

**DEPARTMENT OF LABOR****Employment and Training  
Administration**

[TA-W-33,810]

**Lenzing Fibers Corp., Lowland, TN;  
Dismissal of Application for  
Reconsideration**

Pursuant to 29 CFR 90.18(c) an application for administrative reconsideration was filed with the Acting Director of the Office of Trade Adjustment Assistance for workers at Lenzing Fibers Corporation, Lowland, Tennessee. The review indicated that the application contained no new substantial information which would bear importantly on the Department's determination. Therefore, dismissal of the application was issued.

*TA-W-33,810; Lenzing Fibers, Lowland, Tennessee (December 29, 1997)*

Signed at Washington, DC, this 28th day of January, 1998.

**Grant D. Beale,**

*Acting Director, Office of Trade Adjustment Assistance.*

[FR Doc. 98-2911 Filed 2-5-98; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 4510-30-M

**DEPARTMENT OF LABOR****Employment and Training  
Administration**

[TA-W-33,929]

**Micro Stamping Corp., Somerset, NJ;  
Termination of Investigation**

Pursuant to section 221 of the Trade Act of 1974, an investigation was initiated on October 27, 1997 in response to a worker petition which was file on October 27, 1997 on behalf of workers at Micro Stamping, Somerset, New Jersey.

The petitioner has requested that the petition be withdrawn. Consequently, further investigation in this case would serve no purpose, and the investigation has been terminated.

Signed in Washington, DC, this 21st day of January, 1998.

**Grant D. Beale,**

*Acting Director, Office of Trade Adjustment Assistance.*

[FR Doc. 98-2920 Filed 2-5-98; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 4510-30-M

**DEPARTMENT OF LABOR****Employment and Training  
Administration**

[TA-W-32,881]

**National Food Products Limited,  
Reading, PA; Negative Determination  
on Reconsideration**

On February 4, 1997, the Department issued an Affirmative Determination Regarding Application for Reconsideration for the workers and former workers of the subject firm. The petitioner presented evidence that the Department's survey of customers of National Food Products Limited was incomplete. The notice was published in the **Federal Register** on February 13, 1997 (62 FR 6808).

The Department initially denied TAA to workers of National Food Products Limited because the "contributed importantly" group eligibility requirement of Section 222(3) of the Trade Act of 1974, as amended, was not met. The investigation revealed that none of the customers purchased imported canned mushrooms during the January through June time period of 1995 and 1996.

The petitioner claims that the Department's customer survey did not evaluate imports of canned mushrooms for the July through December 1996 time period.

On reconsideration, the Department examined U.S. imports of mushrooms. Findings show U.S. imports of processed mushrooms increased both absolutely and relative to U.S. production from 1994 to 1995. From 1995 to 1996, U.S. imports of processed mushrooms declined absolutely.

On reconsideration, the Department conducted another survey of the major declining customers of the subject firm regarding their imports of 4 oz. retail canned mushrooms during July through December 1996. The survey results concluded that none of the customers purchased imported product during that period.

**Conclusion**

After reconsideration, I affirm the original notice of negative determination of eligibility to apply for worker adjustment assistance for workers and former workers of National Food Products Limited, Reading, Pennsylvania.

Signed at Washington, DC, this 16th day of May 1997.

**Russell T. Kile,**

*Program Manager, Policy and Reemployment Services, Office of Trade Adjustment Assistance.*

[FR Doc. 98-2915 Filed 2-5-98; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 4510-30-M

**DEPARTMENT OF LABOR****Employment and Training  
Administration**

[TA-W-34,057]

**The Oldham Com.; Burt, NY;  
Termination of Investigation**

Pursuant to section 221 of the Trade Act of 1974, an investigation was initiated on December 1, 1997 in response to a worker petition which was filed on November 19, 1997 on behalf of workers at The Oldham Company, Burt, New York.

The petitioner has requested that the petition be withdrawn. Consequently, further investigation in this case would serve no purpose, and the investigation has been terminated.

Signed in Washington, DC this 23rd day of January, 1998.

**Grant D. Beale,**

*Acting Director, Office of Trade Adjustment Assistance.*

[FR Doc. 98-2914 Filed 2-5-98; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 4510-30-M

**DEPARTMENT OF LABOR****Employment and Training  
Administration**

[TA-W-33,532 and TA-W-33, 532A]

**Varon, Inc., Division of Biscayne  
Apparel, Inc., D/B/A/ Amy Industries,  
Inc., Colquitt, Georgia and Arlington,  
Georgia; Amended Certification  
Regarding Eligibility To Apply for  
Worker Adjustment Assistance**

In accordance with section 223 of the Trade Act of 1974 (19 USC 2273) the Department of Labor issued a Certification of Eligibility to Apply for Worker Adjustment Assistance on July 23, 1997, applicable to all workers of Varon, Incorporated, Division of Biscayne Apparel, Incorporated, d/b/a/ Amy Industries, Incorporated, Colquitt, Georgia. The notice was published in the **Federal Register** on September 4, 1997 (62 FR 46775).

At the request of the petitioners, the Department reviewed the certification for workers of the subject firm. New findings show that worker separations

will occur at the Arlington, Georgia production facility when it closes in February, 1998. The workers are engaged in employment related to the production of children's undergarments.

The intent of the Department's certification is to include all workers of Varon, Incorporated adversely affected by increased imports of children's undergarments.

The amended notice applicable to TA-W-33,532 is hereby issued as follows:

All workers of Varon, Incorporation, Division of Biscayne Apparel, Incorporated, d/b/a/ Amy Industries, Incorporated, Colquitt, Georgia (TA-W-33,532) and Arlington, Georgia (TA-W-33,532A) who became totally or partially separated from employment on or after May 20, 1996 through July 23, 1999 are eligible to apply for adjustment assistance under section 223 of the Trade Act of 1974.

Signed at Washington, DC, this 21st day of January, 1998.

**Grant D. Beale,**

*Acting Director, Office of Trade Adjustment Assistance.*

[FR Doc. 98-2918 Filed 2-5-98; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 4510-30-M

## DEPARTMENT OF LABOR

### Employment and Training Administration

#### Proposed Collection; Comment Request

**ACTION:** Notice.

**SUMMARY:** The Department of Labor, as part of its continuing effort to reduce paperwork and respondent burden conducts a preclearance consultation program to provide the general public and Federal agencies with an opportunity to comment on proposed and/or continuing collections of information in accordance with the Paperwork Reduction Act of 1995 (PRA95) (44 U.S.C. 3506(c)(2)(A)). This

program helps to ensure that requested data can be provided in the desired format, reporting burden (time and financial resources) is minimized, collection instruments are clearly understood, and the impact of collection requirements on respondents can be properly assessed. Currently, the Employment and Training Administration is soliciting comments concerning the proposed extension of collection of information for the National Job Corps Study.

A copy of the proposed information collection request (ICR) can be obtained by contacting the office listed below in the addressee section of this notice.

**DATES:** Written comments must be submitted to the office listed in the addressee section below on or before April 7, 1998.

The Department of Labor is particularly interested in comments which:

- \* Evaluate whether the proposed collection of information is necessary for the proper performance of the functions of the agency, including whether the information will have practical utility;

- \* Evaluate the accuracy of the agency's estimate of the burden of the proposed collection of information, including the validity of the methodology and assumptions used;

- \* Enhance the quality, utility, and clarity of the information to be collected; and

- \* Minimize the burden of the collection of information on those who are to respond, including through the use of appropriate automated, electronic, mechanical, or other technological collection techniques or other forms of information technology, e.g., permitting electronic submissions of responses.

**ADDRESSES:** Daniel Ryan, Office of Policy and Research, Employment and Training Administration, Room N-5637, 200 Constitution Ave., NW,

Washington, DC 20210, telephone 202-219-5782, extension 147 (this is not a toll-free number). Internet address: ETA.ED.RyanD@doleta.gov.

#### SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION:

##### I. Background

The National Job Corps study is estimating the effects of their Job Corps experience on participants' postprogram employment, earnings, and related outcomes. It is also comparing the benefits and costs of the program. ETA is sponsoring the study in fulfillment of its responsibility to provide Congress and the public with information on the effectiveness of ETA's programs.

##### II. Current Actions

ETA requests that previously granted OMB clearance to collect data in support of the study be extended beyond the current expiration date of the clearance. The extension is necessary to complete collection of data through follow-up interviews conducted at 30 months after sample intake, which will be used to assess interim program impacts, and interviews conducted at 48 months after sample intake, which will be used to assess longer term effects of participating in Job Corps.

*Type of Review:* Extension.

*Agency:* Employment and Training Administration.

*Title:* Evaluation of the Impacts of the Job Corps on Participants' Postprogram Labor Market and Related Behavior—Follow-up Questionnaire.

*OMB Number:* 1205-0360.

*Affected Public:* Individuals.

*Cite/Reference/Form/etc:* National Job Corps study follow-up questionnaire.

*Total Respondents:* 13,491.

*Frequency:* Two times (during period of extension, total of 4 times).

*Total Responses:* 35,596.

*Average Time per Response:* 40 minutes.

*Estimated Total Burden Hours:*

Interview	Adminis- trations	Hours per response	Burden
12-Month Follow-up .....	*13,491	*.53	*7,150
30-Month Follow-up .....	11,979	.75	8,984
48-Month Follow-up .....	10,486	.75	7,865
Total .....	35,956	.67	23,999

\*Actual.