

CAAS coverage will not increase any exposure to radiation. Therefore, the changes will not result in a significant increase in individual or cumulative radiation exposure.

3. The proposed amendment will not result in a significant construction impact.

The proposed changes will not result in any building construction, therefore, there will be no construction impacts.

4. The proposed amendment will not result in a significant increase in the potential for, or radiological or chemical consequences from, previously analyzed accidents.

The proposed changes to TSR 2.6.4.1 will add the newly installed CAAS clusters in C-710 to the TSR so that the TSR will reflect the modified plant configuration. The changes also reflect the removal of four buildings from the listing of buildings required to have CAAS. These four buildings do not contain fissile material; therefore, a criticality accident cannot occur in the facilities and CAAS coverage is not required. CAAS is utilized to mitigate the consequences of criticality accidents by alerting personnel of the need to evacuate. The addition/deletion of CAAS has no impact on the potential for or occurrence of an accident. These changes will not increase the potential for, or radiological or chemical consequences from, previously identified accidents.

5. The proposed amendment will not result in the possibility of a new or different kind of accident.

The proposed TSR modifications add CAAS clusters and remove buildings from the list of buildings requiring CAAS coverage. The new CAAS in C-710 uses the same components and operational methodology as the existing system components. The new clusters improve detection coverage of the system. The proposed changes will not create the possibility of a new or different type of equipment malfunction or a new or different type of accident.

6. The proposed amendment will not result in a significant reduction in any margin of safety.

The proposed changes to the TSR reflect an upgrade in the CAAS system for C-710 and reflect the buildings that are required to have CAAS coverage. The removal of the four buildings that do not contain fissile material from the list will not alter the margin of safety. Therefore, these changes do not decrease the margins of safety.

7. The proposed amendment will not result in an overall decrease in the effectiveness of the plant's safety, safeguards or security programs.

Implementation of the proposed changes do not change the safety, safeguards, or security programs. Therefore, the effectiveness of the safety, safeguards, and security programs is not decreased.

Effective date: The amendment to Certificate of Compliance GDP-1 becomes effective 15 days after being signed by the Director, Office of Nuclear Material Safety and Safeguards.

Certificate of Compliance No. GDP-1: Amendment will revise TSR 2.6.4.1 to reflect the newly installed CAAS clusters in C-710. Four buildings that do not contain fissile material will also be removed from the listing of areas required to have CAAS detection ability.

Local Public Document Room location: Paducah Public Library, 555 Washington Street, Paducah, Kentucky 42003.

Dated at Rockville, Maryland, this 9th day of September 1998.

For the Nuclear Regulatory Commission.

Carl J. Paperiello,

Director, Office of Nuclear Material Safety and Safeguards.

[FR Doc. 98-25065 Filed 9-17-98; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 7590-01-P

NUCLEAR REGULATORY COMMISSION

Advisory Committee on Reactor Safeguards; Meeting Notice

In accordance with the purposes of Sections 29 and 182b. of the Atomic Energy Act (42 U.S.C. 2039, 2232b), the Advisory Committee on Reactor Safeguards will hold a meeting on September 30–October 2, 1998, in Conference Room T-2B3, 11545 Rockville Pike, Rockville, Maryland. The date of this meeting was previously published in the **Federal Register** on Thursday, November 20, 1997 (62 FR 62079).

Wednesday, September 30, 1998

8:30 A.M.–8:45 A.M.: Opening Remarks by the ACRS Chairman (Open)—The ACRS Chairman will make opening remarks regarding conduct of the meeting.

8:45 A.M.–10:00 A.M.: Preparation of ACRS Report (Open)—The Committee will discuss the proposed ACRS report on Impact of PRA Results and Insights on the Regulatory System.

10:15 A.M.–11:45 A.M.: NEI Petition To Modify 10 CFR 50.54(a) Related to Quality Assurance Programs (Open)—The Committee will hear presentations by and hold discussions with representatives of the NRC staff and the Nuclear Energy Institute (NEI) regarding

the NEI petition to modify 10 CFR 50.54(a) to negate the requirement for licensees to obtain NRC approval prior to making changes to their quality assurance programs.

12:45 P.M.–2:15 P.M.: Risk-Informed Pilot Application for Hydrogen Monitoring at Arkansas Nuclear One (Open)—The Committee will hear presentations by and hold discussions with representatives of the NRC staff and the nuclear industry regarding a pilot application of a risk-informed approach for post-accident hydrogen monitoring at Arkansas Nuclear One.

2:30 P.M.–3:30 P.M.: Performance Technology Views on Criteria for Safety Decisions (Open)—The Committee will hear a presentation by and hold discussions with a representative of Performance Technology, Inc., on criteria for safety decisions and comments on Regulatory Guide 1.174 (previously DG-1061).

3:30 P.M.–4:30 P.M.: Industry Initiatives To Certify Probabilistic Risk Assessments (Open)—The Committee will hear presentations by and hold discussions with representatives of the NRC staff and Owners' Groups, as appropriate, regarding industry initiatives to certify probabilistic risk assessments (PRAs).

4:45 P.M.–7:00 P.M.: Preparation of ACRS Reports (Open)—The Committee will discuss proposed ACRS reports on matters considered during this meeting. In addition, the Committee will discuss proposed ACRS reports on: lessons learned from the review of the AP600 passive plant design; proposed resolution of Generic Safety Issue-171, "Engineered Safety Features Failure From Loss of Offsite Power Subsequent to a Loss-of-Coolant Accident"; and prioritization of Generic Safety Issues.

Thursday, October 1, 1998

8:30 A.M.–8:35 A.M.: Opening Remarks by the ACRS Chairman (Open)—The ACRS Chairman will make opening remarks regarding conduct of the meeting.

8:35 A.M.–10:00 A.M.: Lessons Learned From the Independent Safety Assessment of the Maine Yankee Atomic Power Station and Associated Generic Safety Implications (Open)—The Committee will hear presentations by and hold discussions with representatives of the NRC staff regarding lessons learned from the independent safety assessment of the Maine Yankee Atomic Power Station and associated generic safety implications.

10:15 A.M.–12:00 Noon: ACRS Reports to the Congress and the Commission (Open)—The Committee

will discuss the format and content of the 1999 ACRS reports to the Congress and the Commission on the NRC Safety Research Program.

1:00 P.M.–1:45 P.M. Report of the Planning and Procedures Subcommittee (Open/Closed)—The Committee will hear a report of the Planning and Procedures Subcommittee on matters related to the conduct of ACRS business, and organizational and personnel matters relating to the ACRS, including qualifications of candidates for ACRS membership.

[**Note:** A portion of this session may be closed to discuss organizational and personnel matters that relate solely to the internal personnel rules and practices of this Advisory Committee, and information the release of which would constitute a clearly unwarranted invasion of personal privacy.]

1:45 P.M.–2:30 P.M.: Future ACRS Activities (Open)—The Committee will discuss the recommendations of the Planning and Procedures Subcommittee regarding items proposed for consideration by the full Committee during future meetings.

2:45 P.M.–7:00 P.M.: Preparation of ACRS Reports (Open)—The Committee will continue its discussion of proposed ACRS reports.

Friday, October 2, 1998

8:30 A.M.–10:00 A.M.: Integrated Review of Assessment Processes and Proposed Improvements to the Senior Management Meeting Process (Open)—The Committee will hear presentations by and hold discussions with representatives of the NRC staff regarding the status of activities associated with integrated review of assessment processes and proposed improvements to the Senior Management Meeting Process.

10:00 A.M.–10:15 A.M.: Reconciliation of ACRS Comments and Recommendations (Open)—The Committee will discuss responses from the NRC Executive Director for Operations (EDO) to comments and recommendations included in recent ACRS reports. The EDO's responses are expected prior to the meeting.

10:30 A.M.–12:00 Noon: Preparation of ACRS Reports (Open)—The Committee will continue its discussion of proposed ACRS reports.

12:00 Noon–12:15 P.M.: Miscellaneous (Open)—The Committee will discuss matters related to the conduct of Committee activities and matters and specific issues that were not completed during previous meetings, as time and availability of information permit.

Procedures for the conduct of and participation in ACRS meetings were

published in the **Federal Register** on September 4, 1997 (62 FR 46782). In accordance with these procedures, oral or written views may be presented by members of the public, including representatives of the nuclear industry. Electronic recordings will be permitted only during the open portions of the meeting and questions may be asked only by members of the Committee, its consultants, and staff. Persons desiring to make oral statements should notify Mr. Sam Duraiswamy, Chief of the Nuclear Reactors Branch, at least five days before the meeting, if possible, so that appropriate arrangements can be made to allow necessary time during the meeting for such statements. Use of still, motion picture, and television cameras during this meeting may be limited to selected portions of the meeting as determined by the Chairman. Information regarding the time to be set aside for this purpose may be obtained by contacting the Chief of the Nuclear Reactors Branch prior to the meeting. In view of the possibility that the schedule for ACRS meetings may be adjusted by the Chairman as necessary to facilitate the conduct of the meeting, persons planning to attend should check with the Chief of the Nuclear Reactors Branch if such rescheduling would result in major inconvenience.

In accordance with Subsection 10(d) Pub. L. 92–463, I have determined that it is necessary to close portions of this meeting noted above to discuss matters that relate solely to the internal personnel rules and practices of this Advisory Committee per 5 U.S.C. 552b(c)(2), and to discuss information the release of which would constitute a clearly unwarranted invasion of personal privacy per 5 U.S.C. 552b(c)(6).

Further information regarding topics to be discussed, whether the meeting has been canceled or rescheduled, the Chairman's ruling on requests for the opportunity to present oral statements and the time allotted therefor, can be obtained by contacting Mr. Sam Duraiswamy, Chief of the Nuclear Reactors Branch (telephone 301/415–7364), between 7:30 A.M. and 4:15 P.M. EDT.

ACRS meeting agenda, meeting transcripts, and letter reports are available for downloading or reviewing on the internet at <http://www.nrc.gov/ACRSACNW>.

Dated: September 14, 1998.

Andrew L. Bates,

Advisory Committee Management Officer.

[FR Doc. 98–25062 Filed 9–17–98; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 7590–01–P

OFFICE OF MANAGEMENT AND BUDGET

Draft Report to Congress on the Costs and Benefits of Federal Regulations

AGENCY: Office of Management and Budget, Executive Office of the President.

ACTION: Notice of extension of public comment period.

SUMMARY: On August 17, 1998, OMB published for comment the draft report to Congress on the costs and benefits of Federal regulation. The comment period was scheduled to end on September 16, 1998. This notice extends the public comment period on the draft report to October 16, 1998.

DATES: *Comment Due Date:* October 16, 1998.

ADDRESSES: Comments on this draft report should be addressed to John F. Morrall III, Office of Information and Regulatory Affairs, Office of Management and Budget, NEOB, Room 10235, 725 17th Street, N.W., Washington, D.C. 20503.

Comments may also be submitted by facsimile to (202) 395–6974, or by electronic mail to MORRALL

_J@A1.EOP.GOV (please note that the “1” in “A1” is the number one and not the letter “I”). Be sure to include your name and complete postal mailing address in the comments sent by electronic mail. If you submit comments by facsimile or electronic, please do not submit them by regular mail.

Electronic availability and addresses: The August 17, 1998 **Federal Register** Notice is available electronically from the OMB homepage on the World Wide Web: “<http://www.whitehouse.gov/WH/EOP/OMB/html/fedreg.html>.”

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT: John F. Morrall III, Office of Information and Regulatory Affairs, Office of Management and Budget, NEOB, Room 10235, 725 17th Street, N.W., Washington, D.C. 10503. Telephone (202) 395–7316.

SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION: On August 17, 1998 (63 FR 44034), OMB published the draft report to Congress on costs and benefits of Federal regulations. The comment period on the draft report was scheduled to end September 16, 1998. Members of the public and Congress have asked for additional time to allow the public a better opportunity to participate in the comment process. Accordingly, OMB has decided to