on any governmental entity or private sector.

#### List of Subjects in 30 CFR Part 944

Abandoned mine reclamation programs, Intergovernmental relations, Surface mining, Underground mining.

Dated: January 7, 1998.

#### Linda M. Wagner,

Acting Regional Director, Western Regional Coordinating Center.

[FR Doc. 98–859 Filed 1–13–98; 8:45 am] BILLING CODE 4310–05–M

### ENVIRONMENTAL PROTECTION AGENCY

40 CFR Part 52

[IN80-1b; FRL-5929-6]

### Approval and Promulgation of Implementation Plan; Indiana

**AGENCY:** Environmental Protection

Agency.

**ACTION:** Proposed rule.

**SUMMARY:** The Environmental Protection Agency (EPA) proposes to approve a revision to the Indiana State Implementation Plan (SIP) for the general conformity rules. The general conformity SIP revision enables the State of Indiana to implement and enforce the Federal general conformity requirements in the nonattainment and maintenance areas at the State and local level in accordance with 40 Code of Federal Regulations (CFR) part 51, subpart W—Determining Conformity of General Federal Actions to State or Federal Implementation Plans. In the Final Rules section of this Federal Register, EPA is approving the State's SIP revision as a direct final rule without prior proposal because the agency views this as a noncontroversial SIP revision and anticipates no adverse comments. A detailed rationale for the approval is set forth in the direct final rule. If no adverse written comments are received in response to this proposed rule, no further activity is contemplated in relation to this rule. If EPA receives adverse written comments, the direct final rule will be withdrawn and all written public comments received will be addressed in a subsequent final rule based on this proposed rule. EPA will not institute a second comment period on this action. Any parties interested in commenting on this action should do so at this time.

**DATES:** Comments must be received in writing by February 13, 1998.

**ADDRESSES:** Copies of the revision request are available for inspection at the following address:

U.S. Environmental Protection Agency, Region 5, Air and Radiation Division, 77 West Jackson Boulevard, Chicago, Illinois 60604. (It is recommended that you telephone Ryan Bahr, Environmental Engineer at (312) 353–4366 before visiting the Region 5 Office.)

Written comments should be sent to:
J. Elmer Bortzer, Chief, Regulation
Development Section, Air Programs
Branch AR–18J), U.S. Environmental
Protection Agency, 77 West Jackson
Boulevard, Chicago, Illinois 60604.
FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT:
Ryan Bahr, Environmental Engineer, at

**SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION:** See the information provided in the direct final action of the same title which is located in the Rules and Regulations Section of this **Federal Register**.

Authority: 42 U.S.C. 7401 et seq. Dated: November 14, 1997.

#### David A. Ullrich,

(312) 353-4366.

Acting Regional Administrator, Region V. [FR Doc. 98–931 Filed 1-13-98; 8:45 am] BILLING CODE 6560–50–F

# ENVIRONMENTAL PROTECTION AGENCY

40 CFR Parts 60, 61, and 63

RIN 2060-AG21

[FRL-5950-1]

Amendments for Testing and Monitoring Provisions: Citation of ASTM Test Methods

**AGENCY:** Environmental Protection Agency (EPA).

**ACTION:** Supplementary proposal with request for comments.

**SUMMARY:** This action solicits public comments on EPA's interim position on citing American Society for Testing and Materials (ASTM) methods in 40 CFR Parts 60, 61, and 63. On August 27, 1997, "Amendments for Testing and Monitoring Provisions" was proposed in the Federal Register (62 FR 45369). In the proposal, ASTM methods cited in the subparts were amended to include all updated versions that apply. The EPA is now considering listing only the latest version of the methods instead of all individual versions. The intended effect of this action is to make the public aware of this interim position and to solicit comments from parties affected by this new listing of the methods.

DATES: Comments. Comments on this supplementary proposal must be received on or before March 16, 1998. This comment period does not apply to comments on the original amendments that were proposed on August 27, 1997. The comments for these amendments were due by October 27, 1997; however, the comment period was reopened for an additional 45 days to make comments due by January 5, 1998. The comment period for today's proposal is specific for comments solicited in this document.

ADDRESSES: Comments. Comments should be submitted (in duplicate, if possible) to: Air and Radiation Docket and Information Center (6102), Attention Docket No. A–97–12 (see docket section below), room M–1500, U.S. Environmental Protection Agency, 401 M Street, S.W., Washington, D.C. 20460. The Agency requests that a separate copy also be sent to the person listed in the FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT section below.

Docket. Docket No. A–97–12, containing materials relevant to this rulemaking, is available for public inspection and copying between 8:00 a.m. and 5:30 p.m., Monday through Friday, except for Federal holidays, at the EPA's Air and Radiation Docket and Information Center, Room M–1500, U.S. Environmental Protection Agency, 401 M Street, S.W., Washington, DC 20460; telephone (202) 260–7548. A reasonable fee may be charged for copying.

Foston Curtis, Emission Measurement Center (MD–19), Emissions, Monitoring, and Analysis Division, U.S. Environmental Protection Agency, Research Triangle Park, North Carolina 27711, telephone number (919) 541–1063 or at fax number (919) 541–1039.

SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION: The proposal of "Amendments for Testing and Monitoring Provisions" on August 27, 1997 updated the citations of ASTM methods in 40 CFR Parts 60, 61, and 63 by including the revised ASTM methods that have come into existence since the subpart was promulgated. This was done to remove the uncertainty that users of the methods were having over the acceptability of newer redesignated methods that were not cited. The ASTM normally reviews its methods periodically to determine if updates or revisions are needed. Changes are usually done to reflect improved procedures or practice, and these technical enhancements are in keeping with EPA's interest in using the best scientific information. Whenever the methods are updated or revised, the year associated with the method number is changed to reflect the time of this revision. Although the proposal listed multiple dates for each method, EPA is now considering listing only the latest version and not previous ones. This will enable the Agency to comply with the trend other Federal agencies are following with these methods. To remove the uncertainty over which previous methods will then be acceptable, the preamble to the final rule will note that the unlisted versions that were previously listed will be acceptable for future use. We have considered the impact of this change on affected parties and do not anticipate any adverse effects. The EPA is soliciting public comments on this move to list only the latest versions of the ASTM methods.

#### List of Subjects

40 CFR Part 60

Environmental protection, New sources, Test methods and procedures, Performance specifications, Continuous emission monitors.

40 CFR Part 61

Environmental protection, Test methods and procedures.

40 CFR Part 63

Environmental protection, Hazardous air pollutants, Test methods and procedures.

Dated: January 7, 1998.

#### Robert Brenner,

Acting Assistant Administrator for Air and Radiation.

[FR Doc. 98–933 Filed 1–13–98; 8:45 am] BILLING CODE 6560–50–M

# ENVIRONMENTAL PROTECTION AGENCY

40 CFR Part 62

[UT001-0010b and UT001-0011b; FRL-5948-8]

Approval and Promulgation of State Plans for Designated Facilities and Pollutants; Utah; Control of Landfill Gas Emissions From Existing Municipal Solid Waste Landfills

**AGENCY:** Environmental Protection Agency (EPA).

ACTION: Proposed rule.

**SUMMARY:** The EPA is proposing to approve the Utah plan for implementing the Municipal Solid Waste (MSW) Landfill Emission Guidelines at 40 CFR part 60, subpart Cc, which was required pursuant to section 111(d) of the Clean Air Act (Act). The State's plan, which

was originally submitted to EPA on April 2, 1997 with revisions to the plan submitted on October 31, 1997, establishes performance standards for existing MSW landfills and provides for the implementation and enforcement of those standards.

In the final rules section of this **Federal Register**, the EPA is approving the State's submittal in a direct final rule without prior proposal because the Agency views this as a noncontroversial action and anticipates no adverse comments. A detailed rationale for the approval is set forth in the direct final rule. If no adverse comments are received in response to this proposed rule, no further activity is contemplated and the direct final rule will become effective. If EPA receives adverse comments, the direct final rule will be withdrawn and all public comments received will be addressed in a subsequent final rule based on this proposed rule. EPA will not institute a second comment period on this proposed rule. Any parties interested in commenting on this proposed rule should do so at this time.

**DATES:** Comments on this proposed rule must be received in writing by February 13, 1998.

ADDRESSES: Written comments on this action should be addressed to Vicki Stamper, 8P2–A, at the EPA Region VIII Office listed. Copies of the documents relevant to this proposed rule are available for public inspection during normal business hours at the following locations: Air Program, Environmental Protection Agency, Region VIII, 999 18th Street, Suite 500, Denver, Colorado 80202–2466; and the Division of Air Quality, Utah Department of Environmental Quality, 150 North 1950 West, P.O. Box 144820, Salt Lake City, Utah 84114–4820.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT: Vicki Stamper, EPA Region VIII, (303) 312–6445.

**SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION:** See the information provided in the direct final action which is located in the rules section of this **Federal Register**.

Dated: December 30, 1997.

### Patricia D. Hull,

Acting Regional Administrator, Region VIII. [FR Doc. 98–938 Filed 1–13–98; 8:45 am] BILLING CODE 6560–50–P

#### **DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE**

National Oceanic and Atmospheric Administration

50 CFR Part 660

[I.D. 122397J]

RIN 0648-AK23

Fisheries off West Coast States and in the Western Pacific; Precious Corals Fisheries; Draft Amendment 3

**AGENCY:** National Marine Fisheries Service (NMFS), National Oceanic and Atmospheric Administration (NOAA), Commerce.

**ACTION:** Notice of availability of an amendment to a fishery management plan; request for comments.

**SUMMARY:** The Western Pacific Fishery Management Council (Council) is seeking comments on Draft Amendment 3 to the Fishery Management Plan for the Precious Corals Fisheries of the Western Pacific Region (FMP).

**DATES:** Comments on Draft Amendment 3 must be received by the Council office no later than March 2, 1998.

ADDRESSES: Written comments should be sent to, and copies of Draft Amendment 3 are available from, the Western Pacific Fishery Management Council, 1164 Bishop St., Suite 1400, Honolulu, HI 96813; 808–522–8220.

**FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT:** Kitty M. Simonds, Executive Director; 808–522–8220.

SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION: The Council is seeking comments on Draft Amendment 3 to the Precious Corals FMP. Draft Amendment 3 would establish framework procedures for regulatory changes under the FMP. Under the framework procedures, new management measures may be added through rulemaking if new information demonstrates that there are biological, social, or economic concerns in the precious coral permit areas. The framework procedures would authorize the implementation of measures that may affect the fishing season, classification of coral beds, harvest quotas for all management unit species, size restrictions, gear restrictions, area restrictions, incidental catches and permit conditions. Each action taken under the framework processes would entail documentation of the analysis of impacts of that action. To the extent appropriate, the Council would prepare regulations, regulatory analyses, environmental assessments, or other documents depending on the scope of the action, which framework process is being used, and the types and