

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT:

Ralph DeStefano, Federal Acquisition Policy Division, GSA (202) 501-1758.

ADDRESSES: Send comments regarding this burden estimate or any other aspect of this collection of information, including suggestions for reducing this burden, to: FAR Desk Officer, OMB, Room 10102, NEOB, Washington, DC 20503, and a copy to the General Services Administration, FAR Secretariat (MVRs), 1800 F Street, NW, Room 4035, Washington, DC 20405.

SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION:**A. Purpose**

Price, performance, and/or implementation of socio-economic policies may be affected by make-or-buy decisions under certain Government prime contracts. Accordingly, Section 15.407-2, Make-or-Buy Programs, of the FAR—

(i) Sets forth circumstances under which a Government contractor must submit for approval by the contracting officer a make-or-buy program, i.e., a written plan identifying major items to be produced or work efforts to be performed in the prime contractor's facilities and those to be subcontracted;

(ii) Provides guidance to contracting officers concerning the review and approval of the make-or-buy programs; and

(iii) Prescribes the contract clause at FAR 52.215-9, Changes or Additions to Make-or-Buy Programs, which specifies the circumstances under which the contractor is required to submit for the contracting officer's advance approval a notification and justification of any proposed change in the approved make-or-buy program.

The information is used to assure the lowest overall cost to the Government for required supplies and services.

B. Annual Reporting Burden

Public reporting burden for this collection of information is estimated to average 8 hours per termination, including the time for reviewing instructions, searching existing data sources, gathering and maintaining the data needed, and completing and reviewing the collection of information.

The annual reporting burden is estimated as follows: Respondents, 150; responses per respondent, 3; total annual responses, 450; preparation hours per response, 8; and total response burden hours, 3,600.

Obtaining Copies of Proposals

Requester may obtain a copy of the justification from the General Services Administration, FAR Secretariat (MVRs), Room 4035, Washington, DC

20405, telephone (202) 501-4755. Please cite OMB Control No. 9000-0078, Make-or-Buy Program, in all correspondence.

Dated: June 3, 1998.

Sharon A. Kiser,

FAR Secretariat.

[FR Doc. 98-15239 Filed 6-8-98; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 6820-34-P

DEPARTMENT OF DEFENSE**GENERAL SERVICES
ADMINISTRATION****NATIONAL AERONAUTICS AND
SPACE ADMINISTRATION**

[OMB Control No. 9000-0095]

**Submission for OMB Review;
Comment Request Entitled Commerce
Patent Regulations**

AGENCIES: Department of Defense (DOD), General Services Administration (GSA), and National Aeronautics and Space Administration (NASA).

ACTION: Notice of request for an extension to an existing OMB clearance.

SUMMARY: Under the provisions of the Paperwork Reduction Act of 1995 (44 U.S.C. Chapter 35), the Federal Acquisition Regulation (FAR) Secretariat has submitted to the Office of Management and Budget (OMB) a request to review and approve an extension of a currently approved information collection requirement concerning Commerce Patent Regulations, Public Law 98-620. A request for public comments was published at 63 FR 15834, April 1, 1998. No comments were received.

DATES: Comments may be submitted on or before July 9, 1998.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT: Jack O'Neill, Federal Acquisition Policy Division, GSA (202) 501-3856.

ADDRESSES: Comments regarding this burden estimate or any other aspect of this collection of information, including suggestions for reducing this burden, should be submitted to: FAR Desk Officer, OMB, Room 10102, NEOB, Washington, DC 20503, and a copy to the General Services Administration, FAR Secretariat, 1800 F Street, NW, Room 4035, Washington, DC 20405. Please cite OMB Control No. 9000-0095, Commerce Patent Regulations, in all correspondence.

SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION:**A. Purpose**

As a result of the Department of Commerce (Commerce) publishing a final rule in the **Federal Register**

implementing Public Law 98-620 (52 FR 8552, March 18, 1987), a revision to FAR Subpart 27.3 to implement the Commerce regulation was published in the **Federal Register** as an interim rule on June 12, 1989 (54 FR 25060).

A Government contractor must report all subject inventions to the contracting officer, submit a disclosure of the invention, and identify any publication, or sale, or public use of the invention (52.227-11(c), 52.228-12(c), and 52.227-13(e)(2)). Contractors are required to submit periodic or interim and final reports listing subject inventions (27.303(a); 27.304-1(e)(1)(i) and (ii); 27.304-1(e)(2)(i) and (ii); 52.227-12(f)(7); 52.227-14(e)(3)). In order to ensure that subject inventions are reported, the contractor is required to establish and maintain effective procedures for identifying and disclosing subject inventions (52.227-11, Alternate IV; 52.227-12(f)(5); 52.227-13(e)(1)). In addition, the contractor must require his employees, by written agreements, to disclose subject inventions (52.227-11(f)(2); 52.227-12(f)(2); 52.227-13(e)(4)). The contractor also has an obligation to utilize the subject invention, and agree to report, upon request, the utilization or efforts to utilize the subject invention (27.302(e); 52.227-11(h); 52.227-12(h)).

B. Annual Reporting Burden

Public reporting burden for this collection of information is estimated to average 3.9 hours per response, including the time for reviewing instructions, searching existing data sources, gathering and maintaining the data needed, and completing and reviewing the collection of information. Send comments regarding this burden estimate or any other aspect of this collection of information, including suggestions for reducing this burden, to General Services Administration, FAR Secretariat, 1800 F Street, NW, Room 4035, Washington, DC 20405.

The annual reporting burden is estimated as follows: Respondents, 1,200; responses per respondent, 9.75; total annual responses, 11,700; preparation hours per response, 3.9; and total response burden hours, 45,630.

Obtaining Copies of Proposals

Requester may obtain a copy of the justification from the General Services Administration, FAR Secretariat (MVRs), Room 4035, 1800 F Street, NW, Washington, DC 20405, telephone (202) 501-4755. Please cite OMB Control No. 9000-0095, Commerce Patent Regulations, in all correspondence.

Dated: June 3, 1998.

Sharon A. Kiser,
FAR Secretariat.

[FR Doc. 98-15240 Filed 6-8-98; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 6820-34-P

DEPARTMENT OF DEFENSE

Office of the Secretary

Department of Defense Wage Committee; Notice of Closed Meetings

Pursuant to the provisions of section 10 of Public Law 92-463, the Federal Advisory Committee Act, notice is hereby given that closed meetings of the Department of Defense Wage Committee will be held on July 7, 1998; July 14, 1998; July 21, 1998; and July 28, 1998, at 10:00 a.m. in Room A105, The Nash Building, 1400 Key Boulevard, Rosslyn, Virginia.

Under the provisions of section 10(D) of Public Law 92-463, the Department of Defense has determined that the meetings meet the criteria to close meetings to the public because the matters to be considered are related to internal rules and practices of the Department of Defense and the detailed wage data to be considered were obtained from officials of private establishments with a guarantee that the data will be held in confidence.

However, members of the public who may wish to do so are invited to submit material in writing to the chairman concerning matters believed to be deserving of the Committee's attention.

Additional information concerning the meetings may be obtained by writing to the Chairman, Department of Defense Wage Committee, 4000 Defense Pentagon, Washington, DC 20301-4000.

Dated: June 3, 1998.

L.M. Bynum,
Alternate OSD Federal Register Liaison Officer, Department of Defense.

[FR Doc. 98-15188 Filed 6-8-98; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 5000-04-M

DEPARTMENT OF DEFENSE

Department of the Navy

Record of Decision and General Conformity Determination for Realignment of E-2 Squadrons From Marine Corps Air Station (MCAS) Miramar, California

AGENCY: Department of the Navy, DOD.

ACTION: Notice of Record of Decision.

SUMMARY: The Department of the Navy, after carefully weighing the operational, environmental, and cost implications of

relocating E-2 aircraft from MCAS Miramar to other Naval installations, announces its decision to realign four E-2 squadrons to Naval Air Weapons Station (NAWS) Point Mugu, California.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT:

Ms. Kelly K. Knight, Southwest Division, Naval Facilities Engineering Command (Code 553.KK), 1220 Pacific Highway, San Diego, CA 92132, telephone (619) 532-2456.

SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION: The text of the entire Record of Decision is provided as follows:

The Department of the Navy (DON), pursuant to the Defense Base Closure and Realignment Act of 1990 (10 U.S.C. 2687), section 102(2)(C) of the National Environmental Policy Act (NEPA) of 1969 (42 U.S.C. 4331 et seq.), and the regulations of the Council on Environmental Quality that implement NEPA procedures (40 CFR part 1500-1508), hereby announces its decision to realign 16 E-2 aircraft, relocate 988 military and civilian personnel with their families, expand and construct facilities to support aircraft and personnel, and provide associated training functions at Naval Air Weapons Station (NAWS) Point Mugu, California. The realignment to NAWS Point Mugu was identified as the Preferred Alternative in the Final Environmental Impact Statement (FEIS).

To support the additional personnel and operation and maintenance of the E-2 aircraft, eight construction projects, primarily consisting of modification or expansion of existing facilities, are required at NAWS Point Mugu.

Realignment of the E-2 squadrons will increase aircraft operations at NAWS Point Mugu. However, as these E-2 squadrons will continue to use the E-2 training ranges, including the Southern California Operations Area, there will be no increase in aircraft operations on the ranges.

Pursuant to section 176(c) of the Clean Air Act (42 U.S.C. 7476(c)), the DON has determined that the realignment of the E-2 aircraft to NAWS Point Mugu conforms to California's State Implementation Plan for Ventura County. There were no comments on the draft conformity determination published as Appendix D to the FEIS. The final conformity determination is being distributed concurrent with the ROD.

Realignment of the E-2 aircraft and operational functions will begin in July 1998 and should be completed in January 1999.

Background

The 1993 Defense Base Closure and Realignment Commission (BRAC)

recommended the realignment of MCAS El Toro and MCAS Tustin to NAS Miramar. The Commission also recommended that the squadrons and related activities at NAS Miramar would move to other naval air stations, primarily NAS Lemoore and NAS Fallon in order to make room for the relocation of MCAS El Toro squadrons.

In 1995, the BRAC Commission revised the 1993 BRAC Commission recommendations as follows: "Change the receiving sites for squadrons and related activities at NAS Miramar specified by the 1993 Commission (BRAC Commission 1993) from NAS Lemoore and NAS Fallon to other naval air stations, primarily NAS Oceana, Virginia, NAS North Island, California, and NAS Fallon, Nevada."

As the 1995 BRAC Commission did not recommend realignment of NAS Miramar aircraft to a specific base, the DON conducted a multi-stage screening process to identify reasonable and feasible alternatives for realignment of Pacific Fleet E-2 aircraft to a west coast Naval air station. Other Navy aircraft stationed at NAS Miramar have already been realigned under separate NEPA actions.

Process

A Notice of Intent was published in the **Federal Register** on May 1, 1996, announcing that the DON would prepare an Environmental Impact Statement (EIS) which would analyze the environmental effects of the E-2 realignment and associated facilities construction.

The DON published a Notice of Availability of the Draft EIS (DEIS) in the **Federal Register** and local newspapers on November 21, 1997. Three public hearings were held in the cities of El Centro, Oxnard, and Lemoore, CA, between December 8, and December 10, 1997, to solicit comments on the DEIS. A total of 30 individuals, agencies, and organizations submitted written comments on the DEIS. The FEIS addressed all oral and written comments.

The DON published a Notice of Availability of the FEIS and a draft Final CAA Conformity Determination in the **Federal Register** and local newspapers on April 17, 1998. The DON received 13 comment letters during the 30-day public review period. Substantive comments are addressed later in this ROD.

Alternatives Considered

The DON conducted a screening process, based upon criteria set out in the DEIS, to identify a reasonable range of alternatives that would satisfy the