

Department to verify submitted information, it is deemed uncooperative, which constitutes grounds for applying adverse facts available. See *Notice of Final Determination of Sales at Less Than Fair Value: Steel Wire Rod From Venezuela*, 63 FR 8946, 8947 (February 23, 1998); *Notice of Final Determination of Sales at Less Than Fair Value: Vector Supercomputers From Japan*, 62 FR 45623, 45624 (August 28, 1997); and *Notice of Final Determination of Sales at Less Than Fair Value: Circular Welded Non-Alloy Steel Pipe From Romania*, 61 FR 24274, 24275 (May 14, 1996).

Consistent with Department practice in cases where a respondent fails to cooperate to the best of its ability, and in keeping with section 776(b)(3) of the Act, as adverse facts available we have applied a margin based on the highest margin found either in prior reviews or in the fair value investigation. See for example *Viscose Rayon Staple Fiber From Finland: Final Results of Antidumping Duty Administrative Review*, 63 FR 32820, 32822, June 16, 1998). In this case the highest margin from either prior reviews or the fair value investigation is 16.18%.

Section 776(c) of the Act requires the Department to corroborate, to the extent practicable, secondary information used as facts available. Secondary information is described in the SAA (at 870) as "[i]nformation derived from the petition that gave rise to the investigation or review, the final determination concerning the subject merchandise, or any previous review under section 751 concerning the subject merchandise."

The SAA further provides that "corroborate" means simply that the Department will satisfy itself that the secondary information to be used has probative value (see SAA at 870). Thus, to corroborate secondary information, to the extent practicable, the Department will examine the reliability and relevance of the information used. However, unlike other types of information, such as input costs or selling expenses, there are no independent sources for calculated dumping margins. The only source for margins is an administrative determination. Thus, in an administrative review, if the Department chooses as total adverse facts available a calculated dumping margin from a prior segment of the proceeding, it is not necessary to question the reliability of the margin from that time period (*i.e.*, the Department can normally be satisfied that the information has probative value and that it has complied

with the corroboration requirements of section 776(c) of the Act). See, *e.g.*, *Elemental Sulphur From Canada: Preliminary Results of Antidumping Duty Administrative Review*, 62 FR 971 (January 7, 1997) and *Antifriction Bearings (Other Than Tapered Roller Bearings) and Parts Thereof From France, et al.; Final Results of Antidumping Duty Administrative Review*, 62 FR 2081, 2088 (January 15, 1997).

Final Results of Review

We have determined that the following margin exists for Wieland:

Manufacturer/exporter	Period	Percent margin
Wieland-Werke AG ..	3/1/96–2/28/97	16.18

The Department shall determine, and the U.S. Customs Service shall assess, antidumping duties on all appropriate entries. The Department shall issue appraisal instructions directly to the Customs Service.

Furthermore, the following deposit requirements will be effective for all shipments of subject merchandise entered, or withdrawn from warehouse, for consumption on or after the publication date of these final results, as provided for by section 751(a)(1) of the Act.

(1) The cash deposit rate for Wieland will be the rate stated above;

(2) For previously reviewed or investigated companies not listed above, the cash deposit rate will continue to be the company-specific rate published for the most recent period;

(3) If the exporter is not a firm covered in this review, a prior review, or the original less-than-fair-value (LTFV) investigation, but the manufacturer is, the cash deposit rate will be the rate established for the most recent period for the manufacturer of the merchandise; and

(4) If neither the exporter nor the manufacturer is a firm covered in this or any previous review conducted by the Department, the cash deposit rate will be 7.30 percent, the "all others" rate established in the LTFV investigation.

This notice also serves as a final reminder to importers of their responsibility under 19 CFR 353.26 to file a certificate regarding the reimbursement of antidumping duties prior to liquidation of the relevant entries during the review period. Failure to comply with this requirement could result in the Secretary's presumption that reimbursement of antidumping duties occurred and the subsequent

assessment of double antidumping duties.

This notice also serves as a reminder to parties subject to administrative protective order (APO) of their responsibility concerning the disposition of proprietary information disclosed under APO in accordance with 19 CFR 353.34(d). Timely written notification of the return/destruction of APO materials or conversion to judicial protective order is hereby requested. Failure to comply with the regulations and terms of an APO is a violation which is subject to sanction. This administrative review and this notice are in accordance with section 751(a)(1) of the Act (19 U.S.C. 1675(a)(1)) and 19 CFR 353.22.

Dated: July 31, 1998.

Joseph A. Spetrini,

Acting Assistant Secretary for Import Administration.

[FR Doc. 98–21380 Filed 8–10–98; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 3510-DS-P

DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE

International Trade Administration

[A–201–806]

Carbon Steel Wire Rope From Mexico: Extension of Time Limits for Final Results of Antidumping Administrative Review

AGENCY: Import Administration, International Trade Administration, Department of Commerce.

ACTION: Notice of extension of time limits for final results of antidumping duty administrative review.

EFFECTIVE DATE: August 11, 1998.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT:

Joanna Gabryszewski or Maureen Flannery, AD/CVD Enforcement, Import Administration, International Trade Administration, U.S. Department of Commerce, 14th Street and Constitution Avenue, NW, Washington DC 20230; telephone: (202) 482–0780 or (202) 482–3020, respectively.

The Applicable Statute

Unless otherwise indicated, all citations to the statute are references to the provisions effective January 1, 1995, the effective date of the amendments made to the Tariff Act of 1930 (the Act) by the Uruguay Round Agreements Act. In addition, unless otherwise indicated, all citations to the Department's regulations are to the current regulations, codified at 19 CFR part 351, 62 FR 27295 (May 19, 1997).

Background

On March 31, 1997, the Department of Commerce (the Department) received a request from Aceros Camesa, S.A. de C.V. (Camesa) for an antidumping duty administrative review of carbon steel wire rope from Mexico. On May 21, 1997, the Department published its initiation of this antidumping duty administrative review covering the period of March 1, 1996 through February 28, 1997 (62 FR 27721). Preliminary results were published on April 7, 1998 (63 FR 16967). A hearing was held on May 28, 1998.

Extension of Time Limit for Preliminary Results

Because of the complexities enumerated in the Memorandum from Joseph A. Spetrini to Robert S. LaRussa, Extension of Time Limit for the Final Results of Review of Steel Wire Rope from Mexico, dated August 3, 1998, it is not practicable to complete this review within the time limit mandated by section 751(a)(3)(A) of the Act.

Therefore, in accordance with section 751(a)(3)(A) of the Act, the Department is extending the time limit for the final results by 30 days to September 2, 1998.

Dated: August 3, 1998.

Roland L. MacDonald,

Acting Deputy Assistant Secretary for AD/CVD Enforcement III.

[FR Doc. 98-21381 Filed 8-10-98; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 3510-DS-P

DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE**International Trade Administration**

[A-570-501]

Natural Bristle Paintbrushes and Brush Heads From the People's Republic of China: Extension of Time Limits for Preliminary Results of Antidumping Administrative Review

AGENCY: Import Administration, International Trade Administration, Department of Commerce.

ACTION: Notice of Extension of Time Limits For Preliminary Results of Antidumping Administrative Review.

EFFECTIVE DATE: August 11, 1998.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT: Eric Scheier or Maureen Flannery, AD/CVD Enforcement, Import Administration, International Trade Administration, U.S. Department of Commerce, 14th Street and Constitution Avenue, N.W., Washington, D.C. 20230; telephone: (202) 482-4052 or (202) 482-3020, respectively.

The Applicable Statute

Unless otherwise indicated, all citations to the statute are references to the provisions effective January 1, 1995, the effective date of the amendments made to the Tariff Act of 1930 (the Act) by the Uruguay Round Agreements Act.

Background

The Department of Commerce (the Department) received a request from petitioner and a respondent to conduct an administrative review of the antidumping duty order on natural bristle paintbrushes and brush heads from the People's Republic of China. On March 23, 1998 (63 FR 13837), the Department published its initiation of this administrative review covering the period February 1, 1997 through January 31, 1998.

Extension of Time Limits for Preliminary Results

By law, the Department is required to verify the Hebei Animal By-Products I/ E Corp. See 19 CFR 351.307(b)(5)(A) and (B). At this time, it is not practicable to schedule a verification within the time limits set for the completion of an administrative review mandated by section 751(a)(3)(A) of the Act. See Memorandum from Joseph A. Spetrini to Robert S. LaRussa, Extension of Time Limit for the Administrative Review of Natural Bristle Paintbrushes and Brush Heads from The PRC., dated July 24, 1998.

Therefore, in accordance with section 751(a)(3)(A) of the Act, the Department is extending the time limits for the preliminary results an additional sixty days to December 31, 1998. The final results continues to be due 120 days after the publication of the preliminary results.

Dated: July 24, 1998.

Joseph A. Spetrini,

Deputy Assistant Secretary for AD/CVD Enforcement III.

[FR Doc. 98-21530 Filed 8-10-98; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 3510-DS-P

DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE**International Trade Administration**

[C-559-001]

Preliminary Results of Countervailing Duty Administrative Review; Certain Refrigeration Compressors From the Republic of Singapore

AGENCY: Import Administration, International Trade Administration, Department of Commerce.

EFFECTIVE DATE: August 11, 1998.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT:

Maria K. Dybczak or Rick Johnson, Office of Antidumping/Countervailing Duty Enforcement, Group III, Office IX, Import Administration, U.S. Department of Commerce, Room 1874, 14th Street and Constitution Avenue, N.W., Washington, D.C. 20230; telephone (202) 482-1398, or 482-3818, respectively.

SUMMARY: In response to requests by the Government of the Republic of Singapore (GOS), Matsushita Refrigeration Industries (Singapore) Pte. Ltd. (MARIS), Asia Matsushita Electric (Singapore) Pte. Ltd. (AMS), and the petitioner, Tecumseh Products Company (Tecumseh), the Department of Commerce (the Department) is conducting an administrative review of the agreement suspending the countervailing duty investigation on certain refrigeration compressors from the Republic of Singapore. This review covers the GOS, MARIS, and AMS. AMS was the sole exporter of the subject merchandise to the United States during the period April 1, 1996, through March 31, 1997, the period of review (POR). We preliminarily determine that the signatories have complied with the terms of the suspension agreement during the POR.

Interested parties are invited to comment on these preliminary results. Parties who submit arguments in this proceeding are requested to submit with their argument (1) a statement of the issue and (2) a brief summary of the argument.

Applicable Statute

Unless otherwise indicated, all citations to the Tariff Act of 1930, as amended ("the Act"), are references to the provisions effective January 1, 1995, the effective date of the amendments made to the Act by the Uruguay Round Agreements Act ("URAA"). In addition, unless otherwise indicated, all citations to the Department's regulations are to the regulations set forth at 19 C.F.R. part 351 (62 FR 27296, May 19, 1997).

SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION:**Background**

On November 25, 1997, the GOS, MARIS, and AMS, requested an administrative review of the agreement suspending the countervailing duty investigation on certain refrigeration compressors from the Republic of Singapore (*Certain Refrigeration Compressors from the Republic of Singapore: Suspension of Countervailing Duty Investigation, ("Refrigeration Compressors")* 48 FR 51167, 51170 (November 7, 1983)). On