## III. Date of Effectiveness of the Proposed Rule Change and Timing for Commission Action

The foregoing rule change establishes a due, fee, or other charge imposed by the Exchange and, therefore, has become effective pursuant to Section 19(b)(3)(A) of the Act and subparagraphs (e) of Rule 19b–4 thereunder. 11 At any time within 60 days of the filing of Amendment No. 1 to the proposed rule change, the Commission may summarily abrogate such rule change if it appears to the Commission that such action is necessary or appropriate in the public interest, for the protection of investors, or otherwise in furtherance of the purposes of the Act.

### IV. Solicitation of Comments

Interested persons are invited to submit written data, views and arguments concerning the foregoing, including whether the proposed rule change is consistent with the Act. Persons making written submissions should file six copies thereof with the Secretary, Securities and Exchange Commission, 450 Fifth Street, NW., Washington, DC 20549. Copies of the submission, all subsequent amendments, all written statements with respect to the proposed rule change that are filed with the Commission, and all written communications relating to the proposed rule change between the Commission and any person, other than those that may be withheld from the public in accordance with the provisions of 5 U.S.C. 552, will be available for inspection and copying in the Commission's Public Reference Room, 450 Fifth Street, NW., Washington, DC 20540. Copies of such filing will also be available for inspection and copying at the principal office of the PCX. All submissions should refer to File No. SR-PCX-98-08 and should be submitted by June 1, 1998.

For the Commission, by the Division of Market Regulation, pursuant to delegated authority. 12

## Margaret H. McFarland,

Deputy Secretary.

[FR Doc. 98–12351 Filed 5–8–98; 8:45 am] BILLING CODE 8010–01–M

project to finance land and facilities to house the Exchange. Subsequent to those meetings, the Exchange received a petition signed by approximately 165 Options Floor Members opposing the proposed new Exchange facilities and assessment plan. A copy of the petition has been filed with the Commission as Exhibit A to the Rule 19b–4 filing for the proposed rule change.

### **DEPARTMENT OF TRANSPORTATION**

## Office of the Secretary

Reports, Forms and Recordkeeping Requirements; Agency Information Collection Activity Under OMB Review

**AGENCY:** Office of the Secretary, DOT. **ACTION:** Notice and request for comments.

SUMMARY: In compliance with the Paperwork Reduction Act of 1995 (44 U.S.C. 3501 et seq.), this notice announces that the Information Collection (ICR) abstracted below has been forwarded to the Office of Management and Budget (OMB) for review and comment. The ICRs describes the nature of the information collection and their expected burden. The Federal Register Notice with a 60-day comment period soliciting comments on the following collection of information was published on November 20, 1997 [62 FR 224].

**DATES:** Comments must be submitted on or before June 10, 1998.

## FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT:

Judith Street, ABC–100; Federal Aviation Administration; 800 Independence Avenue, SW.; Washington, DC 20591; Telephone number (202) 267–9895.

#### SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION:

## Federal Aviation Administration (FAA)

Title: Pilot Medical Certification Customer Service Survey. OMB Control Number: 2120–0624. Type of Request: Extension of a currently approved collection.

Affected Public: 48,000 Pilots.

Abstract: This information is being conducted to comply with the Executive Order 12862, Setting Customer Service Standards. The information will be used to evaluate agency performance in the area of pilot medical certification. The completion of this form is voluntary and the information collection will be conducted anonymously.

Estimated Annual Burden Hours: 2.400 hours.

Addressee: Send comments to the Office of Information and Regulatory Affairs, Office of Management and Budget, 725–17th Street, NW., Washington, DC 20503, Attention DOT Desk Officer.

Comments are invited on: Whether the proposed collection of information is necessary for the proper performance of the functions of the Department, including whether the information will have practical utility; the accuracy of the Department's estimate of the burden of the proposed information collection;

ways to enhance the quality, utility and clarity of the information to be collected; and ways to minimize the burden of the collection of information on respondents, including the use of automated collection techniques or other forms of information technology.

Issued in Washington, DC, on May 5, 1998.

#### Vanester M. Williams,

Clearance Officer, United States Department of Transportation.

[FR Doc. 98–12440 Filed 5–8–98; 8:45 am] BILLING CODE 4910–62–P

## **DEPARTMENT OF TRANSPORTATION**

# Aviation Proceedings, Agreements Filed During the Week Ending of May 1, 1998

The following Agreements were filed with the Department of Transportation under the provisions of 49 U.S.C. 412 and 414. Answers may be filed within 21 days of date of filing.

Docket Number: OST-98-3793. Date Filed: April 28, 1998.

Parties: Members of the International Air Transport Association.

Subject:

COMP Telex Mail Vote 937. (Euro) Conversion Resolution 010h. Intended effective date: June 1, 1998.

# Paulette V. Twine,

Federal Register Liaison. [FR Doc. 98–12369 Filed 5–8–98; 8:45 am] BILLING CODE 4910–62–P

#### **DEPARTMENT OF TRANSPORTATION**

Notice of Applications for Certificates of Public Convenience and Necessity and Foreign Air Carrier Permits Filed Under Subpart Q During the Week Ending May 1, 1998

The following Applications for Certificates of Public Convenience and Necessity and Foreign Air Carrier Permits were filed under Subpart Q of the Department of Transportation's Procedural Regulations (See 14 CFR 302.1701 et. seq.). The due date for Answers, Conforming Applications, or Motions to Modify Scope are set forth below for each application. Following the Answer period DOT may process the application by expedited procedures. Such procedures may consist of the adoption of a show-cause order, a tentative order, or in appropriate cases a final order without further proceedings.

Docket Number: OST-98-3801. Date Filed: April 30, 1998.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>11</sup> 15 U.S.C. 78s(b)(3)(A) and 17 CFR 19b-4(e).

<sup>12 17</sup> CFR 200.30-3(a)(12)

Due Date for Answers, Conforming Applications, or Motions to Modify Scope: May 28, 1998.

Description: Application of Chileinter Airlines S.A. for a foreign air carrier permit, pursuant to 49 U.S.C. 41302 to allow it to engage in charter foreign air transportation of persons, property, and mail between a point or points in Chile and a point or points in the United States, via intermediate points, as provided by the U.S.-Chile Air Transport Agreement of 1989, as amended, and to operate additional ad hoc charters pursuant to 14 C.F.R. Part 212.

### Paulette V. Twine,

Federal Register Liaison. [FR Doc. 98–12370 Filed 5–8–98; 8:45 am] BILLING CODE 4910–62–P

### **DEPARTMENT OF TRANSPORTATION**

### **Federal Aviation Administration**

Intent to Prepare an Environmental Impact Statement and to Hold an Environmental Scoping Meeting for Cleveland Hopkins International Airport, Cleveland, OH

**AGENCY:** Federal Aviation Administration, DOT.

**ACTION:** Notice to hold a public scoping meeting.

SUMMARY: The Federal Aviation Administration (FAA) is issuing this notice to advise the public that environmental documentation, including an Environmental Impact Statement (EIS), will be developed to address environmental and related impacts expected with the proposed expansion of Cleveland Hopkins International Airport, Cleveland, Ohio.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT: Ernest Gubry, Federal Aviation Administration, Detroit Airports District Office, Willow Run Airport, East, 8820 Beck Road, Belleville, Michigan 48111, 734–487–7280.

SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION: The FAA will prepare an EIS concurrently with the finalization of a Master Plan for Cleveland Hopkins International Airport. Currently, the City of Cleveland has a proposal for the relocation and extension of Runway 5L/23R and the extension of Runway 5R. Associated with this development would be the relocation of Brook Park Road, development of new air traffic control procedures, and development of methods for providing noise compatibility with the surrounding communities. The EIS will also evaluate the cumulative impacts anticipated to

occur as a result of the implementation of foreseeable future improvements at Cleveland Hopkins International Airport.

Comments and suggestions are invited from federal, state, and local agencies, and other interested parties to ensure that the full range of issues related to these proposed projects are addressed and all significant issues are identified. Copies of materials to be evaluated can be obtained by contacting the FAA information contact listed above. Comments and suggestions may be mailed to the same address.

## **Public Scoping Meeting**

To facilitate receipt of comments, two scoping meetings will be held on Wednesday, June 17, 1998. A resource agency meeting will be held from 1:00 p.m. to 3:00 p.m. at the Cleveland Convention Center, 500 Lakeside (Room 212A), Cleveland, Ohio 44114. A public workshop and scoping meeting will be held from 5:00 p.m. to 8:00 p.m. at the Cleveland Convention Center, 500 Lakeside (Room 212B), Cleveland, Ohio 44114, to solicit comments and input from the general public on the environmental analysis process. If you plan on attending the resource agency meeting, please contact Mr. Ernest Gubry. Written comments and recommendations may be sent to Mr. Gubry's office at the above noted address prior to June 30, 1998.

Issued in Des Plaines, Illinois, on May 4, 1998.

# Benito De Leon,

Manager, Planning/Programming Branch, FAA, Great Lakes Region. [FR Doc. 98–12441 Filed 5–8–98; 8:45 am] BILLING CODE 4910–13–M

# **DEPARTMENT OF TRANSPORTATION**

National Highway Traffic Safety Administration

[Docket No. NHTSA-98-3803]

Decision That Nonconforming 1993 Audi 100 Passenger Cars Are Eligible for Importation

**AGENCY:** National Highway Traffic Safety Administration (NHTSA), DOT. **ACTION:** Notice of decision by NHTSA that nonconforming 1993 Audi 100 passenger cars are eligible for importation.

SUMMARY: This notice announces the decision by NHTSA that 1993 Audi 100 passenger cars not originally manufactured to comply with all applicable Federal motor vehicle safety standards are eligible for importation

into the United States because they are substantially similar to vehicles originally manufactured for importation into and sale in the United States and certified by their manufacturer as complying with the safety standards (the U.S. certified version of the 1993 Audi 100), and they are capable of being readily altered to conform to the standards.

**DATES:** This decision is effective May 11, 1998.

**FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT:** George Entwistle, Office of Vehicle Safety Compliance, NHTSA (202–366–5306).

#### SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION:

#### **Background**

Under 49 U.S.C. 30141(a)(1)(A), a motor vehicle that was not originally manufactured to conform to all applicable Federal motor vehicle safety standards shall be refused admission into the United States unless NHTSA has decided that the motor vehicle is substantially similar to a motor vehicle originally manufactured for importation into and sale in the United States, certified under 49 U.S.C. 30115, and of the same model year as the model of the motor vehicle to be compared, and is capable of being readily altered to conform to all applicable Federal motor vehicle safety standards.

Petitions for eligibility decisions may be submitted by either manufacturers or importers who have registered with NHTSA pursuant to 49 CFR part 592. As specified in 49 CFR 593.7, NHTSA publishes notice in the Federal Register of each petition that it receives, and affords interested persons an opportunity to comment on the petition. At the close of the comment period, NHTSA decides, on the basis of the petition and any comments that it has received, whether the vehicle is eligible for importation. The agency then publishes this decision in the Federal Register.

J.K. Motors of Kingsville, Maryland ("J.K.") (Registered Importer 90–006) petitioned NHTSA to decide whether 1993 Audi 100 passenger cars are eligible for importation into the United States. NHTSA published notice of the petition under Docket No. NHTSA 98–3453 on February 18, 1998 (63 FR 8252) to afford an opportunity for public comment. The reader is referred to that notice for a thorough description of the petition.

One comment was received in response to the notice of the petition, from Volkswagen of America, Inc. ("Volkswagen"), the United States representative of Audi AG, the vehicle's