case of a locking arm failure, in accordance with Accomplishment Instructions of Eurocopter France SA 330 Service Bulletin No. 54.20, Revision 1, dated February 27, 1996.

(c) Installation of an airworthy additional safety stop, P/N 330A24–2119–21, constitutes terminating action for the requirements of this AD.

(d) An alternative method of compliance or adjustment of the compliance time that provides an acceptable level of safety may be used if approved by the Manager, Rotorcraft Standards Staff, Rotorcraft Directorate, FAA. Operators shall submit their requests through an FAA Principal Maintenance Inspector, who may concur or comment and then send it to the Manager, Rotorcraft Standards Staff.

**Note 2:** Information concerning the existence of approved alternative methods of compliance with this AD, if any, may be obtained from the Rotorcraft Standards Staff.

(e) Special flight permits may be issued in accordance with sections 21.197 and 21.199 of the Federal Aviation Regulations (14 CFR 21.197 and 21.199) to operate the helicopter to a location where the requirements of this AD can be accomplished.

**Note 3:** The subject of this AD is addressed in Direction Generale De L'Aviation Civile (France) AD 96–095–076(B), dated April 24, 1996.

Issued in Fort Worth, Texas, on January 14, 1998.

#### Eric Bries,

Acting Manager, Rotorcraft Directorate, Aircraft Certification Service. [FR Doc. 98–1428 Filed 1–21–98; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 4910-13-U

### **DEPARTMENT OF TRANSPORTATION**

## **Federal Aviation Administration**

14 CFR Part 39

[Docket No. 97-ANE-46-AD] RIN 2120-AA64

Airworthiness Directives; CFM International CFM56-2, -2A, -2B, -3, -3B, and -3C Series Turbofan Engines

**AGENCY:** Federal Aviation Administration, DOT.

**ACTION:** Notice of proposed rulemaking (NPRM).

SUMMARY: This document proposes the adoption of a new airworthiness directive (AD) that is applicable to CFM International (CFMI) CFM56–2, –2A, –2B, –3, –3B, and –3C series turbofan engines. This proposal would require a one-time eddy current inspection (ECI) for cracks or gouges in certain high pressure turbine rotor (HPTR) disks. This proposal is prompted by a report of a HPTR disk found to have a crack in a rim bolt hole during a routine shop manual ECI. The actions specified by

the proposed AD are intended to prevent the potential for an uncontained failure of the HPTR disk, which could result in an inflight engine shutdown, aborted takeoff, or damage to the aircraft.

**DATES:** Comments must be received by March 23, 1998.

ADDRESSES: Submit comments in triplicate to the Federal Aviation Administration (FAA), New England Region, Office of the Assistant Chief Counsel, Attention: Rules Docket No. 97–ANE–46–AD, 12 New England Executive Park, Burlington, MA 01803–5299. Comments may be inspected at this location between 8:00 a.m. and 4:30 p.m., Monday through Friday, except Federal holidays.

The service information referenced in the proposed rule may be obtained from CFM International, Technical Publications Department, 1 Neumann Way, Cincinnati, OH 45215; telephone (513) 552–2981, fax (513) 552–2816. This information may be examined at the FAA, New England Region, Office of the Assistant Chief Counsel, 12 New England Executive Park, Burlington, MA

#### FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT:

Glorianne Messemer, Aerospace Engineer, Engine Certification Office, FAA, Engine and Propeller Directorate, 12 New England Executive Park, Burlington, MA 01803–5299; telephone (781) 238–7132; fax (781) 238–7199.

## SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION:

## **Comments Invited**

Interested persons are invited to participate in the making of the proposed rule by submitting such written data, views, or arguments as they may desire. Communications should identify the Rules Docket number and be submitted in triplicate to the address specified above. All communications received on or before the closing date for comments, specified above, will be considered before taking action on the proposed rule. The proposals contained in this notice may be changed in light of the comments received.

Comments are specifically invited on the overall regulatory, economic, environmental, and energy aspects of the proposed rule. All comments submitted will be available, both before and after the closing date for comments, in the Rules Docket for examination by interested persons. A report summarizing each FAA-public contact concerned with the substance of this proposal will be filed in the Rules Docket.

Commenters wishing the FAA to acknowledge receipt of their comments submitted in response to this notice must submit a self-addressed, stamped postcard on which the following statement is made: "Comments to Docket Number 97–ANE–46–AD." The postcard will be date stamped and returned to the commenter.

## Availability of NPRMs

Any person may obtain a copy of this NPRM by submitting a request to the FAA, New England Region, Office of the Assistant Chief Counsel, Attention: Rules Docket No. 97–ANE–46–AD, 12 New England Executive Park, Burlington, MA 01803–5299.

## Discussion

This proposed airworthiness directive (AD) is applicable to CFM International (CFMI) CFM56-2, -2A, -2B, -3, -3B, and -3C series turbofan engines. The Federal Aviation Administration (FAA) received a report of a high pressure turbine rotor (HPTR) disk found to have a crack in a rim bolt hole during a routine shop manual eddy current inspection (ECI). Investigation revealed that the crack initiated from a gouge in the bolt hole. The gouge is the result of a drill break that occurred when the rim bolt hole was being manufactured. A review of manufacturing records indicates that a total of 276 HPTR disks have documented drill breaks that occurred during manufacture of the HPTR disk. This condition, if not corrected, could result in an uncontained failure of the HPTR disk, which could result in an inflight engine shutdown, aborted takeoff, or damage to the aircraft.

The FAA has reviewed and approved the technical contents of CFM56–2 Service Bulletin (SB) No. 72–817, dated January 14, 1997, CFM56–2A SB No. 72–419, Revision 1, dated January 31, 1997, CFM56–2B SB No. 72–561, Revision 1, dated January 31, 1997, and CFM56–3/–3B/–3C SB No. 72–843, dated January 14, 1997, that describe procedures for ECI for cracks or gouges in HPTR disks.

Since an unsafe condition has been identified that is likely to exist or develop on other products of this same type design, the proposed AD would require a one-time ECI for cracks or gouges in certain HPTR disks. The calendar end-dates listed in the compliance section of this AD were based upon risk analysis. The actions would be required to be accomplished in accordance with the SBs described previously.

There are approximately 276 engines of the affected design in the worldwide

fleet. The FAA estimates that 100 engines on aircraft of U.S. registry would be affected by the proposed AD, that it would take approximately 300 work hours per engine to accomplish the proposed actions, and that the average labor rate is \$60 per work hour. Replacement parts, if required, would cost approximately \$86,000 per engine. Based on these figures, and assuming that 16 of the inspected HPTR disks will require replacement, the total cost impact of the proposed AD on U.S. operators is estimated to be \$3,176,000. The manufacturer has advised the FAA that certain costs incurred from the inspection and replacement of parts affected by this AD may be borne by the manufacturer, therefore, the total cost impact of this AD to U.S. operators may be less than estimated by the FAA.

The regulations proposed herein would not have substantial direct effects on the States, on the relationship between the national government and the States, or on the distribution of power and responsibilities among the various levels of government. Therefore, in accordance with Executive Order 12612, it is determined that this proposal would not have sufficient federalism implications to warrant the preparation of a Federalism Assessment.

For the reasons discussed above, I certify that this proposed regulation (1) is not a "significant regulatory action" under Executive Order 12866; (2) is not a "significant rule" under the DOT Regulatory Policies and Procedures (44 FR 11034, February 26, 1979); and (3) if promulgated, will not have a significant economic impact, positive or negative, on a substantial number of small entities under the criteria of the Regulatory Flexibility Act. A copy of the draft regulatory evaluation prepared for this action is contained in the Rules Docket. A copy of it may be obtained by contacting the Rules Docket at the location provided under the caption ADDRESSES.

# List of Subjects in 14 CFR Part 39

Air transportation, Aircraft, Aviation safety, Safety.

# The Proposed Amendment

Accordingly, pursuant to the authority delegated to me by the Administrator, the Federal Aviation Administration proposes to amend part 39 of the Federal Aviation Regulations (14 CFR part 39) as follows:

# PART 39—AIRWORTHINESS DIRECTIVES

1. The authority citation for part 39 continues to read as follows:

**Authority:** 49 U.S.C. 106(g), 40101, 40113, 44701.

## § 39.13 [Amended]

2. Section 39.13 is amended by adding the following new airworthiness directive:

**CFM International:** Docket No. 97–ANE–46–AD.

Applicability: CFM International (CFMI) CFM56–2, –2A, –2B, –3, –3B, and –3C series turbofan engines installed on, but not limited to McDonnell Douglas DC–8 series, Boeing 737 series, as well as Boeing E–3, E–6, and KC–135 (military) series aircraft.

Note 1: This airworthiness directive (AD) applies to each engine identified in the preceding applicability provision, regardless of whether it has been modified, altered, or repaired in the area subject to the requirements of this AD. For engines that have been modified, altered, or repaired so that the performance of the requirements of this AD is affected, the owner/operator must request approval for an alternative method of compliance in accordance with paragraph (c) of this AD. The request should include an assessment of the effect of the modification, alteration, or repair on the unsafe condition addressed by this AD; and, if the unsafe condition has not been eliminated, the request should include specific proposed actions to address it.

*Compliance:* Required as indicated, unless accomplished previously.

To prevent the potential for an uncontained failure of the high pressure turbine rotor (HPTR) disk, which could result in an inflight engine shutdown, aborted takeoff, or damage to the aircraft, accomplish the following:

- (a) Eddy current inspect for cracks or gouges in HPTR disks, Part Numbers 1475M29P01, 1475M29P02, 9514M69P01, 9514M69P04, 9514M69P05, 9514M69P06, and 9514M69P09, with Serial Numbers listed in Table 1 of the applicable Service Bulletin (SB), as follows:
- (1) For CFM56–2 engines, in accordance with CFM56–2 SB No. 72–817, dated January 14, 1997, prior to June 30, 1998.
- (2) For CFM56–2A engines, in accordance with CFM56–2A SB No. 72–419, Revision 1, dated January 31, 1997, within 500 cycles in service (CIS) after the effective date of this AD, or by December 31, 1999, whichever occurs first
- (3) For CFM56–2B engines, in accordance with CFM56–2B SB No. 72–561, Revision 1, dated January 31, 1997, within 500 CIS after the effective date of this AD, or by December 31, 1999, whichever occurs first.
- (4) For CFM56–3, –3B, and –3C engines, in accordance with CFM56–3/–3B/–3C SB No. 72–843, dated January 14, 1997, prior to June 30, 1998.
- (b) Remove from service HPTR disks found cracked or gouged, and replace with serviceable parts.
- (c) An alternative method of compliance or adjustment of the compliance time that provides an acceptable level of safety may be used if approved by the Manager, Engine Certification Office. Operators shall submit

their request through an appropriate FAA Principal Maintenance Inspector, who may add comments and then send it to the Manager, Engine Certification Office.

**Note 2:** Information concerning the existence of approved alternative methods of compliance with this airworthiness directive, if any, may be obtained from the Engine Certification Office.

(d) Special flight permits may be issued in accordance with sections 21.197 and 21.199 of the Federal Aviation Regulations (14 CFR 21.197 and 21.199) to operate the aircraft to a location where the inspection requirements of this AD can be accomplished.

Issued in Burlington, Massachusetts, on January 8, 1998.

#### James C. Jones,

Assistant Manager, Engine and Propeller Directorate, Aircraft Certification Service. [FR Doc. 98–1484 Filed 1–21–98; 8:45 am] BILLING CODE 4910–13–U

# **DEPARTMENT OF TRANSPORTATION**

## **Federal Aviation Administration**

## 14 CFR Part 39

[Docket No. 97-CE-119-AD]

RIN 2120-AA64

Airworthiness Directives; Pilatus Aircraft Ltd. Models PC-12 and PC-12/ 45 Airplanes

**AGENCY:** Federal Aviation Administration, DOT.

**ACTION:** Notice of proposed rulemaking (NPRM).

**SUMMARY:** This document proposes to adopt a new airworthiness directive (AD) that would apply to certain Pilatus Aircraft Ltd. (Pilatus) Models PC-12 and PC-12/45 airplanes. The proposed AD would require replacing certain propeller de-icing controllers with ones that are not susceptible to electromagnetic interference (EMI). The proposed AD is the result of mandatory continuing airworthiness information (MCAI) issued by the airworthiness authority for Switzerland. The actions specified by the proposed AD are intended to prevent improper operation of the propeller de-icing controller caused by EMI, which could result in ice build-up on the propeller with possible airplane controllability problems.

**DATES:** Comments must be received on or before February 27, 1998.

ADDRESSES: Submit comments in triplicate to the Federal Aviation Administration (FAA), Central Region, Office of the Regional Counsel, Attention: Rules Docket No. 97–CE–119–AD, Room 1558, 601 E. 12th Street,