insignificant impact on the Idaho-Eastern Oregon onion industry.

This action would decrease the assessment obligation imposed on handlers. While assessments impose some additional costs on handlers, the costs are minimal and uniform on all handlers. Some of the additional costs may be passed on to producers. However, these costs would be offset by the benefits derived by the operation of the order. In addition, the Committee's meeting was widely publicized throughout the Idaho-Eastern Oregon onion industry and all interested persons were invited to attend the meeting and participate in Committee deliberations on all issues. Like all Committee meetings, the April 2, 1998, meeting was a public meeting and all entities, both large and small, were able to express views on this issue. Finally, interested persons are invited to submit information on the regulatory and informational impacts of this action on small businesses.

This proposed rule would impose no additional reporting or recordkeeping requirements on either small or large onion handlers. As with all Federal marketing order programs, reports and forms are periodically reviewed to reduce information requirements and duplication by industry and public sector agencies.

The Department has not identified any relevant Federal rules that duplicate, overlap, or conflict with this rule.

A 15-day comment period is provided to allow interested persons the opportunity to respond to this request for information and comments. Fifteen days is deemed appropriate because: (1) The Committee needs to have sufficient funds to pay its expenses which are incurred on a continuous basis; (2) the 1998-99 fiscal period begins on July 1, 1998, and the order requires that the rate of assessment for each fiscal period apply to all assessable onions handled during such fiscal period; and (3) handlers are aware of this action which was recommended by the Committee at a public meeting and is similar to other assessment rate actions issued in past years.

# List of Subjects in 7 CFR Part 958

Marketing agreements, Onions, Reporting and recordkeeping requirements.

For the reasons set forth in the preamble, 7 CFR part 958 is proposed to be amended as follows:

# PART 958—ONIONS GROWN IN CERTAIN DESIGNATED COUNTIES IN IDAHO, AND MALHEUR COUNTY, OREGON

1. The authority citation for 7 CFR part 958 continues to read as follows:

Authority: 7 U.S.C. 601-674.

2. Section 958.240 is proposed to be revised to read as follows:

#### § 958.240 Assessment rate.

On and after July 1, 1998, an assessment rate of \$0.09 per hundredweight is established for Idaho-Eastern Oregon onions.

Dated: May 11, 1998.

#### Robert C. Keeney,

Deputy Administrator, Fruit and Vegetable Programs.

[FR Doc. 98–13005 Filed 5–14–98; 8:45 am] BILLING CODE 3410–02–P

#### **DEPARTMENT OF TRANSPORTATION**

## **Federal Aviation Administration**

14 CFR Part 39

[Docket No. 98-ANE-18-AD]

RIN 2120-AA64

# Airworthiness Directives; General Electric Company CF6–6 Series Turbofan Engines

**AGENCY:** Federal Aviation Administration, DOT.

**ACTION:** Notice of proposed rulemaking

(NPRM).

**SUMMARY:** This document proposes the adoption of a new airworthiness directive (AD) that is applicable to General Electric Company (GE) CF6-6 series turbofan engines. This proposal would require removal from service of affected low pressure turbine (LPT) stage 4 disks prior to reaching new, reduced cyclic life limits, and replacement with serviceable parts. This proposal is prompted by reports of LPT stage 4 disk cracking in the blade dovetail slot bottom area. The actions specified by the proposed AD are intended to prevent LPT stage 4 disk cracking, which could result in an uncontained engine failure and damage to the aircraft.

**DATES:** Comments must be received by June 15, 1998.

ADDRESSES: Submit comments in triplicate to the Federal Aviation Administration (FAA), New England Region, Office of the Regional Counsel, Attention: Rules Docket No. 98–ANE–18–AD, 12 New England Executive Park,

Burlington, MA 01803–5299. Comments may also be sent via the Internet using the following address: "9-adengineprop@faa.dot.gov". Comments sent via the Internet must contain the docket number in the subject line. Comments may be inspected at this location between 8:00 a.m. and 4:30 p.m., Monday through Friday, except Federal holidays.

# FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT:

Karen Curtis, Aerospace Engineer, Engine Certification Office, FAA, Engine and Propeller Directorate, 12 New England Executive Park, Burlington, MA 01803–5299; telephone (781) 238–7192, fax (781) 238–7199.

#### SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION:

#### **Comments Invited**

Interested persons are invited to participate in the making of the proposed rule by submitting such written data, views, or arguments as they may desire. Communications should identify the Rules Docket number and be submitted in triplicate to the address specified above. All communications received on or before the closing date for comments, specified above, will be considered before taking action on the proposed rule. The proposals contained in this notice may be changed in light of the comments received.

Comments are specifically invited on the overall regulatory, economic, environmental, and energy aspects of the proposed rule. All comments submitted will be available, both before and after the closing date for comments, in the Rules Docket for examination by interested persons. A report summarizing each FAA-public contact concerned with the substance of this proposal will be filed in the Rules Docket.

Commenters wishing the FAA to acknowledge receipt of their comments submitted in response to this notice must submit a self-addressed, stamped postcard on which the following statement is made: "Comments to Docket Number 98–ANE–18–AD." The postcard will be date stamped and returned to the commenter.

#### Availability of NPRMs

Any person may obtain a copy of this NPRM by submitting a request to the FAA, New England Region, Office of the Regional Counsel, Attention: Rules Docket No. 98–ANE–18–AD, 12 New England Executive Park, Burlington, MA 01803–5299.

#### Discussion

The Federal Aviation Administration (FAA) has received reports of low

pressure turbine (LPT) stage 4 disk cracking on General Electric Company (GE) CF6–6 series turbofan engines. The investigation revealed that the dovetail slot bottoms of the LPT stage 4 disks, part numbers (P/Ns) 9010M40P01, 9010M40P02, 9010M40P07 9010M40P09, and 9010M40P12, have higher than predicted levels of stress during engine operation. In addition, the low cycle fatigue (LCF) material properties have been found to be lower than the original design intent. The disk cracks were found by inspection during engine shop visits. Extensive material testing, and stress and life analyses revealed a minimum calculated LCF cyclic life lower than the published LCF cyclic retirement life for the stage 4 LPT disks. This condition, if not corrected, could result in LPT stage 4 disk cracking, which could result in an uncontained engine failure and damage to the aircraft.

Since an unsafe condition has been identified that is likely to exist or develop on other products of this same type design, the proposed AD would require removal from service of affected LPT stage 4 disks prior to reaching new, reduced cyclic life limits, and replacement with serviceable parts.

There are approximately 257 engines of the affected design in the worldwide fleet. The FAA estimates that 242 engines installed on aircraft of U.S. registry would be affected by this proposed AD, and that required parts, on a prorated basis, would cost approximately \$22,432 per engine. Based on these figures, the total cost impact of the proposed AD on U.S. operators is estimated to be \$5,428,544.

The regulations proposed herein would not have substantial direct effects on the States, on the relationship between the national government and the States, or on the distribution of power and responsibilities among the various levels of government. Therefore, in accordance with Executive Order 12612, it is determined that this proposal would not have sufficient federalism implications to warrant the preparation of a Federalism Assessment.

For the reasons discussed above, I certify that this proposed regulation (1) is not a "significant regulatory action" under Executive Order 12866; (2) is not a "significant rule" under the DOT Regulatory Policies and Procedures (44 FR 11034, February 26, 1979); and (3) if promulgated, will not have a significant economic impact, positive or negative, on a substantial number of small entities under the criteria of the Regulatory Flexibility Act. A copy of the draft regulatory evaluation prepared for this action is contained in the Rules Docket.

A copy of it may be obtained by contacting the Rules Docket at the location provided under the caption ADDRESSES.

# List of Subjects in 14 CFR Part 39

Air transportation, Aircraft, Aviation safety, Safety.

### The Proposed Amendment

Accordingly, pursuant to the authority delegated to me by the Administrator, the Federal Aviation Administration proposes to amend part 39 of the Federal Aviation Regulations (14 CFR part 39) as follows:

# PART 39—AIRWORTHINESS DIRECTIVES

1. The authority citation for part 39 continues to read as follows:

Authority: 49 U.S.C. 106(g), 40113, 44701.

#### §39.13 [Amended]

2. Section 39.13 is amended by adding the following new airworthiness directive:

# **General Electric Company:** Docket No. 98–ANE–18–AD.

Applicability: General Electric Company (GE) CF6–6 series turbofan engines, installed on but not limited to McDonnell Douglas DC–10–10 series aircraft.

**Note 1:** This airworthiness directive (AD) applies to each engine identified in the preceding applicability provision, regardless of whether it has been modified, altered, or repaired in the area subject to the requirements of this AD. For engines that have been modified, altered, or repaired so that the performance of the requirements of this AD is affected, the owner/operator must request approval for an alternative method of compliance in accordance with paragraph (d) of this AD. The request should include an assessment of the effect of the modification, alteration, or repair on the unsafe condition addressed by this AD; and, if the unsafe condition has not been eliminated, the request should include specific proposed actions to address it.

Compliance: Required as indicated, unless accomplished previously.

To prevent low pressure turbine (LPT) stage 4 disk cracking, which could result in an uncontained engine failure and damage to the aircraft, accomplish the following:

- (a) Remove from service LPT stage 4 disks, part numbers (P/Ns) 9010M40P01, 9010M40P02, 9010M40P07, 9010M40P09, and 9010M40P12, and replace with serviceable parts, in accordance with the following schedule:
- (1) For disks with 12,300 or more cycles since new (CSN) but less than 24,000 CSN on the effective date of this AD, remove from service affected disks at the earliest of the following:
- (i) The next piece-part exposure after the effective date of this AD; or
- (ii) The next engine shop visit after accumulating 16,500 CSN; or

- (iii) Within 4,200 cycles in service (CIS) after the effective date of this AD; or
  - (iv) Prior to exceeding 24,000 CSN.
- (2) For disks with 5,000 or more CSN, but less than 12,300 CSN, on the effective date of this AD, remove from service affected disks at the earlier of the following:
- (i) Prior to exceeding 16,500 CSN; or (ii) Within 7,300 CIS after the effective date of this AD.
- (3) For disks with less than 5,000 CSN on the effective date of this, remove from service affected disks prior to exceeding 12,300 CSN.
- (b) This AD establishes a new cyclic retirement life limit for LPT stage 4 disks of 12,300 CSN. Thereafter, except as provided in paragraph (d) of this AD, no alternative cyclic retirement life limits may be approved for LPT stage 4 disks.
- (c) For the purpose of this AD, the following definitions apply:
- (1) An engine shop visit is defined as separation of a major, static flange.
- (2) Piece-part exposure is when the affected part is completely disassembled in accordance with the disassembly instructions in the engine manual or section of the Instructions for Continued Airworthiness (ICA).
- (d) An alternative method of compliance or adjustment of the compliance time that provides an acceptable level of safety may be used if approved by the Manager, Engine Certification Office. Operators shall submit their requests through an appropriate FAA Principal Maintenance Inspector, who may add comments and then send it to the Manager, Engine Certification Office.
- **Note 2:** Information concerning the existence of approved alternative methods of compliance with this airworthiness directive, if any, may be obtained from the Engine Certification Office.
- (e) Special flight permits may be issued in accordance with sections 21.197 and 21.199 of the Federal Aviation Regulations (14 CFR 21.197 and 21.199) to operate the aircraft to a location where the requirements of this AD can be accomplished.

Issued in Burlington, Massachusetts, on May 7, 1998.

### Thomas A. Boudreau,

Acting Manager, Engine and Propeller Directorate, Aircraft Certification Service. [FR Doc. 98–12915 Filed 5–14–98; 8:45 am] BILLING CODE 4910–13–U

# **DEPARTMENT OF TRANSPORTATION**

### **Federal Aviation Administration**

14 CFR Part 39

[Docket No. 97-ANE-45-AD]

#### RIN 2120-AA64

# Airworthiness Directives; Pratt & Whitney JT8D Series Turbofan Engines

**AGENCY:** Federal Aviation Administration, DOT.