# DEPARTMENT OF THE INTERIOR

### **Bureau of Reclamation**

# Contra Costa Water District Multi-Purpose Pipeline Project, Contra Costa County, California

AGENCY: Bureau of Reclamation, Interior.

**ACTION:** Notice of availability of the draft environmental impact report/draft environmental impact statement (DEIR/ DEIS) DES 98–39.

**SUMMARY:** Pursuant to the National Environmental Policy Act (NEPA) and the California Environmental Quality Act. the Bureau of Reclamation (Reclamation) and Contra Costa Water District (CCWD) have prepared a joint DEIR/DEIS for CCWD's Multi-Purpose Pipeline Project (MPP). The proposed action is for CCWD to construct and operate two water pipelines and supporting pumping facilities. These facilities are required to supplement the Contra Costa Canal and to provide improved water transmission reliability to meet needs following an emergency (such as an earthquake or fire) and to provide adequate capacity to meet projected demand through the year 2020. The DEIR/DEIS describes and presents the environmental effects of three alternatives, including no action. A public hearing will be held to receive comments from interested parties, organizations, and individuals on the environmental impacts of the proposal.

**DATES:** Submit written comments on the DEIR/DEIS on or before November 9, 1998. Comments may be submitted to Reclamation or CCWD at the addresses provided below. The public hearing on the DEIR/DEIS will be held on October 13, 1998, at 7:00 p.m.

ADDRESSES: The public hearing will be held at the Bay Point/Ambrose Community Center, 3105 Willow Pass Road, Bay Point, California.

Written comments on the DEIR/DEIS should be addressed to Ms. Christina Ko Hartinger, Contra Costa Water District, 2300 Stanwell Drive, Concord CA 94524 or to Mr. Bob Eckart, Bureau of Reclamation, MP–152, 2800 Cottage Way, Sacramento CA 95825.

Copies of the DEIR/DEIS may be requested from Ms. Hartinger at the above address or by calling (925) 688– 8335.

Copies of the DEIR/DEIS are available for public inspection and review at the following locations:

• Contra Costa Water District, 2300 Stanwell Drive, Concord CA 94524; telephone: (925) 688–8335 • Bureau of Reclamation, Program Analysis Office, Room 7456, 1849 C Street NW, Washington DC 20240; telephone: (202) 208–4662

• Bureau of Reclamation, Denver Office Library, Building 67, Room 167, Denver Federal Center, 6th and Kipling, Denver CO 80225; telephone: (303) 445– 2064

• Bureau of Reclamation, Regional Director, Attention: MP–140, 2800 Cottage Way, Sacramento CA 95825– 1898; telephone: (916) 978–5100

• Natural Resources Library, U.S. Department of the Interior, 1849 C Street NW, Main Interior Building, Washington DC 20240–0001

Copies will also be available for inspection at the following public libraries:

• Antioch Branch Library, 501 W-18th Street, Antioch CA 94509

• Bay Point Branch Library, 205 Pacifica Avenue, Pittsburg CA 94565

 Pittsburg Branch Library, 80 Power Avenue, Pittsburg CA 94565

 Oakley Branch Library, 118 East Ruby, Oakley CA 94561

• Concord Branch Library, 2900 Salvio, Concord CA 94519

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT: Mr. Bob Eckart, Bureau of Reclamation, at (916) 978–5051 or Ms. Christina Ko Hartinger, Contra Costa Water District, at (925) 688–8335.

SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION: The MPP involves construction and operation of two new pipelines and pump stations along with other improvements to the existing Contra Costa Canal (Canal). The project would increase the reliability and capacity of the District's raw-water delivery system to meet existing and new customer needs. The proposed 20mile, 36-inch diameter, welded steel, multipurpose pipeline would extend from Oakley to Clyde. The DEIR/DEIS evaluates two alternatives (Canal Alignment and Street Alignment) and two sub-alternatives (Bay Point Pipeline Alignment and Mallard Pipeline Alignment) and a no-action alternative. The MPP would be designed with emergency connections to the Canal and with connection points to allow future inter-ties with the treated-water systems in Antioch, Pittsburg, and Bay Point. A 25 million-gallon-per-day (mgd) MPP pump station would be constructed and is proposed to pump water from the Randall-Bold Water Treatment Plant clearwell through the MPP to the Treated Water Service Area. A proposed 36-inch diameter, 36-mgd raw-water pipeline would be constructed to bypass an existing bottleneck along the Canal. A raw-water pump station would be constructed to pump water from the

Canal into the raw-water pipeline. A third motorized canal gate would be installed at six of the seven check structures to increase flow rates of the Canal. The existing Neroly Blending Facility would be improved by installing mixing structures and widening the Canal up to 7 feet along a section measuring a maximum of 250 feet.

# **Hearing Process Information**

CCWD staff will make a brief presentation to describe the proposed project, its purpose and need, alternative pipeline alignments, and scenarios for construction and operation. The public may comment on environmental issues addressed in the DEIR/DEIS. If necessary due to large attendance, comments will be limited to 5 minutes per speaker. Written comments will also be accepted.

Dated: September 1, 1998.

#### John F. Davis,

Acting Regional Director. [FR Doc. 98–24292 Filed 9–9–98; 8:45 am] BILLING CODE 4310–94–P

### INTERNATIONAL TRADE COMMISSION

[Inv. No. 337-TA-407]

Certain Remodulating Channel Selectors and Systems Containing Same; Notice of a Commission Determination Not To Review an Initial Determination Terminating the Investigation on the Basis of a Settlement Agreement

AGENCY: U.S. International Trade Commission. ACTION: Notice.

**SUMMARY:** Notice is hereby given that the U.S. International Trade Commission has determined not to review the presiding administrative law judge's ("ALJ's") initial determination ("ID") granting a joint motion to terminate the above-captioned investigation on the basis of a settlement agreement.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION: Carl P. Bretscher, Esq., Office of the General Counsel, U.S. International Trade Commission, telephone (202) 205–3107.

SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION: The Commission instituted this investigation on April 10, 1998, based on a complaint filed by Ciena Corporation alleging that respondents Pirelli, S.p.A., Pirelli Cavi, S.p.A., and Pirelli Cables and Systems L.L.C. (collectively "Pirelli") violated section 337 of the Tariff Act of 1930, as amended, 19 U.S.C. 1337, by importing, selling for importation, or selling within the United States after importation certain remodulating channel selectors and systems containing same that infringe certain claims of Ciena's U.S. Letters Patent 5,715,076.

On May 1, 1998, Ciena and Pirelli entered into a settlement agreement, which included an agreement to file a joint motion to terminate the investigation. On June 18, 1998, Ciena and Pirelli filed the joint motion to terminate the investigation, which was supported by the Commission investigative attorney ("IA").

On July 31, 1998, the ALJ issued an ID (Order No. 4) granting the joint motion to terminate the investigation on the basis of the settlement agreement. None of the parties filed a petition to review the subject ID. The Commission subsequently determined not to review the subject ID.

This action is taken under the authority of section 337 of the Tariff Act of 1930, as amended, 19 U.S.C. 1337, and Commission rule 210.42, 19 CFR 210.42. Copies of the public version of the ALJ's ID and all other nonconfidential documents filed in connection with this investigation are or will be available for inspection during official business hours (8:45 a.m. to 5:15 p.m.) in the Office of the Secretary, U.S. International Trade Commission, 500 E Street, S.W., Washington, D.C. 20436, telephone 202-205-2000. Hearingimpaired persons are advised that information on this matter can be obtained by contacting the Commission's TDD terminal on 202-205-1810. General information concerning the Commission may also be obtained by accessing its Internet server (http://www.usitc.gov).

Issued: September 1, 1998. By order of the Commission.

# Donna R. Koehnke,

Secretary.

[FR Doc. 98–24270 Filed 9–9–98; 8:45 am] BILLING CODE 7020–02–P

# DEPARTMENT OF JUSTICE

#### Antitrust Division

# **Proposed Termination of Judgment**

Notice is hereby given that defendant, United Technologies Corporation ("UTC"), formerly United Aircraft Corporation ("UAC"), has filed with the United States District Court for the District of Connecticut, a motion to terminate the Final Judgment in United States v. United Aircraft Corporation, Civil Action No. 14426, and that the Department of Justice ("Department"), in a stipulation also filed with the Court, has tentatively consented to termination of the Final Judgment, but has reserved the right to withdraw its consent pending receipt of public comments. The Complaint in this case (filed May 24, 1971) alleged that UAC had attempted to monopolize fuel cell research and development in the United States.

On July 11, 1973, a Final Judgment was entered against UAC. The Final Judgment was entered by consent between the United States and UAC. In 1975, the name of United Aircraft **Corporation became United** Technologies Corporation. The Consent Decree applies to UTC's conduct with respect to the research, development and manufacture of fuel cells. Certain provisions of the Consent Decree have expired by their terms, or have been rendered moot because the subject patents have become public. Other provisions of the Judgment that continue to apply prohibit UTC from engaging in certain conduct. Specifically, those provisions enjoin and restrain UTC from entering into any exclusive fuel cell research and development joint venture with a U.S. corporation or citizen, and using its purchasing power to restrain competition in the research, development or manufacture of fuel cells or equipment specifically designed for use with fuel cells (including, but not limited to, pumps, heat exchangers and purging equipment).

The Department has filed with the Court a memorandum setting forth the reasons why the Government believes that termination of the Final Judgment would serve the public interest. Copies of UTC's motion papers, the stipulation containing the Government's consent, the Government's memorandum and all further papers filed with the Court in connection with this motion will be available for inspection at the Antitrust Documents Group of the Antitrust Division, Room 215 North, 325-7th Street N.W., Liberty Place Building, Washington, D.C. 20530, and at the Office of the Clerk of the Court, United States District Court for the District of Connecticut, 450 Main Street, Hartford, CT 06103. Copies of any of these materials may be obtained from the Antitrust Division upon request and payment of the copying fee set by Department of Justice regulations.

Interested persons may submit comments regarding the proposed termination of the decree to the Government. Such comments must be received by the Division within sixty (60) days and will be filed with the Court by the Government. Comments should be addressed to Mary Jean Moltenbrey, Chief, Civil Task Force, Antitrust Division, Department of Justice, Liberty Place Building, Suite 300, 325–7th Street N.W., Washington, D.C. 20530.

#### Rebecca P. Dick,

Director, Civil Non-Merger Enforcement. [FR Doc. 98–24281 Filed 9–9–98; 8:45 am] BILLING CODE 4410–11–M

# DEPARTMENT OF JUSTICE

# **Drug Enforcement Administration**

# Manufacturer of Controlled Substances; Notice of Application

Pursuant to § 1301.33(a) of Title 21 of the Code of Federal Regulations (CFR), this is notice that on June 10, 1998, Dupont Pharmaceuticals, The Dupont Merck Pharmaceutical Co., 1000 Stewart Avenue, Garden City, New York 11530, made application by renewal to the Drug Enforcement Administration (DEA) for registration as a bulk manufacturer of the basic classes of controlled substances listed below:

Drug	Schedule
Oxycodone (9143) Hydrocodone (9193) Oxymorphone (9652)	П

The firm plans to manufacture the listed controlled substances to make finished products.

Any other such applicant and any person who is presently registered with DEA to manufacture such substances may file comments or objections to the issuance of the proposed registration.

Any such comments or objections may be addressed, in quintuplicate, to the Deputy Assistant Administrator, Office of Diversion Control, Drug Enforcement Administration, United States Department of Justice, Washington, DC 20537, Attention: DEA Federal Register Representative (CCR), and must be filed no later than (60 days from publication).

Dated: September 2, 1998.

### John H. King,

Deputy Assistant Administrator, Office of Diversion Control, Drug Enforcement Administration.

[FR Doc. 98–24297 Filed 9–9–98; 8:45 am] BILLING CODE 4410–09–M