12612, it is determined that this proposal would not have sufficient federalism implications to warrant the preparation of a Federalism Assessment.

For the reasons discussed above, I certify that this proposed regulation (1) is not a "significant regulatory action" under Executive Order 12866; (2) is not a "significant rule" under the DOT Regulatory Policies and Procedures (44 FR 11034, February 26, 1979); and (3) if promulgated, will not have a significant economic impact, positive or negative, on a substantial number of small entities under the criteria of the Regulatory Flexibility Act. A copy of the draft regulatory evaluation prepared for this action is contained in the Rules Docket. A copy of it may be obtained by contacting the Rules Docket at the location provided under the caption ADDRESSES.

## List of Subjects in 14 CFR Part 39

Air transportation, Aircraft, Aviation safety, Safety.

#### The Proposed Amendment

Accordingly, pursuant to the authority delegated to me by the Administrator, the Federal Aviation Administration proposes to amend part 39 of the Federal Aviation Regulations (14 CFR part 39) as follows:

# PART 39—AIRWORTHINESS DIRECTIVES

1. The authority citation for part 39 continues to read as follows:

Authority: 49 U.S.C. 106(g), 40113, 44701.

## § 39.13 [Amended]

2. Section 39.13 is amended by adding the following new airworthiness directive:

De Havilland, Inc.: Docket 97-NM-336-AD.

Applicability: Model DHC-8–100, –200, and –300 series airplanes on which Bombardier Modification 8/2376 was not accomplished during production; serial numbers 003 through 294 inclusive, and 296 through 433 inclusive; certificated in any category.

Note 1: This AD applies to each airplane identified in the preceding applicability provision, regardless of whether it has been otherwise modified, altered, or repaired in the area subject to the requirements of this AD. For airplanes that have been modified, altered, or repaired so that the performance of the requirements of this AD is affected, the owner/operator must request approval for an alternative method of compliance in accordance with paragraph (c) of this AD. The request should include an assessment of the effect of the modification, alteration, or repair on the unsafe condition addressed by this AD; and, if the unsafe condition has not been eliminated, the request should include specific proposed actions to address it.

*Compliance:* Required as indicated, unless accomplished previously.

To prevent uncommanded disconnects of the roll control system, which could result in a limited degree of roll control and consequent reduced controllability of the airplane; accomplish the following:

(a) Within 3 months after the effective date of this AD, modify the lever assembly of the roll disconnect system, in accordance with Bombardier Service Bulletin 8–27–79, Revision 'A', dated March 20, 1998.

(b) As of the effective date of this AD, no person shall install on the roll disconnect system of any airplane a lever assembly having part number 82710200–001.

(c) An alternative method of compliance or adjustment of the compliance time that provides an acceptable level of safety may be used if approved by the Manager, New York Aircraft Certification Office (ACO), FAA, Engine and Propeller Directorate. Operators shall submit their requests through an appropriate FAA Principal Maintenance Inspector, who may add comments and then send it to the Manager, New York ACO.

**Note 2:** Information concerning the existence of approved alternative methods of compliance with this AD, if any, may be obtained from the New York ACO.

(d) Special flight permits may be issued in accordance with §§ 21.197 and 21.199 of the Federal Aviation Regulations (14 CFR 21.197 and 21.199) to operate the airplane to a location where the requirements of this AD can be accomplished.

**Note 3:** The subject of this AD is addressed in Canadian airworthiness directive CF-98-04, dated February 27, 1998.

Issued in Renton, Washington, on April 21, 1998.

#### Darrell M. Pederson,

Acting Manager, Transport Airplane Directorate, Aircraft Certification Service. [FR Doc. 98–11093 Filed 4–24–98; 8:45 am] BILLING CODE 4910–13–U

## **DEPARTMENT OF TRANSPORTATION**

## **Federal Aviation Administration**

14 CFR Part 39

[Docket No. 98-NM-16-AD]

RIN 2120-AA64

## Airworthiness Directives; Fokker Model F.28 Mark 1000, 2000, 3000, and 4000 Series Airplanes

**AGENCY:** Federal Aviation Administration, DOT.

**ACTION:** Notice of proposed rulemaking

(NPRM).

**SUMMARY:** This document proposes the supersedure of an existing airworthiness directive (AD), applicable to certain Fokker Model F.28 Mark 1000, 2000, 3000, and 4000 series airplanes, that currently requires an inspection to detect free movement of the actuator

servo-valve sub-assembly of the horizontal stabilizer actuator, and replacement, if necessary. This action would add a one-time inspection to determine the residual strength of the servo-valve sub-assembly of the horizontal stabilizer actuator, and replacement of the actuator with a new or serviceable actuator, if necessary; and eventual replacement of the horizontal stabilizer actuator with an improved actuator. This proposal is prompted by issuance of mandatory continuing airworthiness information by a foreign civil airworthiness authority. The actions specified by the proposed AD are intended to prevent uncommanded trimming or failure of the trim system of the horizontal stabilizer, and consequent reduced controllability of the airplane.

**DATES:** Comments must be received by May 27, 1998.

ADDRESSES: Submit comments in triplicate to the Federal Aviation Administration (FAA), Transport Airplane Directorate, ANM-114, Attention: Rules Docket No. 98-NM-16-AD, 1601 Lind Avenue, SW., Renton, Washington 98055-4056. Comments may be inspected at this location between 9:00 a.m. and 3:00 p.m., Monday through Friday, except Federal holidays.

The service information referenced in the proposed rule may be obtained from Fokker Services B.V., Technical Support Department, P.O. Box 75047, 1117 ZN Schiphol Airport, the Netherlands. This information may be examined at the FAA, Transport Airplane Directorate, 1601 Lind Avenue, SW., Renton, Washington.

## FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT:

Norman B. Martenson, Manager, International Branch, ANM-116, FAA, Transport Airplane Directorate, 1601 Lind Avenue, SW., Renton, Washington 98055-4056; telephone (425) 227-2110; fax (425) 227-1149.

#### SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION:

#### **Comments Invited**

Interested persons are invited to participate in the making of the proposed rule by submitting such written data, views, or arguments as they may desire. Communications shall identify the Rules Docket number and be submitted in triplicate to the address specified above. All communications received on or before the closing date for comments, specified above, will be considered before taking action on the proposed rule. The proposals contained in this notice may be changed in light of the comments received.

Comments are specifically invited on the overall regulatory, economic, environmental, and energy aspects of the proposed rule. All comments submitted will be available, both before and after the closing date for comments, in the Rules Docket for examination by interested persons. A report summarizing each FAA-public contact concerned with the substance of this proposal will be filed in the Rules Docket.

Commenters wishing the FAA to acknowledge receipt of their comments submitted in response to this notice must submit a self-addressed, stamped postcard on which the following statement is made: "Comments to Docket Number 98–NM–16–AD." The postcard will be date stamped and returned to the commenter.

#### Availability of NPRMs

Any person may obtain a copy of this NPRM by submitting a request to the FAA, Transport Airplane Directorate, ANM-114, Attention: Rules Docket No. 98-NM-16-AD, 1601 Lind Avenue, SW., Renton, Washington 98055-4056.

#### Discussion

On August 7, 1992, the FAA issued AD 92-18-04, amendment 39-8348 (57 FR 38432, August 25, 1992), applicable to certain Fokker Model F.28 Mark 1000, 2000, 3000, and 4000 series airplanes, to require an inspection to detect free movement of the actuator servo-valve sub-assembly of the horizontal stabilizer actuator, and replacement, if necessary. That action was prompted by a report of a horizontal stabilizer malfunction and subsequent uncommanded stabilizer movement caused by a broken spool in the actuator servo-valve assembly of the horizontal stabilizer control unit. The requirements of that AD are intended to prevent uncommanded trimming or failure of the trim system of the horizontal stabilizer.

## **Actions Since Issuance of Previous Rule**

Since the issuance of that AD, the manufacturer and the Rijksluchtvaartdienst (RLD), which is the airworthiness authority for the Netherlands, have determined that currently installed servo-valve subassemblies of the horizontal stabilizer may have suffered damage as a result of excessive control forces experienced during past heavy operation. This damage could result in a dormant failure of the actuator servo-valve assembly of the horizontal stabilizer control unit. This condition, if not corrected, could lead to an uncommanded nose-up trimming

condition in the event of a horizontal stabilizer servo-valve failure, and consequent reduced controllability of the airplane.

# **Explanation of Relevant Service Information**

Fokker has issued Service Bulletin F28/27-183, dated November 21, 1994, which describes procedures for a onetime inspection to determine the residual strength of the servo-valve subassembly of the horizontal stabilizer actuator, and replacement of the actuator with a new or serviceable actuator, if necessary. The service bulletin also describes procedures for replacement of the horizontal stabilizer actuator with an improved actuator that incorporates a revised servo-valve creep rate. This replacement is intended to ensure that a failure of the horizontal stabilizer actuator would result in a nose-down trim position. The RLD classified this service bulletin as mandatory and issued Dutch airworthiness directive 1992–077/2(A), dated January 31, 1995, in order to assure the continued airworthiness of these airplanes in the Netherlands.

#### **FAA's Conclusions**

These airplane models are manufactured in the Netherlands and are type certificated for operation in the United States under the provisions of § 21.29 of the Federal Aviation Regulations (14 CFR 21.29) and the applicable bilateral airworthiness agreement. Pursuant to this bilateral airworthiness agreement, the RLD has kept the FAA informed of the situation described above. The FAA has examined the findings of the RLD, reviewed all available information, and determined that AD action is necessary for products of this type design that are certificated for operation in the United

# **Explanation of Requirements of Proposed Rule**

Since an unsafe condition has been identified that is likely to exist or develop on other airplanes of the same type design registered in the United States, the proposed AD would supersede AD 92-18-04 to continue to require an inspection to detect free movement of the actuator servo-valve sub-assembly of the horizontal stabilizer actuator, and replacement, if necessary. The proposed AD also would require a one-time inspection to determine the residual strength of the servo-valve subassembly of the horizontal stabilizer actuator, and replacement of the actuator with a new or serviceable actuator, if necessary; and eventual

replacement of the horizontal stabilizer actuator with an improved actuator. The actions would be required to be accomplished in accordance with the service bulletin described previously.

#### **Cost Impact**

There are approximately 27 airplanes of U.S. registry that would be affected by this proposed AD.

The inspection that is currently required by AD 92–18–04 would take approximately 1 work hour per airplane to accomplish, at an average labor rate of \$60 per work hour. Based on these figures, the cost impact of the currently required actions on U.S. operators is estimated to be \$1,620, or \$60 per airplane.

The inspection that is proposed in this new AD action would take approximately 2 work hours per airplane to accomplish, at an average labor rate of \$60 per work hour. Based on these figures, the cost impact of the proposed inspection of this AD on U.S. operators is estimated to be \$3,240, or \$120 per airplane.

The replacement proposed in this new AD action would take approximately 8 work hours per airplane to accomplish, at an average labor rate of \$60 per work hour. Required parts would be provided by the manufacturer at no cost to the operator. Based on these figures, the cost impact of the proposed replacement of this AD on U.S. operators is estimated to be \$12,960, or \$480 per airplane.

The cost impact figures discussed above are based on assumptions that no operator has yet accomplished any of the current or proposed requirements of this AD action, and that no operator would accomplish those actions in the future if this AD were not adopted.

#### **Regulatory Impact**

The regulations proposed herein would not have substantial direct effects on the States, on the relationship between the national government and the States, or on the distribution of power and responsibilities among the various levels of government. Therefore, in accordance with Executive Order 12612, it is determined that this proposal would not have sufficient federalism implications to warrant the preparation of a Federalism Assessment.

For the reasons discussed above, I certify that this proposed regulation (1) is not a "significant regulatory action" under Executive Order 12866; (2) is not a "significant rule" under the DOT Regulatory Policies and Procedures (44 FR 11034, February 26, 1979); and (3) if promulgated, will not have a significant economic impact, positive or negative,

on a substantial number of small entities under the criteria of the Regulatory Flexibility Act. A copy of the draft regulatory evaluation prepared for this action is contained in the Rules Docket. A copy of it may be obtained by contacting the Rules Docket at the location provided under the caption ADDRESSES.

#### List of Subjects in 14 CFR Part 39

Air transportation, Aircraft, Aviation safety, Safety.

#### **The Proposed Amendment**

Accordingly, pursuant to the authority delegated to me by the Administrator, the Federal Aviation Administration proposes to amend part 39 of the Federal Aviation Regulations (14 CFR part 39) as follows:

# PART 39—AIRWORTHINESS DIRECTIVES

1. The authority citation for part 39 continues to read as follows:

Authority: 49 U.S.C. 106(g), 40113, 44701.

#### § 39.13 [Amended]

2. Section 39.13 is amended by removing amendment 39–8348 (57 FR 38432, August 25, 1992), and by adding a new airworthiness directive (AD), to read as follows:

**Fokker:** Docket 98–NM–16-AD. Supersedes AD 92–18–04, Amendment 39–8348.

Applicability: Model F.28 Mark 1000, 2000, 3000, and 4000 series airplanes; equipped with Menasco horizontal stabilizer actuators having part number (P/N) 11100-( ); certificated in any category.

Note 1: This AD applies to each airplane identified in the preceding applicability provision, regardless of whether it has been modified, altered, or repaired in the area subject to the requirements of this AD. For airplanes that have been modified, altered, or repaired so that the performance of the requirements of this AD is affected, the owner/operator must request approval for an alternative method of compliance in accordance with paragraph (e) of this AD. The request should include an assessment of the effect of the modification, alteration, or repair on the unsafe condition addressed by this AD; and, if the unsafe condition has not been eliminated, the request should include specific proposed actions to address it.

Compliance: Required as indicated, unless accomplished previously.

To prevent uncommanded trimming or failure of the trim system of the horizontal stabilizer, and consequent reduced controllability of the airplane, accomplish the following:

(a) Within 20 days after September 9, 1992 (the effective date of AD 92–18–04, amendment 39–8348), perform an inspection of the servo-valve sub-assembly rod-end bearing and servo-valve sub-assembly for movement, in accordance with Fokker

Service Bulletin F28/27–180, dated July 3, 1992.

(1) If the servo-valve sub-assembly rod-end bearing and servo-valve sub-assembly move freely within the load limits specified in the service bulletin, reassemble and conduct a functional test, in accordance with the service bulletin.

(2) If the servo-valve sub-assembly rod-end bearing or servo-valve sub-assembly require higher loads for movement than specified in the service bulletin, prior to further flight, remove and replace the horizontal stabilizer control unit with a serviceable control unit that has been inspected and found to be within the load limits of the service bulletin, or that has been inspected and repaired in accordance with Chapter 27–42–4 of the Menasco Overhaul Manual (OHM), as revised by Temporary Revision Number 3, dated July 10, 1992.

(b) Within 6 months after the effective date of this AD, perform a one-time inspection to determine the residual strength of the servovalve sub-assembly of the horizontal stabilizer actuator, in accordance with Part 1 of the Accomplishment Instructions of Fokker Service Bulletin F28/27–183, dated November 21, 1994. If any discrepancy is found, prior to further flight, replace the actuator with a new or serviceable actuator in accordance with the service bulletin.

(c) Within 3 years after the effective date of this AD, replace the horizontal stabilizer actuator with an actuator that has been modified and re-marked in accordance with Part 2 of the Accomplishment Instructions of Fokker Service Bulletin F28/27–183, dated November 21, 1994.

(d) As of the effective date of this AD, no person shall install a horizontal stabilizer control unit on any airplane, unless the horizontal stabilizer actuator has been modified and re-marked in accordance with Part 2 of the Accomplishment Instructions of Fokker Service Bulletin F28/27–183, dated November 21, 1994.

(e) An alternative method of compliance or adjustment of the compliance time that provides an acceptable level of safety may be used if approved by the Manager, International Branch, ANM–116, FAA, Transport Airplane Directorate. Operators shall submit their requests through an appropriate FAA Principal Maintenance Inspector, who may add comments and then send it to the Manager, International Branch, ANM–116.

**Note 2:** Information concerning the existence of approved alternative methods of compliance with this AD, if any, may be obtained from the International Branch, ANM–116.

(f) Special flight permits may be issued in accordance with sections 21.197 and 21.199 of the Federal Aviation Regulations (14 CFR 21.197 and 21.199) to operate the airplane to a location where the requirements of this AD can be accomplished.

**Note 3:** The subject of this AD is addressed in Dutch airworthiness directive 1992–007/2(A), dated January 31, 1995.

Issued in Renton, Washington, on April 21, 1998.

#### Darrell M. Pederson,

Acting Manager, Transport Airplane Directorate, Aircraft Certification Service. [FR Doc. 98–11092 Filed 4–24–98; 8:45 am] BILLING CODE 4910–13–U

#### **DEPARTMENT OF TRANSPORTATION**

#### **Federal Aviation Administration**

#### 14 CFR Part 39

[Docket No. 97-NM-302-AD]

RIN 2120-AA64

## Airworthiness Directives; Gulfstream Aerospace Corporation Model G-159 (G-I) Airplanes

**AGENCY:** Federal Aviation Administration. DOT.

**ACTION:** Notice of proposed rulemaking (NPRM).

**SUMMARY:** This document proposes the adoption of a new airworthiness directive (AD) that is applicable to all Gulfstream Aerospace Corporation Model G-159 (G-I) airplanes. This proposal would require revising the Airplane Flight Manual (AFM) to modify the limitation that prohibits positioning the power levers below the flight idle stop during flight, and to provide a statement of the consequences of positioning the power levers below the flight idle stop during flight. This proposal is prompted by incidents and accidents involving airplanes equipped with turboprop engines in which the ground propeller beta range was used improperly during flight. The actions specified by the proposed AD are intended to prevent loss of airplane controllability, or engine overspeed and consequent loss of engine power caused by the power levers being positioned below the flight idle stop while the airplane is in flight.

**DATES:** Comments must be received by May 27, 1998.

ADDRESSES: Submit comments in triplicate to the Federal Aviation Administration (FAA), Transport Airplane Directorate, ANM–114, Attention: Rules Docket No. 97–NM–302–AD, 1601 Lind Avenue, SW., Renton, Washington 98055–4056. Comments may be inspected at this location between 9:00 a.m. and 3:00 p.m., Monday through Friday, except Federal holidays.

This information may be examined at the FAA, Transport Airplane Directorate, 1601 Lind Avenue, SW., Renton, Washington; or at the FAA,