

That airspace extending upward from 700 feet above the surface within a 8.6-mile radius of Fort Atkinson Municipal Airport, excluding that airspace within the Watertown, WI, Class E airspace area.

\* \* \* \* \*

Issued in Des Plaines, Illinois on June 16, 1998.

**David B. Johnson,**

*Acting Manager, Air Traffic Division.*

[FR Doc. 98-17049 Filed 6-25-98; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 4910-13-m

## DEPARTMENT OF TRANSPORTATION

### Federal Aviation Administration

#### 14 CFR Part 71

[Airspace Docket No. 98-AGL-24]

#### Modification of Class E Airspace; Youngstown Elser Metro Airport, OH

**AGENCY:** Federal Aviation Administration (FAA), DOT.

**ACTION:** Final rule.

**SUMMARY:** This action modifies Class E airspace at Youngstown Elser Metro Airport, OH. A Global Positioning System (GPS) Standard Instrument Approach Procedure (SIAP) to Runway (Rwy) 28 has been developed for Youngstown Elser Metro Airport. Controlled airspace extending upward from 700 to 1200 feet above ground level (AGL) is needed to contain aircraft executing the approach. This action adds an extension to the east for the existing controlled airspace for Youngstown Elser Metro Airport.

**EFFECTIVE DATE:** 0901 UTC, October 08, 1998.

**FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT:** Michelle M. Behm, Air Traffic Division, Airspace Branch, AGL-520, Federal Aviation Administration, 2300 East Devon Avenue, Des Plaines, Illinois 60018, telephone (847) 294-7568.

**SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION:**

#### History

On Wednesday, April 22, 1998, the FAA proposed to amend 14 CFR part 71 to modify Class E airspace at Youngstown Elser Metro Airport, OH (63 FR 19855). The proposal was to add controlled airspace extending upward from 700 to 1200 feet AGL to contain Instrument Flight Rules (IFR) operations in controlled airspace during portions of the terminal operation and while transiting between the enroute and terminal environments.

Interested parties were invited to participate in this rulemaking proceeding by submitting written comments on the proposal to the FAA.

No comments objecting to the proposal were received. Class E airspace designations for airspace areas extending upward from 700 feet or more above the surface of the earth are published in paragraph 6005 of FAA Order 7400.9E dated September 10, 1997, and effective September 16, 1997, which is incorporated by reference in 14 CFR 71.1. The Class E airspace designation listed in this document will be published subsequently in the Order.

#### The Rule

This amendment to 14 CFR part 71 modifies Class E airspace at Youngstown Elser Metro Airport, OH, to accommodate aircraft executing the proposed GPS Rwy 28 SIAP at Youngstown Elser Metro Airport by adding an extension to the east for the existing controlled airspace for the airport. The area will be depicted on appropriate aeronautical charts.

The FAA has determined that this regulation only involves an established body of technical regulations for which frequent and routine amendments are necessary to keep them operationally current. Therefore, this regulation—(1) is not a “significant regulatory action” under Executive Order 12866; (2) is not a “significant rule” under DOT Regulatory Policies and Procedures (44 FR 11034; February 26, 1979); and (3) does not warrant preparation of a Regulatory Evaluation as the anticipated impact is so minimal. Since this is a routine matter that will only affect air traffic procedures and air navigation, it is certified that this rule will not have a significant economic impact on a substantial number of small entities under the criteria of the Regulatory Flexibility Act.

#### List of Subjects in 14 CFR Part 71

Airspace, Incorporation by reference, Navigation (air).

#### Adoption of the Amendment

In consideration of the foregoing, the Federal Aviation Administration amends 14 CFR part 71 as follows:

#### PART 71—DESIGNATION OF CLASS A, CLASS B, CLASS C, CLASS D, AND CLASS E AIRSPACE AREAS; AIRWAYS; ROUTES; AND REPORTING POINTS

1. The authority citation for part 71 continues to read as follows:

**Authority:** 49 U.S.C. 106(g), 40103, 40113, 40120; E.O. 208454, 24 FR 9565, 3 CFR, 1959-1963 Comp., p. 389.

#### § 71.1 [Amended]

2. The incorporation by reference in 14 CFR 71.1 of the Federal Aviation

Administration Order 7400.9E, Airspace Designations and Reporting Points, dated September 10, 1997, and effective September 16, 1997, is amended as follows:

*Paragraph 6005 Class E airspace areas extending upward from 700 feet or more above the surface of the earth.*

\* \* \* \* \*

#### AGL OH E5 Youngstown Elser Metro Airport, OH [Revised]

Youngstown Elser Metro Airport, OH (lat. 40°57'38" N., long. 80°40'36" W.)

That airspace extending upward from 700 feet above the surface within a 6.4-mile radius of the Youngstown Elser Metro Airport; and within 4.0 miles either side of the 108° bearing from the airport, extending from the 6.4-mile radius to 8.8 miles east of the airport, excluding that airspace within the Youngstown-Warren Regional Airport, OH, Class E airspace area, and excluding that airspace within the New Castle, PA, Class E airspace area.

\* \* \* \* \*

Issued in Des Plaines, Illinois on June 16, 1998.

**David B. Johnson,**

*Acting Manager, Air Traffic Division.*

[FR Doc. 98-17051 Filed 6-24-98; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 4910-13-M

## DEPARTMENT OF TRANSPORTATION

### Federal Aviation Administration

#### 14 CFR Part 71

[Airspace Docket No. 98-ASO-5]

#### Amendment of Class E Airspace; Roxboro, NC

**AGENCY:** Federal Aviation Administration (FAA), DOT.

**ACTION:** Final rule.

**SUMMARY:** This amendment modifies Class E airspace at Roxboro, NC. A Global Positioning System (GPS) Runway (RWY) 6 Standard Instrument Approach Procedure (SIAP) has been developed for Person County Airport. As a result, additional controlled airspace extending upward from 700 feet Above Ground Level (AGL) is needed to accommodate the SIAP and for Instrument Flight Rules (IFR) operations at Person County Airport. The Class E airspace has been increased from a 6.4 to a 6.6-mile radius.

**EFFECTIVE DATE:** 0901 UTC, August 13, 1998.

**FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT:** Nancy B. Shelton, Manager, Airspace Branch, Air Traffic Division, Federal Aviation Administration, PO Box 20636, Atlanta, Georgia 30320; telephone (404) 305-5586.

## SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION:

## History

On April 6, 1998, the FAA proposed to amend part 71 of the Federal Aviation Regulations (14 CFR part 71) by amending Class E airspace at Roxboro, NC, (63 FR 16718). This action provides adequate Class E airspace for IFR operations at Person County Airport. Designations for Class E airspace extending upward from 700 feet or more above the surface of the earth are published in Paragraph 6005 of FAA Order 7400.9E, dated September 10, 1997, and effective September 16, 1997, which is incorporated by reference in 14 CFR part 71.1. The Class E airspace designation listed in this document will be published subsequently in the Order.

Interested parties were invited to participate in this rulemaking proceeding by submitting written comments on the proposal to the FAA. No comments objecting to the proposal were received.

## The Rule

This amendment to part 71 of the Federal Aviation Regulations (14 CFR part 71) modifies Class E airspace at Roxboro, NC. A GPS RWY 6 SIAP has been developed for Person County Airport. Additional controlled airspace extending upward from 700 feet AGL is needed to accommodate the SIAP and for IFR operations at Person County Airport.

The FAA has determined that this regulation only involves an established body of technical regulations for which frequent and routine amendments are necessary to keep them operationally current. It, therefore, (1) is not a "significant regulatory action" under Executive Order 12866; (2) is not a "significant rule" under DOT Regulatory Policies and Procedures (44 FR 11034; February 26, 1979); and (3) does not warrant preparation of a Regulatory Evaluation, as the anticipated impact is so minimal. Since this is a routine matter that will only affect air traffic procedures and air navigation, it is certified that this rule will not have a significant economic impact on a substantial number of small entities under the criteria of the Regulatory Flexibility Act.

## List of Subjects in 14 CFR Part 71

Airspace, Incorporation by reference, Navigation (air).

## Adoption of the Amendment

In consideration of the foregoing, the Federal Aviation Administration amends 14 CFR part 71 as follows:

**PART 71—DESIGNATION OF CLASS A, CLASS B, CLASS C, CLASS D AND CLASS E AIRSPACE AREAS; AIRWAYS; ROUTES; AND REPORTING POINTS**

1. The authority citation for 14 CFR part 71 continues to read as follows:

**Authority:** 49 U.S.C. 106(g), 40103, 40113, 40120; EO 10854, 24 FR 9565, 3 CFR, 1959–1963 Comp., p. 389.

## § 71.1 [Amended]

2. The incorporation by reference in 14 CFR 71.1 of Federal Aviation Administration Order 7400.9E, Airspace Designations and Reporting Points, dated September 10, 1997, and effective September 16, 1997, is amended as follows:

*Paragraph 6005 Class E airspace areas extending upward from 700 feet or more above the surface of the earth.*

\* \* \* \* \*

## ASO NC E5 Roxboro, NC [Revised]

Person County Airport, NC  
(lat. 36°17'08" N, long. 78°59'00" W)

That airspace extending upward from 700 feet or more above the surface of the earth within a 6.6-mile radius of Person County Airport.

\* \* \* \* \*

Issued in College Park, Georgia, on May 29, 1998.

Jeffery N. Burner,

Acting Manager, Air Traffic Division,  
Southern Region.

[FR Doc. 98–16957 Filed 6–25–98; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 4910–13–M

## FEDERAL TRADE COMMISSION

## 16 CFR Part 14

**Amended Enforcement Policy Statement Concerning Clear and Conspicuous Disclosure in Foreign Language Advertising and Sales Materials**

**AGENCY:** Federal Trade Commission.

**ACTION:** Final rule; Statement of policy.

**SUMMARY:** The Commission has determined that it would be appropriate to amend its Enforcement Policy Statement regarding clear and conspicuous disclosures in foreign language advertising and sales materials. The amended policy statement is intended to clarify the 1973 Enforcement Policy Statement.

**EFFECTIVE DATE:** June 26, 1998.

## FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT:

Linda K. Badger or Matthew D. Gold,  
San Francisco Regional Office, Federal  
Trade Commission, 901 Market Street,

Suite 570, San Francisco, CA 94103,  
(415) 356–5270.

**SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION:** The Federal Trade Commission ("Commission") has noted that some advertisements appearing in foreign language publications feature advertising copy in both English and a foreign language, but include the required disclosure only in English. Because the target audience for these ads is non-English speaking, the Commission believes that the required disclosure should be provided in the language of the target audience, rather than English. This policy statement clarifies the Commission's policy under these circumstances.

The Commission, on two occasions, has addressed the issue of disclosures in foreign language advertising. On August 9, 1973, the Commission issued an Enforcement Policy Statement dealing with disclosures in foreign language advertising. That policy statement, which is codified at 16 CFR 14.9, reads in pertinent part: "(a) Where cease-and-desist orders as well as rules, guides and other statements require 'clear and conspicuous' disclosure of certain information, that disclosure must be in the same language as that principally used in the advertisements and sales materials involved.<sup>1</sup> Staff has been informed that some companies have interpreted the 1973 Enforcement Policy Statement to mean that a disclosure must be in English, regardless of the target audience of the advertisement, if the number of English words in an advertisement exceeds the number of foreign language words.

On November 4, 1986, the Commission issued its Regulations Under the Comprehensive Smokeless Tobacco Health Education Act of 1986.<sup>2</sup> Those regulations address, *inter alia*, the language in which the Surgeon General's health warning must appear in advertisements for smokeless tobacco products. The smokeless tobacco regulations require that:

In the case of an advertisement for a smokeless tobacco product in a newspaper, magazine, periodical, or other publication that is not in English, the warning statement shall appear in the predominant language of the publication in which the advertisement appears. In the case of any other advertisement, the warning statement shall appear in the same language as that principally used in the advertisement.<sup>3</sup>

<sup>1</sup> 38 FR 21494 (Aug. 9, 1973).

<sup>2</sup> 16 CFR 307 (1997).

<sup>3</sup> 16 CFR 307.5