of its ocean outfall, thus requiring evaluation of further alternatives.

MCB Camp Pendleton is proceeding with on-base construction of the effluent collection and percolation pond elements of the disposal system described in the Final EIS/R and Record of Decision. This Supplemental EIS will analyze four alternatives to provide additional and sufficient disposal capacity, without the use of an ocean outfall, to achieve compliance with the San Diego RWQCB Cease and Desist Order.

The four alternatives include: Alternative 1, land disposalpercolation of all effluent; Alternative 2, land and live stream disposalpercolation with seasonal discharge; Alternative 3, land and live stream disposal—percolation, advanced treatment and live stream discharge; and Alternative 4, land disposalpercolation, advanced treatment and reclamation. All alternatives will require the construction of percolation ponds at up to three locations; Lemon Grove, I-5/Railroad site, and the Boat Basin site. Under Alternative 1, the effluent would be conveyed through underground piping between the three sites. Most of this piping would be installed in existing roadways.

Under Alternative 2, berm height and depth at Lemon Grove will be increased, and an effluent storage pond will be constructed at Stuart Mesa. These structures will accommodate effluent storage when effluent input to the percolation ponds exceeds the percolation rate, and live stream disposal is not feasible. The effluent will be discharged from the Lemon Grove and Stuart Mesa storage ponds to the Santa Margarita River when the volume of river flow provides sufficient dilution of the effluent. The proposed discharge point will be north of the Lemon Grove ponds.

Alternative 3 will process effluent, that is in excess of the percolation rate, to remove nitrogen, phosphorous and other constituents, and will be discharged to the Santa Margarita River at the same point identified in Alternative 2. Construction of an advanced water treatment (AWT) facilities adjacent to Sewage Treatment Plant (STP) 13 and some effluent storage capacity will be required. Although the AWT would improve the quality of the effluent, it is not anticipated that the current Basin Plan objectives for total dissolved solids (TDS) would be achieved, and modification to the Basin Plan would be required.

Alternative 4 will be similar to Alternative 3, except the AWT effluent will be conveyed to a point near the existing irrigation system and used for irrigation of on-base, leased agricultural lands northwest of the Lemon Grove ponds, on the east and west sides of I–5.

In addition, an alternative for a more limited expansion of the Lemon Grove Ponds will be considered in the Supplemental EIS. This alternative would limit the size of the Lemon Grove pond expansion to avoid the removal of approximately 300 eucalyptus trees. This alternative may be combined with any of Alternatives 1–4.

A supplement to the previously issued EIR is not required since the revised proposed action does not require local approvals or California Environmental Quality Act certification.

The scope of the analyses and issues of concern for this Supplemental EIS are anticipated to be very similar to those addressed in the Final EIS/R. The major issues are expected to be hydrology and water quality, biological resources, and cultural resources. Other issues to be addressed include geology and soils, air quality, land use, transportation and circulation, noise, visual resources, safety and environmental health, utilities, socioeconomics, and environmental justice.

This notice has been mailed to all parties who commented on the Sewage Effluent Compliance Project, Lower Santa Margarita Basin Environmental Impact Statement/Report (EIS/R), and other interested parties. This Notice has also been published in local newspapers. The Marine Corps invites agencies, organizations, and the general public to provide written comments relative to the proposed project and the issues to be addressed in the Supplemental EIS. Scoping comments should clearly describe specific issues or topics which the commentor believes the Supplemental EIS should address. Written statements or questions regarding the scoping process should be received no later than March 23, 1998, and should be sent to: Southwest Division, Naval Facilities Engineering Command, 1220 Pacific Highway, San Diego, CA 92132-5190, (Attn: Ms. Vicky Taylor, Code 533.VT), phone (619) 532-3007.

Dated: January 30, 1998.

### L.L. Larson,

Colonel, USMC, Acting Head, Land Use and Military Construction Branch, Facilities and Services Division, Installations and Logistics Department, By direction of the Commandant of the Marine Corps.

[FR Doc. 98–2752 Filed 2–3–98; 8:45 am] BILLING CODE 3810-FF-P

#### **DEPARTMENT OF ENERGY**

## Reimbursement for Costs of Remedial Action at Active Uranium and Thorium Processing Sites

**AGENCY:** Office of Environmental Management, Department of Energy. **ACTION:** Notice of the acceptance of claims and the availability of funds for reimbursement in fiscal year 1998.

SUMMARY: This Notice announces the Department of Energy acceptance of claims for reimbursement.

Approximately \$40 million in funds for fiscal year 1998 are available for reimbursement of certain costs of remedial action at eligible active uranium and thorium processing sites pursuant to Title X of the Energy Policy Act of 1992.

In fiscal year 1998, the Department will be implementing a new schedule for payment of claims. Fiscal year 1998 funds will be applied to outstanding approved claims from fiscal year 1997 and prior years. Since the outstanding approved claims from fiscal year 1997 and prior fiscal years exceed \$40 million, they will be subject to prorated payment in fiscal year 1998. Beginning in fiscal year 1998, current year claims will be reviewed for acceptability and eligible for payment in the following fiscal year, e.g., claims will be submitted by May 1 and technical and financial reviews will be completed and final determinations made within one year with reimbursements made by April 30 of the following year, pending congressional appropriations for such

After the payment of fiscal year 1998 funds against outstanding approved claims through fiscal year 1997, there will be remaining unpaid outstanding approved claims. Thus, any approved claim amounts for fiscal year 1998 will be added to the outstanding balances and eligible for prorated payment in fiscal year 1999 based on the availability of funds from congressional appropriations.

payments of approximately \$40 million against outstanding approved claims through fiscal year 1997 by April 30, 1998. The closing date for the submission of claims in fiscal year 1998 is May 1, 1998.

ADDRESSES: Claims should be forwarded by certified or registered mail, return receipt requested, to the U.S. Department of Energy, Albuquerque Operations Office, Environmental Restoration Division, P.O. Box 5400, Albuquerque, NM, 87185–5400, or by express mail to the U.S. Department of

Energy, Albuquerque Operations Office, Environmental Restoration Division, H and Pennsylvania Streets, Albuquerque, NM, 87116. All claims should be addressed to the attention of Mr. James B. Coffey. Two copies of the claim should be included with each submission.

#### FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT:

Messrs. James Coffey (505–845–4026) or Gil Maldonado (505–845–4035), U.S. Department of Energy, Albuquerque Operations Office, Environmental Restoration Division.

SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION: The Department of Energy published a final rule under 10 CFR part 765 in the Federal Register on May 23, 1994 (59 FR 26714) to carry out the requirements of Title X of the Energy Policy Act of 1992 (sections 1001-1004 of Pub. L. 102-486, 42 U.S.C. 2296a et seq.) and to establish the procedures for eligible licensees to submit claims for reimbursement. Title X requires the Department of Energy to reimburse eligible uranium and thorium licensees for certain costs of decontamination, decommissioning, reclamation, and other remedial action incurred by licensees at active uranium and thorium processing sites to remediate byproduct material generated as an incident of sales to the United States Government. To be reimbursable, costs of remedial action must be for work which is necessary to comply with applicable requirements of the Uranium Mill Tailings Radiation Control Act of 1978 (42 U.S.C. 7901 et seq.) or, where appropriate, with requirements established by a state pursuant to a discontinuance agreement under section 274 of the Atomic Energy Act of 1954 (42 U.S.C. 2021). Claims for reimbursement must be supported by reasonable documentation as determined by the Department of Energy in accordance with 10 CFR part 765. Funds for reimbursement will be provided from the Uranium Enrichment Decontamination and Decommissioning Fund established at the United States Department of Treasury pursuant to section 1801 of the Atomic Energy Act of 1954 (42 U.S.C. 2297g). Payment or obligation of funds shall be subject to the requirements of the Anti-Deficiency Act (31 U.S.C. 1341).

**Authority:** Section 1001–1004 of Pub. L. 102–46, 106 Stat. 2776 (42 U.S.C. 2296a *et seq.*).

Issued in Washington D.C. on this 28th of January, 1998.

#### David E. Mathes,

Leader, UMTRA/Surface Ground Water Team, Office of Southwestern Area Programs, Environmental Restoration.

[FR Doc. 98–2688 Filed 2–3–98; 8:45 am] BILLING CODE 6450–01–P

#### **DEPARTMENT OF ENERGY**

#### **Energy Information Administration**

# Agency Information Collection Under Review by the Office of Management and Budget

AGENCY: Energy Information Administration, Department of Energy. ACTION: Submission for OMB review; comment request.

**SUMMARY:** The Energy Information Administration (EIA) has submitted the energy information collection(s) listed at the end of this notice to the Office of Management and Budget (OMB) for review under provisions of the Paperwork Reduction Act of 1995 (Pub. L. 104–13). The listing does not include collections of information contained in new or revised regulations which are to be submitted under section 3507(d)(1)(A) of the Paperwork Reduction Act, nor management and procurement assistance requirements collected by the Department of Energy (DOE).

Each entry contains the following information: (1) collection number and title; (2) summary of the collection of information (includes sponsor (the DOE component)), current OMB document number (if applicable), type of request (new, revision, extension, or reinstatement); response obligation (mandatory, voluntary, or required to obtain or retain benefits); (3) a description of the need and proposed use of the information; (4) description of the likely respondents; and (5) estimate of total annual reporting burden (average hours per response x proposed frequency of response per year x estimated number of likely respondents.)

DATES: Comments must be filed within 30 days of publication of this notice. If you anticipate that you will be submitting comments but find it difficult to do so within the time allowed by this notice, you should advise the OMB DOE Desk Officer listed below of your intention to do so as soon as possible. The Desk Officer may be telephoned at (202) 395–3084. (Also, please notify the EIA contact listed below.)

ADDRESSES: Address comments to the Department of Energy Desk Officer, Office of Information and Regulatory Affairs, Office of Management and Budget, 726 Jackson Place NW, Washington, D.C. 20503. (Comments should also be addressed to the Statistics and Methods Group at the address below.)

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT: Requests for additional information should be directed to Herbert Miller, Statistics and Methods Group, (EI–70), Forrestal Building, U.S. Department of Energy, Washington, D.C. 20585. Mr. Miller may be telephoned at (202) 426–1103, FAX (202) 426–1081, or e-mail at hmiller@eia.doe.gov.

**SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION:** The energy information collection submitted to OMB for review was:

1. EIA-886, "Alternative Transportation Fuels and Alternative Fueled Vehicles Annual Survey"

2. Office of Coal, Nuclear, Electric and Alternate Fuels, Energy Information Administration; OMB No. 1905–0191; Revision; Mandatory

3. The EIA–886 is an annual survey of the number of alternative fuel vehicles (AFVs) made available on a calendar year basis and the amount and distribution of each type of Alternative Transportation Fuel (ATF) consumed. The data will be used to track the AFV supply situation available for the Federal Government, State Governments, and fuel providers to acquire AFVs. Respondents are manufacturers, importers, and conversion companies of AFV vehicles, and ATF providers and users.

A proposed change to the form is that respondents will be afforded the option of whether or not to hold certain data confidential. Respondents are asked in Items B1, B3, C1, C3, E1, and E3 of the form whether or not they wish to waive confidential treatment of data. The remainder of the form receives the standard confidentiality provisions.

In response to a reply to the **Federal Register** notice (62 FR 43148) dated August 12, 1997, soliciting comments on the form, the following changes are proposed. Section B, Item B2; Section C, Item C2; Section E, Item E2; Section H, Item 4; and Section I, Item 4 have been changed from mandatory reporting to voluntary reporting.

4. State or local governments, Businesses or other for-profit, Federal agencies or employees, Small businesses or organizations

5. 11,448 hours (4.58 hrs.  $\times$  1 response per year  $\times$  2,500 respondents)

**Statutory Authority:** Section 3506(c)(2)(A) of the Paperwork Reduction Act of 1995 (Pub. L. No. 104–13).