

Status: Excess  
Reason: Extensive deterioration  
Hawaii  
Bldg. 134  
Naval Station, Pearl Harbor  
Honolulu HI 96818-  
Landholding Agency: Navy  
Property Number: 779840003  
Status: Unutilized  
Reason: Extensive deterioration

Bldg. 135  
Naval Station, Pearl Harbor  
Honolulu HI 96818-  
Landholding Agency: Navy  
Property Number: 779840004  
Status: Excess  
Reason: Extensive deterioration  
Maine  
Bldg. 13  
Naval Air Station  
Brunswick Co: Cumberland ME 04011-  
Landholding Agency: Navy  
Property Number: 779840005  
Status: Excess  
Reason: Extensive deterioration

Bldg. 15  
Naval Air Station  
Brunswick Co: Cumberland ME 04011-  
Landholding Agency: Navy  
Property Number: 779840006  
Status: Excess  
Reason: Extensive deterioration

Bldg. 16  
Naval Air Station  
Brunswick Co: Cumberland ME 04011-  
Landholding Agency: Navy  
Property Number: 779840007  
Status: Excess  
Reason: Extensive deterioration  
Michigan  
Granite Island Light Station  
Lake Superior  
Lake Superior MI  
Landholding Agency: GSA  
Property Number: 549840001  
Status: Excess  
Reason: Other  
Comment: inaccessible  
GSA Number: 1-U-MI-791

New Hampshire  
Dry Dock 1  
Portsmouth Naval Shipyard  
Portsmouth NH 03804-5000  
Landholding Agency: Navy  
Property Number: 779840012  
Status: Underutilized  
Reason: Secured Area  
Dry Dock 3  
Portsmouth Naval Shipyard  
Portsmouth NH 03804-5000  
Landholding Agency: Navy  
Property Number: 779840013  
Status: Underutilized  
Reason: Secured Area  
Berth 2  
Portsmouth Naval Shipyard  
Portsmouth NH 03804-5000  
Landholding Agency: Navy  
Property Number: 779840014  
Status: Underutilized  
Reason: Secured Area  
Berth 11

Portsmouth Naval Shipyard  
Portsmouth NH 03804-5000  
Landholding Agency: Navy  
Property Number: 779840015  
Status: Underutilized  
Reason: Secured Area  
Virginia  
13 Garages  
Naval Base Norfolk Complex  
Norfolk VA  
Location: A-39A, F-32A, F-33E/W, G-31E,  
G-31W, G-45A, H-7A, SP-18A, SP-19A,  
SP-20A, SP-21A, SP-24A, SP-26A  
Landholding Agency: Navy  
Property Number: 779840016  
Status: Excess  
Reason: Extensive deterioration

Washington  
Bldg. 844  
Former Park Place Enlisted Club  
808 Burwell St.  
Bremerton Co: Kitsap WA 98314-  
Landholding Agency: GSA  
Property Number: 549840002  
Status: Excess  
Reason: Within 2000 ft. of flammable or  
explosive material  
GSA Number: 9-D-WA-1164  
[FR Doc. 98-28129 Filed 10-22-98; 8:45 am]  
BILLING CODE 4210-29-M

## DEPARTMENT OF THE INTERIOR

### National Recreation Lakes Study Commission

**AGENCY:** National Recreation Lakes Study Commission.

**ACTION:** Notice of third meeting of the National Recreation Lakes Study Commission.

**SUMMARY:** The Omnibus Parks and Public Land Management Act of 1996 authorizes a presidential commission to review the demand for recreation at Federal lakes, and to develop alternatives for enhanced recreation uses, primarily through innovative public/private partnerships. This will be the third meeting of the Commission.

**DATES:** November 9-10, 1998, starting at 8:00 a.m. on November 9 and starting at 1:00 p.m. on November 10.

**ADDRESSES:** The meeting will be held at the Lake Lanier Islands Hilton Resort, 7000 Holiday Road, Lake Lanier Islands, Georgia. The Commission will address old business and hear presentations concerning protecting environmental values at Federal lakes; the feasibility of a National Recreation Lake System; revenue sources for managing Federal recreation resources; and the principles of concession management. There will also be special presentations made by the Tennessee Valley Authority, the Army Corps of Engineers, the North American Lakes Management Society

and the Colorado State Parks Division of Parks and Outdoor Recreation.

The Commission will invite comments from the public beginning at 2:00 p.m. on November 9.

**FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT:** Jeanne Whittington at 202-219-7104.

Dated: October 19, 1998.

**Bruce R. Brown,**

*Acting Executive Director, National Recreation Lakes Study Commission.*

[FR Doc. 98-28411 Filed 10-22-98; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 4310-94-P

## DEPARTMENT OF THE INTERIOR

### Fish and Wildlife Service

#### Notice of Receipt of Application for Approval

The following applicant has applied for approval to conduct certain activities with birds that are protected in accordance with the Wild Bird Conservation Act of 1992. This notice is provided pursuant to Section 112(4) of the Wild Bird Conservation Act of 1992, 50 CFR 15.26(c).

*Applicant:* Richard Cusick, Fallbrook, CA. The applicant wishes to establish a cooperative breeding program for the Green-cheeked conure (Blue mutation) (*Pyrrhura molinae*), the Painted conure (*Pyrrhura picta roseifrons*), the Rose-crowned conure (*Pyrrhura rhodocephala*) and the Blue-throated conure (*Pyrrhura cruentata*). Mr. Cusick wishes to be an active participant in this program with two other private individuals. The Avicultural Society of America has assumed the responsibility for the oversight of the program.

Written data or comments should be submitted to the Director, U.S. Fish and Wildlife Service, Office of Management Authority, 4401 North Fairfax Drive, Room 700, Arlington, Virginia 22203 and must be received by the Director within 30 days of the date of this publication.

Documents and other information submitted with these applications are available for review, *subject to the requirements of the Privacy Act and Freedom of Information Act*, by any party who submits a written request for a copy of such documents to the following office within 30 days of the date of publication of this notice: U.S. Fish and Wildlife Service, Office of Management Authority, 4401 North Fairfax Drive, Room 700, Arlington, Virginia 22203. Phone: (703/358-2095); FAX: (703/358-2298).

Dated: October 19, 1998.

**Rosemarie Gnam,**

*Chief, Branch of Operations, Office of Management Authority.*

[FR Doc. 98-28482 Filed 10-22-98; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 4310-55-P

**DEPARTMENT OF THE INTERIOR**

**Bureau of Indian Affairs**

**Final Determination to Acknowledge the Match-e-be-nash-she-wish Band of Pottawatomi Indians of Michigan**

**AGENCY:** Bureau of Indian Affairs, Interior.

**ACTION:** Notice of Final Determination.

**SUMMARY:** This notice is published in the exercise of authority delegated by the Secretary of the Interior to the Assistant Secretary—Indian Affairs (Assistant Secretary) by 209 DM 8. Pursuant to 25 CFR 83.10(m), notice is hereby given that the Assistant Secretary acknowledges that the Match-e-be-nash-she-wish Band of Pottawatomi Indians (MBPI) of Michigan, c/o Mr. D.K. Sprague, P.O. Box 218, Dorr, Michigan 49323, exists as an Indian tribe within the meaning of Federal law. This notice is based on a determination that the group satisfies all seven criteria set forth in 25 CFR 83.7, as modified by 25 CFR 83.8.

**DATES:** This determination is final and is effective 90 days from publication of the Final Determination, pursuant to 25 CFR 83.10(l)(4), unless a request for reconsideration is filed with the Interior Board of Indian Appeals pursuant to 25 CFR 83.11.

**FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT:** Office of the Assistant Secretary—Indian Affairs, (202) 208-7163.

**SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION:** The Assistant Secretary's proposed finding to acknowledge the MBPI was published in the **Federal Register** on July 16, 1997, (62 FR 136, 38113-38115). The 180-day period provided for in the regulations for comment on the proposed finding closed January 12, 1998. Third party comments were received on January 12, 1998, from Dennis J. Whittlesey, Esq., on behalf of the City of Detroit. The 60-day period provided for in the regulations (25 CFR Part 83.10(k)) for the petitioner to respond to third-party comments ended March 13, 1998. The petitioner responded to the third-party comments, but did not submit a response to the proposed finding other than an updated membership list.

This final determination is made following a review of the third party comments on the proposed finding to

acknowledge the MBPI, of the MBPI's response to the third party comments, and of the 1998 membership MBPI list. MBPI is informally referred to by itself and by others as the Gun Lake Band or Gun Lake Tribe. All references in third party comments to that name have been taken as applying to MBPI.

The 1994 regulations required an evaluation of whether MBPI was a previously acknowledged tribe within the meaning of the regulations. Because it has been determined that MBPI meets the definition of unambiguous Federal acknowledgment in section 83.1, it has been evaluated under modified requirements provided in section 83.8 of the regulations. Conclusions concerning previous acknowledgment are solely for the purposes of a determination of previous acknowledgment under 25 CFR Part 83, and are not intended to reflect conclusions concerning successorship in interest to a particular treaty or other rights. The proposed finding determined that Shop-quo-ung was a signatory to the 1855 Treaty of Detroit. Shop quo-ung's Band received annuity payments under that treaty until 1870. This band was antecedent to the MBPI petitioner. Therefore, the date of 1870 was used for purposes of the proposed finding for previous Federal acknowledgment. The third party comments challenged this determination, but did so based on a misidentification of the treaty signer in question as another man, Sagana, rather than Shop-quo-ung aka Moses Foster, who survived until after 1900 and whose subsequent career as chief of the band was well documented. This third party specifically noted also the descent of "most of the people who attended the Bradley and Salem churches" from Shop-quo-ung's band. These comments do not require a change in the determination of previous unambiguous Federal acknowledgment as made in the proposed finding, which is affirmed.

Criterion 83.7(a), as modified by the application of section 83.8 (d)(1), requires external identification of the petitioner as an Indian entity from the date of last Federal acknowledgment. It also requires that these identifications make clear that the group being identified was the same as the entity which had been previously federally acknowledged, but does not require that such identifications specifically refer to the Indian entity as a "tribe." The proposed finding concluded that MBPI clearly meets criterion 83.7(a), since such identifications had been made by the Bureau of Indian Affairs (BIA) and other Federal records such as the special Indian Population schedules of the 1900 and 1910 census, by the Methodist

Church, by a sequence of local and regional historians writing from the 1880's to the present, by the WPA guide to the state of Michigan, and by local newspapers. The Indian mission church at Bradley in Allegan County, Michigan, and its daughter church at Salem were clearly tied to a continuously existing Indian entity which predated the 1870 date of previous unambiguous Federal acknowledgment and which has continued to exist and be identified until the present. Therefore, the conclusion of the proposed finding that MBPI meets criterion 83.7(a) as modified by 83.8(d)(1) is affirmed.

Under criterion 83.7(b) as modified by 83.8(d)(2), a demonstration of meeting the criterion for community (defined by the regulations as "any group of people which can demonstrate that consistent interactions and significant social relationships exist within its membership and that its members are differentiated from and identified as distinct from nonmembers") is required only for the present day, or modern, community. However, the historical and anthropological survey of the MBPI provided sufficient evidence that it had constituted a historical community as well, which had been centered at Bradley in Allegan County, Michigan since the founding of the Griswold Mission in 1838-1839. The existence of continuous community since the latest date of unambiguous previous Federal acknowledgment, 1870, was clearly documented by church, census, and other records. The proposed finding concluded that at least 50 per cent of the petitioner's members were Potawatomi speakers from historical times up through 1957, and that since then, the members have come together in significant numbers across all family lines, and have maintained a significant rate of informal social interaction. The proposed finding concluded that MBPI not only met the requirements of 83.7(b) as modified by 83.8(d) at the present time, but also that it met the requirements of the unmodified 83.7(b) from 1870 to the present. This finding is affirmed by the final determination.

The third party comments on the proposed finding argued that modern community did not exist in MBPI because of its alleged intention to seek trust land in the Detroit, Michigan, area; because of the pre-1992 presence of MBPI names on the membership list of Huron Potawatomi, Inc. (HPI), which was federally acknowledged through the 25 CFR Part 83 process in 1994; and because numerous MBPI members were allegedly dually enrolled with other Michigan tribes.