

procedures may be found in the Application Guide for the Office of Energy Research Financial Assistance Program and 10 CFR Part 605. Electronic access to the Application Guide is possible via the Internet using the following Web site address: <http://www.er.doe.gov/production/grants/grants.html>

References for Background Information

In order to assist the potential applicant under this Notice, the summary of a recent workshop that addressed measurement needs in fusion devices is provided on the World Wide Web at: <http://www.foe.er.doe.gov/more-html/pdffiles/diag.pdf> The summary is intended as background information on measurement needs. New diagnostic techniques that address these measurements are the ones most likely to be considered for funding under this Notice. However, new diagnostic techniques that address other measurements in fusion plasmas will also be considered for funding under this Notice.

For those without access to the World Wide Web, hard copies of the workshop summary may be obtained by contacting Mr. John Sauter at (phone) 301-903-3287, (fax) 301-903-4716, or in writing at U.S. Department of Energy, Office of Energy Research, ER-55, 19901 Germantown Road, Germantown, MD 20874-1290.

The Catalog of Federal Domestic Assistance Number for this program is 81.049, and the solicitation control number is ERFAP 10 CFR Part 605.

Issued in Washington, DC, on May 8, 1998.

Ralph H. DeLorenzo,

Acting Associate Director for Resource Management, Office of Energy Research.

[FR Doc. 98-13243 Filed 5-18-98; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 6450-01-P

DEPARTMENT OF ENERGY

Federal Energy Regulatory Commission

[Docket No. ER98-2645-000]

Alliant Services, Inc., IES Utilities Inc., et al.; Notice of Filing

May 13, 1998.

Take notice that on April 20, 1998, Alliant Services, Inc. (Alliant), on its own behalf and on behalf of IES Utilities Inc., Interstate Power Company, Wisconsin Power & Light Company, South Beloit Water, Gas & Electric Company, Heartland Energy Services and Industrial Energy Applications, Inc. (the IEC Operating Companies), submitted as a compliance filing the

System Coordination and Operating Agreement Among IES, IPC, WPL and Alliant and Alliant's Order No. 888-A open access transmission tariff. The filings were made in response to the Commission's Opinion No. 419 approving the merger of the companies. The filings are proposed to take effect on April 21, 1998.

Any person desiring to be heard or to protest said filing should file a motion to intervene or protests with the Federal Energy Regulatory Commission, 888 First Street, NE., Washington, DC 20426, in accordance with rules 211 and 214 of the Commission's Rules of Practice and Procedures (18 CFR 385.211 and 18 CFR 385.214). All such motions and protests should be filed on or before May 22, 1998. Protests will be considered by the Commission to determine the appropriate action to be taken, but will not serve to make protestants parties to the proceedings. Any person wishing to become a party must file a motion to intervene. Copies of this filing are on file with the Commission and are available for public inspection.

Linwood A. Watson, Jr.,

Acting Secretary.

[FR Doc. 98-13199 Filed 5-18-98; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 6717-01-M

DEPARTMENT OF ENERGY

Federal Energy Regulatory Commission

[Docket No. CP98-512-000]

Destin Pipeline Company, L.L.C.; Notice of Application

May 13, 1998.

Take notice that on May 4, 1998, Destin Pipeline Company, L.L.C. (Destin), Post Office Box 2563, Birmingham, Alabama 35202-2563, filed in Docket No. CP98-512-000 an application pursuant to Section 7(c) of the Natural Gas Act (NGA) for authorization to construct and operate a pipeline extension and appurtenant facilities in the Mississippi Canyon area of the Gulf of Mexico, to serve as gas supply facilities, all as more fully set forth in the application on file with the Commission and open to public inspection.

Destin proposes to install and operate approximately 31 miles of 24-inch lateral pipeline from Chevron USA Inc.'s (Chevron) Viosca Knoll Block 900 Platform to a sub-sea tie-in to be located near the Main Pass Block 279 Platform, all in Federal Waters, Gulf of Mexico, and appurtenant facilities including a pig launcher and measurement facilities. It is stated that the facilities

are designated the Gemini Expansion Facilities and are being installed to gain access to a supply of natural gas from a new deep water prospect named Gemini in Mississippi Canyon Area Blocks 247, 291, and 292. It is asserted that Texaco Exploration and Production Inc. and Chevron have signed agreements with Destin for the transportation of up to 180 Mmcf of gas per day from the Gemini gas supply under Destin's Rate Schedule F-2.

Destin proposes to own, operate and maintain the facilities as part of its pipeline system and to finance the cost of \$37.2 million. Destin requests rolled-in rate treatment for the cost of the facilities, asserting that they will be an integral part of its system. Destin requests Commission authorization by July 31, 1998, in order to have the proposed facilities placed in service by March, 1999.

Any person desiring to be heard or to make any protest with reference to said application should on or before June 3, 1998, file with the Federal Energy Regulatory Commission, 888 First Street, N.E., Washington, D.C. 20426, a motion to intervene or a protest in accordance with the requirements of the Commission's Rules of Practice and Procedure (18 CFR 385.214 or 385.211) and the Regulations under the NGA (18 CFR 157.10). All protests filed with the Commission will be considered by it in determining the appropriate action to be taken but will not serve to make the protestants parties to the proceeding. Any person wishing to become a party to a proceeding or to participate as a party in any hearing therein must file a motion to intervene in accordance with the Commission's Rules.

Take further notice that, pursuant to the authority contained in and subject to the jurisdiction conferred upon the Federal Energy Regulatory Commission by Sections 7 and 15 of the NGA and the Commission's Rules of Practice and Procedure, a hearing will be held without further notice before the Commission or its designee on this application if no motion to intervene is filed within the time required herein, if the Commission on its own review of the matter finds that a grant of the certificate is required by the public convenience and necessity. If a motion for leave to intervene is timely filed, or if the Commission on its own motion believes that a formal hearing is required, further notice of such hearing will be duly given.

Under the procedure herein provided for, unless otherwise advised, it will be

unnecessary for Destin to appear or be represented at the hearing.

Linwood A. Watson, Jr.,

Acting Secretary.

[FR Doc. 98-13202 Filed 5-18-98; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 6717-01-M

DEPARTMENT OF ENERGY

Federal Energy Regulatory Commission

[Project No. 4715-012]

Felts Mills Energy Partners, L.P.; Notice Dismissing Request for Rehearing

May 13, 1998.

On March 17, 1998, the Director, Office of Hydropower Licensing, issued an order granting to the licensee for the Felts Mills Project No. 4715 an extension of time to comply with the requirements of Articles 205 and 405 of its license. On April 17, 1998, New York Rivers United filed a request for rehearing of the Director's order.

Rule 713 of the Commission's Rules of Practice and Procedure provides that rehearing may be sought only with respect to a "final Commission decision or other final order."¹ The Director's order in this case, allowing the licensee additional time to submit its plan and aperture cards, is interlocutory, and is not therefore subject to rehearing.² Accordingly, New York Rivers United's request for rehearing is dismissed.³

This notice constitutes final agency action. Requests for rehearing by the Commission of this dismissal notice may be filed within 30 days of the date of issuance of this notice, pursuant to 18 CFR 385.713.

Linwood A. Watson, Jr.,

Acting Secretary.

[FR Doc. 98-13201 Filed 5-18-98; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 6717-01-M

DEPARTMENT OF ENERGY

Federal Energy Regulatory Commission

[Docket No. ER98-2498-000]

Public Service Company of New Mexico; Notice rescinding prior notice

May 11, 1998.

Take notice that on May 12, 1998, The **Federal Register** published a notice in the above-captioned docket (63 FR 26181). By this notice, the prior notice is hereby rescinded.

David P. Boergers,

Acting Secretary.

[FR Doc. 98-13200 Filed 5-18-98; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 6717-01-M

DEPARTMENT OF ENERGY

Federal Energy Regulatory Commission

[Docket No. CP98-515-000]

Transcontinental Gas Pipe Line Corporation; Notice of Request Under Blanket Authorization

May 13, 1998.

Take notice that on May 4, 1998, Transcontinental Gas Pipe Line Corporation (Transco), Post Office Box 1396, Houston, Texas 77251, filed in Docket No. CP98-515-000, a request pursuant to Section 157.205 and 157.211 of the Commission's Regulations under the Natural Gas Act (18 CFR 157.205 and 157.211) for authorization to construct and operate a sales tap for Frontier Energy (Frontier), a North Carolina natural gas local distribution and transmission pipeline company, under Transco's blanket certificate issued in Docket No. CP82-426-000, pursuant to 18 CFR Part 157, Subpart F of the Natural Gas Act, all as more fully set forth in the request which is on file with the Commission and open to public inspection.

Transco states that the sales tap would consist of two six-inch valve tap assemblies, a meter station with two six-inch meter runs and other appurtenant facilities near milepost 1308.45 on Transco's mainline in Rowan County, North Carolina. Transco further states that Frontier would construct, or cause to be constructed, appurtenant facilities as part of a new "greenfield" natural gas distribution project to enable it to receive gas from Transco at the sales tap and redeliver the gas to serve several counties in northwestern North Carolina which do not have current access to natural gas.

Transco also states that the new sales tap would be used by Frontier to receive up to 45,000 dekatherms of gas per day from Transco. It is stated that the gas delivered through the new sales tap would be received by Frontier for redeliveries in its capacity as a new local distribution company. Transco states that Frontier is not currently a transportation customer of Transco. It is further stated that upon completion of the sales tap, Transco would commence transportation service to Frontier pursuant to Transco's Rate Schedules FT-R or IT and Part 284(G) of the Commission's regulations. Moreover, Transco states that Frontier may have access in the future to Rate Schedule FT service in the event Frontier becomes a replacement shipper for a permanent release of firm capacity or if new firm capacity becomes available through an expansion of Transco's system. Transco states that the addition of the sales tap would have no significant impact on Transco's peak day or annual deliveries, and is not prohibited by Transco's FERC Gas Tariff.

Transco further states that the estimated total costs of Transco's proposed facilities would be approximately \$474,000. It is also stated that Frontier would reimburse Transco for all costs associated with such facilities.

Any person or the Commission's staff may, within 45 days after issuance of the instant notice by the Commission, file pursuant to Rule 214 of the Commission's Procedural Rules (18 CFR 385.214) motion to intervene or notice of intervention and pursuant to Section 157.205 of the Regulations under the Natural Gas Act (18 CFR 157.205) a protest to the request. If no protest is filed within the time allowed therefor, the proposed activity shall be deemed to be authorized effective the day after the time allowed for filing a protest. If a protest is filed and not withdrawn 30 days after the time allowed for filing a protest, the instant request shall be treated as an application for authorization pursuant to Section 7 of the Natural Gas Act.

Linwood A. Watson, Jr.,

Acting Secretary.

[FR Doc. 98-13203 Filed 5-18-98; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 6712-01-M

¹ 18 CFR 385.713(b).

² See e.g., Wisconsin Valley Improvement Company, 80 FERC ¶ 61,319 (1997).

³ Even if the rehearing request had not been interlocutory, it would have to be dismissed since a request for rehearing may be filed only by a party to the proceeding. With regard to post-licensing proceedings, the Commission only entertains motions to intervene where the filings at issue entail material changes in the plan of project development or in the terms and conditions of the license, or could adversely affect the rights of property-holders in a manner not contemplated by the license. See Kings River Conservation District, 36 FERC ¶ 61,365 (1986). Such was not the case here. Thus, notice of this proceeding was not issued, and motions to intervene were not entertained.