideration of Issuance of
this amendment was published in the
Federal Register on June 18, 1997 (62
FR 33118).

The purpose of the licensee's amendment request involved replacing the service water heat exchangers with new plate and frame heat exchangers (PHES) having increased performance capability. The licensee also requested to operate the plant with one PHE secured, and removing one containment air cooler from service to enable the affected subsystem to remain operable while the one PHE is secured.

The NRC staff has concluded that the portion of the licensee's amendment request pertaining to operating the plant with one PHE secured, and removing one containment air cooler from service to enable the affected subsystems to remain operable while the PHE is serviced cannot be granted, and therefore, denied.

By March 9, 1998, the licensee may demand a hearing with respect to the partial denial described above. Any person whose interest may be affected by this proceeding may file a written petition for leave to intervene.

A request for hearing or petition for leave to intervene must be filed with the Secretary of the Commission, U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission, Washington, DC 20555, Attention: Rulemakings and Adjudications Staff, or may be delivered to the Commission's Public Document Room, the Gelman Building, 2120 L Street, NW., Washington, DC, by the above date.

A copy of any petitions should also be sent to the Office of the General Counsel, U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission, Washington, DC 20555, and to Jay E. Silberg, Esquire, Shaw, Pittman, Potts and Trowbridge, 2300 N Street, NW., Washington, DC. 20037, attorney for the licensee.

For further details with respect to this action, see (1) the application for amendment dated May 16, 1997, as

supplemented by letter dated November 14, 1997, and (2) the Commission's letter to the licensee dated February 2, 1998.

These documents are available for public inspection at the Commission's Public Document Room, the Gelman Building, 2120 L Street, NW., Washington, DC, and at the local public document room located at the Calvert County Library, Prince Frederick, Maryland 20678.

Dated at Rockville, Maryland, this 2nd day of February 1998.

For the Nuclear Regulatory Commission.

# Alexander W. Dromerick,

Senior Project Manager, Project Directorate I-1, Division of Reactor Projects—I/II, Office of Nuclear Reactor Regulation.

[FR Doc. 98–2996 Filed 2–5–98; 8:45 am] BILLING CODE 7590–01–P

# NUCLEAR REGULATORY COMMISSION

[Docket No. 50-346]

In the Matter of Toledo Edison Company; Centerior Service Company; the Cleveland Electric Illuminating Company; (Davis-Besse Nuclear Power Station, Unit 1), Exemption

I

Toledo Edison Company, Centerior Service Company, and The Cleveland Electric Illuminating Company (the licensees) are the holders of Facility Operating License No. NPF–3, which authorizes operation of the Davis-Besse Nuclear Power Station, Unit 1 (the facility). The license provides, among other things, that the facility is subject to all rules, regulations, and orders of the U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission (the Commission) now or hereafter in effect.

The facility is a pressurized-water reactor located at the licensees' site in Ottawa County, Ohio.

### II

By letter dated November 18, 1997, as supplemented by facsimile dated December 9, 1997, the licensees requested an exemption from certain requirements in Title 10 of the Code of Federal Regulations, part 50, Appendix R, Section III.O, for Davis-Besse.

### III

Pursuant to 10 CFR 50.12, the Commission may, upon application by any interested person or upon its own initiative, grant exemptions from the requirements of 10 CFR part 50 when (1) the exemptions are authorized by law, will not present an undue risk to public health or safety, and are consistent with the common defense and security, and (2) when special circumstances are present. Special circumstances are present whenever, according to 10 CFR 50.12(a)(2)(ii), "Application of the regulation in the particular circumstances would not serve the underlying purpose of the rule or is not necessary to achieve the underlying purpose of the rule...."

10 CFR part 50, Appendix R, Section III.O, requires that the reactor coolant pump (RCP) shall be equipped with an oil collection system if the containment is not inerted during normal operation. The oil collection system shall be so designed, engineered and installed that failure will not lead to fire during normal or design basis accident conditions and that there is reasonable assurance that the system will withstand the Safe Shutdown Earthquake. The underlying purpose of 10 CFR part 50, Appendix R, Section III.O, is to ensure that leaking oil will not lead to a fire that could damage safe shutdown systems during normal or design basis accident conditions.

On the basis of the enclosed Safety Evaluation, the NRC staff concluded that the design of the oil filling system and the level of protection provided by the licensees through the use of certain compensatory measures during oil fill operations provides reasonable assurance that a lube oil fire will not occur. The compensatory measures, as itemized in the licensees' November 18, 1997, exemption request, are:

- (1) The licensees will take the following compensatory actions each time oil is added:
- (a) Oil will be added only when a low oil level computer alarm is received on an RCP motor.
- (b) Only a predetermined amount of oil necessary to clear the alarm (approximately three pints based on experience) will be initially added to the reservoir through the remote fill line. A maximum total volume of four pints may be added in an attempt to clear the alarm.
- (c) The oil fill pot will be verified empty before the technician leaves the immediate area. Any spillage resulting from adding oil to the remote oil fill pot will be cleaned up.
- (d) Personnel responsible for adding the oil will be instructed to report (to the control room) any evidence of smoke during the oil addition process. If smoke is seen, the fire brigade will be immediately dispatched to the area.
- (2) In addition, a visual inspection will be conducted following refueling

outages to confirm the integrity of the remote fill line system.

The staff also concluded that a worst-case postulated fire, from not having a lube oil collection system for the RCP lube oil fill lines, would be of limited magnitude and extent. In addition, the staff concluded that such a fire would not cause significant damage in the containment building and would not prevent operators from achieving and maintaining safe shutdown conditions. Accordingly, in light of the foregoing, the staff concluded that application of this collection system requirement is not necessary to achieve the underlying purpose of the rule.

### IV

Contingent upon the use of the compensatory measures that are itemized in the licensees' November 18, 1997, exemption request, the NRC staff has concluded that the licensees' proposed use of the remote oil addition system without a collection system is authorized by law, will not present an undue risk to public health and safety and is consistent with the common defense and security. The NRC staff has also determined that there are special circumstances present, as specified in 10 CFR 50.12(a)(2)(ii), in that application of 10 CFR part 50, Appendix R, Section III.O, is not necessary in order to achieve the underlying purpose of this regulation.

Accordingly, the Commission hereby grants an exemption from the requirements of 10 CFR part 50, Appendix R, Section III.O, to the extent that the RCP lube oil fill lines are required to be protected with a collection system. The granting of this exemption is conditioned upon the licensees' use of the compensatory measures set forth in the licensees' November 18, 1997 exemption request.

Pursuant to 10 CFR 51.32, the Commission has determined that the granting of this exemption will not result in any significant adverse environmental impact (63 FR 4678).

This exemption is effective upon issuance.

Dated at Rockville, Maryland, this 30th day of January 1998.

For the Nuclear Regulatory Commission.

## Samuel J. Collins,

Director, Office of Nuclear Reactor Regulation.

[FR Doc. 98–2995 Filed 2–5–98; 8:45 am] BILLING CODE 7590–01–P