

Respondents	Regulation (17 CFR)	Estimated number of respondents	Annual responses	Estimated average hours, per response
Traders .....	1.47, 1.40 and Part 150 .....	12	12	36

Issued in Washington, DC on September 21, 1998.

**Jean A. Webb,**

*Secretary of the Commission.*

[FR Doc. 98-25621 Filed 9-23-98; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 6351-01-M

## DEPARTMENT OF DEFENSE

### Department of the Air Force

#### Record of Decision (ROD) for the Disposal and Reuse of Chanute Air Force Base (AFB), Illinois

On April 28, 1998, the Air Force issued the Fourth Revised Supplemental Record of Decision (FRSROD) for the Disposal and Reuse of Chanute AFB, Illinois. The decisions included in this FRSROD have been made in consideration of, but not limited to, the information contained in the Final Environmental Impact Statement (FEIS) for the Disposal and Reuse of Chanute AFB, filed with the Environmental Protection Agency and made available to the public on July 19, 1991.

Chanute AFB closed on September 30, 1993, pursuant to the Defense Base Closure and Realignment Act of 1990, Pub. Law. 100-526 (10 U.S.C. 2687 note) and the recommendations of the Defense Base Closure and Realignment Commission. The FEIS analyzed potential environmental impacts of the Air Force's disposal options by portraying a variety of potential land uses to cover a range of reasonably foreseeable future uses of the property and facilities by others.

The Air Force issued a ROD on July 21, 1992 which documented a series of decisions regarding the intended disposal of Government-owned property. Since that time the Air Force has modified the decisions in the ROD four times. This FRSROD modifies certain decisions made in the ROD and its supplements, thus completing the disposal decisions for Chanute AFB. The Air Force has decided to modify the method of disposal for Parcel O, and clarify the disposal of the phone system, roads, and rights of way.

The implementation of these conversion activities and associated mitigation measures will proceed with minimal adverse impact to the environment. This action conforms with

applicable Federal, State and local statutes and regulations, and all reasonable and practical efforts have been incorporated to minimize harm to the local public and the environment.

Any questions regarding this matter should be directed to Mr. John P. Carr, Program Manager at (703) 696-5546. Correspondence should be sent to: AFBCA/DB, 1700 North Moore Street, Suite 2300, Arlington, VA 22209-2802.

**Barbara A. Carmichael,**

*Alternate Air Force Federal Register Liaison Officer.*

[FR Doc. 98-25596 Filed 9-23-98; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 3910-01-P

## DEPARTMENT OF EDUCATION

### Notice of Proposed Information Collection Requests

**AGENCY:** Department of Education.

**SUMMARY:** The Acting Deputy Chief Information Officer, Office of the Chief Information Officer, invites comments on the proposed information collection requests as required by the Paperwork Reduction Act of 1995.

**DATES:** Interested persons are invited to submit comments on or before November 23, 1998.

**ADDRESSES:** Written comments and requests for copies of the proposed information collection requests should be addressed to Patrick J. Sherrill, Department of Education, 600 Independence Avenue, SW, Room 5624, Regional Office Building 3, Washington, DC 20202-4651, or should be electronically mailed to the internet address Pat.Sherrill@ed.gov, or should be faxed to 202-708-9346.

#### FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT:

Patrick J. Sherrill (202) 708-8196. Individuals who use a telecommunications device for the deaf (TDD) may call the Federal Information Relay Service (FIRS) at 1-800-877-8339 between 8 a.m. and 8 p.m., Eastern time, Monday through Friday.

**SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION:** Section 3506 of the Paperwork Reduction Act of 1995 (44 U.S.C. Chapter 35) requires that the Office of Management and Budget (OMB) provide interested Federal agencies and the public an early opportunity to comment on information collection requests. OMB may amend or

waive the requirement for public consultation to the extent that public participation in the approval process would defeat the purpose of the information collection, violate State or Federal law, or substantially interfere with any agency's ability to perform its statutory obligations. The Acting Deputy Chief Information Officer, Office of the Chief Information Officer, publishes that notice containing proposed information collection requests prior to submission of these requests to OMB. Each proposed information collection, grouped by office, contains the following: (1) Type of review requested, e.g. new, revision, extension, existing or reinstatement; (2) Title; (3) Summary of the collection; (4) Description of the need for, and proposed use of, the information; (5) Respondents and frequency of collection; and (6) Reporting and/or Recordkeeping burden. OMB invites public comment at the address specified above. Copies of the requests are available from Patrick J. Sherrill at the address specified above.

The Department of Education is especially interested in public comment addressing the following issues: (1) is this collection necessary to the proper functions of the Department; (2) will this information be processed and used in a timely manner; (3) is the estimate of burden accurate; (4) how might the Department enhance the quality, utility, and clarity of the information to be collected; and (5) how might the Department minimize the burden of this collection on the respondents, including through the use of information technology.

Dated: September 21, 1998.

**Hazel Fiers,**

*Acting Deputy Chief Information*

*Officer, Office of the Chief Information Officer.*

### Office of Educational Research and Improvement

*Type of Review:* New.

*Title:* Evaluation of the National Star Schools Program.

*Frequency:* One time.

*Affected Public:* Individuals or households.

*Reporting and Recordkeeping Hour Burden:*

Responses: 364.

Burden Hours: 159.

*Abstract:* The Star Schools program has the purpose of encouraging improved instruction in mathematics, science, and foreign languages as well as other subjects through modern telecommunications technology. The purpose of this evaluation is to independently examine the implementation and administration of the program as a whole and of individual projects, as well as the program's outcomes and impact on schools, teachers, and students. Clearance is requested for two data collection efforts (1) a site teacher survey of 400 respondents and (2) a production teacher survey of 25 respondents. The Department uses the information to make grant awards.

[FR Doc. 98-25572 Filed 9-23-98; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 4000-01-P

## DEPARTMENT OF ENERGY

### Federal Energy Regulatory Commission

[Docket No. CP98-781-000]

#### ANR Pipeline Company; Notice of Request Under Blanket Authorization

September 18, 1998.

Take notice that on September 14, 1998, ANR Pipeline Company, (ANR), 500 Renaissance Center, Detroit, Michigan 48243, filed under Sections 157.205 and 157.216(b) of the Commission's Regulations to abandon its North Sparta Meter Station, located in Kent County, Michigan all as more fully described in the request which is on file with the Commission and open to public inspection. The North Sparta Meter Station was previously used to deliver gas to Michigan Consolidated Gas Company (Mich Con). ANR states that Mich Con no longer needs service through the North Sparta Meter Station. ANR states further, that in place of receiving gas at the North Sparta Meter Station, Mich Con has been receiving deliveries at ANR's Sparta-Muskegon Meter Station.

ANR asserts that it will not terminate any service to Mich Con as a result of this proposed abandonment and that Mich Con has already disconnected its facilities from ANR's at the North Sparta Meter.

Any person or the Commission's staff may, within 45 days after issuance of the instant notice by the Commission, file pursuant to Rule 214 of the Commission's Procedural Rules (18 CFR 385.214) a motion to intervene or notice of intervention and pursuant to Section 157.205 of the Regulations under the

Natural Gas Act (18 CFR 157.205) a protest to the request. If no protest is filed within the time allowed therefore, the proposed activity shall be deemed to be authorized effective the day after the time allowed for filing a protest. If a protest is filed and not withdrawn within 30 days after the time allowed for filing a protest, the instant request shall be treated as an application for authorization pursuant to Section 7 of the Natural Gas Act.

**David P. Boergers,**

*Secretary.*

[FR Doc. 98-25484 Filed 9-23-98; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 6717-01-M

## DEPARTMENT OF ENERGY

### Federal Energy Regulatory Commission

[Docket No. CP98-772-000]

#### Black Marlin Pipeline Company; Notice of Request Under Blanket Authorization

September 18, 1998.

Take notice that on September 10, 1998, Black Marlin Pipeline Company (Black Marlin), 1400 Smith Street, Houston, Texas 77002, filed in Docket No. CP98-772-000 a request pursuant to Sections 157.205 and 157.216 of the Commission's Regulations (18 CFR 157.205, 157.216) under the Natural Gas Act (NGA) for authorization to abandon by sale to Houston Pipe Line Company (HPL) certain measuring and regulating facilities in Galveston County, Texas, under Black Marlin's blanket certificate issued in Docket No. CP89-2115-000, pursuant to Section 7 of the NGA, all as more fully set forth in the request that is on file with the Commission and open to public inspection.

Black Marlin proposes to abandon the measuring and regulating facilities at two interconnections between Black Marlin and HPL (HPL Texas City and HPL Grant Avenue Stations). It is stated that both interconnections were constructed under Commission authorization in Docket No. CP84-354-000 as part of a 13-mile extension of its pipeline system. It is asserted that Black Marlin proposes to sell the facilities to HPL in response to a request from HPL. It is further asserted that HPL will continue to use the facilities as part of its distribution system to serve its gas system and that there will be no loss of service to any customer. Black Marlin states that the proposal is not prohibited by its FERC gas tariff and that it has sufficient capacity without detriment or disadvantage to its other customers.

Any person or the Commission's staff may, within 14 days after issuance of the instant notice by the Commission, file pursuant to Rule 214 of the Commission's Procedural Rules (18 CFR 385.214) a motion to intervene or notice of intervention and pursuant to section 157.205 of the Regulations under the Natural Gas Act (18 CFR 157.205) a protest to the request. If no protest is filed within the time allowed therefor, the proposed activity shall be deemed to be authorized effective the day after the time allowed for filing a protest. If a protest is filed and not withdrawn within 30 days after the time allowed for filing a protest, the instant request shall be treated as an application for authorization pursuant to Section 7 of the Natural Gas Act.

**David P. Boergers,**

*Secretary.*

[FR Doc. 98-25482 Filed 9-23-98; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 6717-01-M

## DEPARTMENT OF ENERGY

### Federal Energy Regulatory Commission

[Docket No. RP98-402-000]

#### Eastern Shore Natural Gas Company; Notice of Proposed Changes in FERC Gas Tariff

September 18, 1998.

Take notice that on September 15, 1998, Eastern Shore Natural Gas Company (Eastern Shore) tendered for filing as part of its FERC Gas Tariff, Second Revised Volume No. 1, the following revised tariff sheets with a proposed effective date of October 15, 1998:

First Revised Sheet No. 264  
Original Sheet No. 264A  
First Revised Sheet No. 278  
Original Sheet No. 278A  
First Revised Sheet No. 304  
Original Sheet No. 304A

Eastern Shore states that the purpose of this filing is to modify certain of Eastern Shore's pro forma service agreements to provide for specific types of volume-related discounts that may be granted by Eastern Shore. By making these modifications to Eastern Shore's form of service agreements, Eastern Shore seeks to reduce the need for filing individual discount agreements as "material deviations" when such discounts are volume-related.

Eastern Shore further states its proposed tariff revisions are consistent with the Commission's clarifications regarding discount agreements set forth in "Order on Rehearing and