

INTERNATIONAL DEVELOPMENT COOPERATION AGENCY

Overseas Private Investment Corporation

Agency Report Form Under OMB Review

AGENCY: Overseas Private Investment Corporation, IDCA.

ACTION: Request for comments.

SUMMARY: Under the provisions of the Paperwork Reduction Act (44 U.S.C. Chapter 35), agencies are required to publish a Notice in the **Federal Register** notifying the public that the Agency is preparing an information collection request for OMB review and approval and to request public review and comment on the submission. Comments are being solicited on the need for the information, its practical utility, the accuracy of the Agency's burden estimate, and on ways to minimize the reporting burden, including automated collection techniques and uses of other forms of technology. The proposed form under review is summarized below.

DATES: Comments must be received on or before June 22, 1998.

ADDRESSES: Copies of the subject form and the request for review prepared for submission to OMB may be obtained from the Agency Submitting Officer. Comments on the form should be submitted to the Agency Submitting Officer.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT:

OPIC Agency Submitting Officer: Carol Brock, Records Manager, Overseas Private Investment Corporation, 1100 New York Avenue, N.W., Washington, D.C. 20527; 202/336-8563.

SUMMARY OF FORM UNDER REVIEW:

Type of Request: Revision.

Title: Request for Registration for Political Risk Investment Insurance.

Form Number: OPIC 50.

Frequency of Use: Once per investor per project.

Type of Respondents: Business or other institutions.

Standard Industrial Classification Codes: All.

Description of Affected Public: U.S. Companies investing overseas.

Reporting Hours: 1/2 hour per project.

Number of Responses: 850 per year.

Federal Cost: \$1060 per year.

Authority for Information Collection: Sections 231 and 234(a) of the Foreign Assistance Act of 1961, as amended.

Abstract (Needs and Uses): OPIC 50 is submitted by eligible investors to register their intent to make international investments, and ultimately, to seek OPIC insurance. By

submitting Form 50 to OPIC prior to making an irrevocable commitment, the incentive effect of OPIC is demonstrated.

Dated: April 17, 1998.

James R. Offutt,

Assistant General Counsel, Department of Legal Affairs.

[FR Doc. 98-10683 Filed 4-21-98; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 3210-01-M

INTERNATIONAL TRADE COMMISSION

[Inv. No. 337-TA-393]

Certain Ion Trap Mass Spectrometers and Components Thereof; Notice of Final Commission Determination of No Violation of Section 337 of the Tariff Act of 1930

AGENCY: U.S. International Trade Commission.

ACTION: Notice.

SUMMARY: Notice is hereby given that the U.S. International Trade Commission has made a final determination of no violation of section 337 of the Tariff Act of 1930, as amended, in the above-captioned investigation.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT: Carl P. Bretscher, Esq., Office of the General Counsel, U.S. International Trade Commission, 500 E Street, S.W., Washington, D.C. 20436, telephone (202) 205-3107.

SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION: The authority for the Commission's determination is contained in section 337 of the Tariff Act of 1930, as amended (19 U.S.C. 1337), and in section 210.43 of the Commission's Rules of Practice and Procedure (19 CFR 210.43).

The Commission instituted this investigation on February 20, 1997, based on a complaint by Finnigan Corporation ("Finnigan") of San Jose, California. The complaint named three respondents—Bruker-Franzen Analytik GmbH of Bremen, Germany; Bruker Instruments, Inc. of Billerica, Massachusetts; and Hewlett-Packard Company of Palo Alto, California. After issuance of the administrative law judge's ("ALJ's") final initial determination ("ID"), the ALJ and the parties entered into a joint stipulation that the activities complained of were performed by Bruker Analytical Systems, Inc., rather than Bruker Instruments, Inc.

In its complaint, Finnigan alleged that respondents violated section 337 by importing into the United States, selling

for importation, and/or selling in the United States after importation ion trap mass spectrometers that infringe one or more of claims 1-20 of Finnigan's U.S. Letters Patent 4,540,884 (the "'884 patent") and claims 1, 12-19 of Finnigan's U.S. Reissue Patent No. 34,000 (the "'000 patent"). Finnigan did not pursue all of those claims at trial, however, but argued only that respondents infringed one or more of claims 1-4, 8, 12, 14, and 17 of the '884 patent and claims 1, 15, 17, 18 of the '000 patent.

The presiding ALJ held an evidentiary hearing from October 20 to October 31, 1997. On February 25, 1998, the ALJ issued his final ID, in which he concluded that there was no violation of section 337, based on the following findings: (a) Claims 1-4 and 8 of the '884 patent are invalid as anticipated by the prior art; (b) claims 12, 14, and 17 of the '884 patent are invalid as obvious over the prior art; (c) claims 1-4, 8, 12, 14, and 17 of the '884 patent are neither infringed by respondents' accused devices nor practiced by Finnigan; (d) claims 15, 17, and 18 of the '000 patent are invalid as anticipated; (e) claims 1, 15, 17, and 18 of the '000 patent are invalid as obvious; (f) the claims of the '000 patent are invalid due to the inventors' failure to disclose the best mode of practicing their invention; (g) claim 1 of the '000 patent is neither infringed by respondents' accused devices nor practiced by Finnigan; and (h) claims 15, 17, and 18 of the '000 patent, if valid, would be infringed by the accused devices and are practiced by Finnigan, which is sufficient to satisfy the domestic industry requirement of section 337.

On March 9, 1998, Finnigan filed a petition for review of the ID, arguing that the ALJ erred in his adverse findings relating to claim construction, validity, and infringement. Finnigan also filed a request for oral argument, in the event the Commission orders review of the ID. No petitions for review were filed by either respondents or the IA. Respondents and the IA filed responses in opposition to Finnigan's petition on March 16, 1998.

On March 13, 1998, the ALJ issued his Recommended Determination on Remedy and Bonding ("RD"), in the event the Commission were to conclude there is a violation of section 337. The parties filed their responses to the RD on or about March 25, 1998.

Having reviewed the record in this investigation, including the parties' written submissions, the Commission determined to take no position on the ALJ's findings that claims 12, 14, and 17 of the '884 patent and claim 15 of the

'000 patent are invalid as obvious. The Commission determined not to review the remainder of the ID and thereby adopted the ID, with the exceptions noted herein, as its final determination. In light of these determinations, Finnigan's request for oral argument was denied as moot.

Copies of the ALJ's ID and all other nonconfidential documents filed in connection with this investigation are or will be available for inspection during official business hours (8:45 a.m. to 5:15 p.m.) in the Office of the Secretary, U.S. International Trade Commission, 500 E Street, S.W., Washington, D.C. 20436, telephone 202-205-2000. Hearing-impaired persons are advised that information on this matter can be obtained by contacting the Commission's TDD terminal on 202-205-1810. General information concerning the Commission may also be obtained by accessing its Internet server (<http://www.usitc.gov>).

Issued: April 13, 1998.

By order of the Commission.

Donna R. Koehnke,
Secretary.

[FR Doc. 98-10671 Filed 4-21-98; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 7020-02-P

DEPARTMENT OF JUSTICE

Office of Community Oriented Policing Services; Agency Information Collection Activities: Proposed Collection; Comment Request

ACTION: Notice of information collection under review; COPS visiting fellowship program application form.

The proposed information collection is published to obtain comments from the public and affected agencies. The COPS Office, on behalf of the Department of Justice has submitted the following information request utilizing emergency review procedures, to OMB for review and clearance accordance with section 1320.13(a)(1)(ii) and (a)(2)(iii) of the Paperwork Reduction Act of 1995. The COPS Office has determined that it cannot reasonably comply with the normal clearance procedures under this Part of the Act because normal clearance procedures are reasonably likely to prevent or disrupt the collection of the information. Therefore, OMB emergency approval has been requested by May 11, 1998.

If granted the emergency approval is only valid for 180 days. All comments and questions pertaining to this pending request for emergency approval must be directed to OMB, Office of Information

and Regulatory Affairs, Attention: Department of Justice Desk Officer, Mr. Dennis Marvich, Washington, D.C. 20530. Comments regarding the emergency submission of this information collection may also be submitted to OMB via facsimile at (202) 395-7285. During the first 60 days of this same review period, a regular review of this information collection is also being undertaken. All comments and suggestions, or questions regarding additional information, to include obtaining a copy of the proposed information collection instrument with instructions should be directed to: Department of Justice, Office of Community Oriented Policing Services, 1100 Vermont Avenue, NW, Washington, D.C. 20530. Your comments should address one or more of the following four points:

(1) Evaluate whether the proposed collection of information is necessary for the proper performance of the functions of the agency, including whether the information will have practical utility;

(2) Evaluate the accuracy of the agency's estimate of the burden of the proposed collection of information used;

(3) Enhance the quality, utility, and clarity of the information to be collected; and

(4) Minimize the burden of the collection of information on those who are to respond, including through the use of appropriate automated, electronic, mechanical, or other technological collection techniques or other forms of information technology, e.g., permitting electronic submission of responses.

If you have additional comments, suggestions, or need a copy of the proposed information collection instrument with instructions, or additional information, please contact Ms. Stacy Curtis 202-633-1297, U.S. Department of Justice, Office of Community Oriented Policing Services, 1100 Vermont Avenue, NW., Washington, D.C. 20530.

Additionally, comments and/or suggestions regarding the item(s) contained in this notice, especially regarding the estimated public burden and associated response time should be submitted to Stacy Curtis, Social Science Analyst, Office of Community Oriented Policing Services, 1100 Vermont Avenue, N.W., Washington, D.C. 20530, or via facsimile at (202) 616-5998.

Overview of this information collection:

(1) *Type of Collection:* New collection.

(2) *Title of the Form/Collection:* COPS Visiting Fellowship Program Application Form.

(3) *Agency form number, if any, and the applicable component of the Department of Justice sponsoring the collection:* Form: COPS 26/01. Office of Community Oriented Policing Services, U.S. Department of Justice.

(4) *Affected public who will be asked or required to respond, as well as a brief abstract:* Applicants interested in contributing to the use and enhancement of community policing to address crime and related problems in communities across the country. Applicants may include individuals, public agencies, colleges or universities, nonprofit organizations, and profit-making organizations willing to waive their fees.

The COPS Visiting Fellowship Program is intended to offer researchers, law enforcement professionals and legal experts an opportunity to undertake independent research, program development activities and policy analysis designed to (1) improve police-citizen cooperation and communication; (2) to enhance police relationships within the criminal justice system, as well as at all levels of local government; (3) to increase police and citizens' ability to innovatively solve community problems; (4) to facilitate the restructuring of agencies to allow the fullest use of departmental and community resources; (5) to promote the effective flow and use of information both within and outside an agency; and (6) to improve law enforcement responsiveness to members of the community. Visiting fellows study a topic of mutual interest to the Fellow and the COPS Office for up to 12 months. While in residence with the COPS Office, Fellows contribute to the development of community policing programs that are national in scope.

(5) *An estimate of the total number of respondents and the amount of time estimated for an average respondent to respond:* COPS Visiting Fellowship Program Application Form: Approximately 15 respondents, at 22 hours per respondent (including record-keeping).

(6) *An estimate of the total public burden (in hours) associated with the collection:* Approximately 330 hours.

If additional information is required contact: Mr. Robert B. Briggs, Clearance Officer, United States Department of Justice, Information Management and Security Staff, Justice Management Division, Suite 850, Washington Center, 1001 G Street, NW, Washington, DC 20530.