## NUCLEAR REGULATORY COMMISSION

[Docket 70-7002]

Notice of Amendment to Certificate of Compliance GDP-2 for the U.S. Enrichment Corporation, Portsmouth Gaseous Diffusion Plant, Portsmouth, OH

The Commission made a determination that the following amendment request is significant in accordance with 10 CFR 76.45. Notice of Receipt of Amendment Request appeared in the **Federal Register** on January 5, 1998, allowing a 30-day public comment period on the application. No public comments were received.

The Nuclear Regulatory Commission (NRC) staff has reviewed the certificate amendment application and concluded that it provides reasonable assurance of adequate safety, safeguards, and security, and compliance with NRC requirements. Therefore, the Director, Office of Nuclear Material Safety and Safeguards, is prepared to issue an amendment to the Certificate of Compliance for the Portsmouth Gaseous Diffusion Plant. The staff has prepared a Compliance Evaluation Report which provides details of the staff's evaluation.

The Commission has determined that this amendment satisfies the criteria for a categorical exclusion in accordance with 10 CFR 51.22. Therefore, pursuant to 10 CFR 51.22(b), no environmental impact statement or environmental assessment need be prepared for this amendment.

United States Enrichment Corporation (USEC) or any person whose interest may be affected, and who submitted written comments in response to the Notice on the amendment application, under § 76.37, may file a petition, not exceeding 30 pages, requesting review of the Director's Decision. The petition must be filed with the Commission not later than 15 days after publication of this Federal Register Notice. A petition for review of the Director's Decision shall set forth with particularity the interest of the petitioner and how that interest may be affected by the results of the decision. The petition should specifically explain the reasons why review of the Decision should be permitted with particular reference to the following factors: (1) the interest of the petitioner; (2) how that interest may be affected by the Decision, including the reasons why the petitioner should be permitted a review of the Decision; and (3) the petitioner's areas of concern about the activity that is the subject matter of the Decision. Any person

described in this paragraph may file a response to any petition for review, not to exceed 30 pages, within 10 days after filing of the petition. If no petition is received within the designated 15-day period, the Director will issue the final amendment to the Certificate of Compliance without further delay. If a petition for review is received, the decision on the amendment application will become final in 60 days, unless the Commission grants the petition for review or otherwise acts within 60 days after publication of this **Federal Register** Notice.

A petition for review must be filed with the Secretary of the Commission, U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission, Washington, DC 20555–0001, Attention: Rulemakings and Adjudications Staff, or may be delivered to the Commission's Public Document Room, the Gelman Building, 2120 L Street, NW, Washington, DC, by the above date.

For further details with respect to the action see (1) the application for amendment and (2) the Commission's Compliance Evaluation Report. These items are available for public inspection at the Commission's Public Document Room, the Gelman Building, 2120 L Street, NW, Washington, DC, and at the Local Public Document Room.

Date of amendment request: February 25, 1997.

Brief description of amendment: On February 25, 1997, USEC submitted a request to provide for an additional identified criticality accident case for the X-333 cascade building related to the previously approved increase in assay limit to 3 percent. The operation at increased assay creates conditions where the location of an inadvertent nuclear criticality event might be closer to the X-333 Area Control Room (ACR-1), thus producing higher potential doses to personnel at that location. It should be noted that this hypothetical accident case was identified by USEC after the NRC approved USEC's initial certificate application in November 1996. It should also be noted that the increase in the X-333 assay limit was approved by the NRC as part of the initial certification of PORTS. For this hypothetical accident case, an estimate is made that personnel in ACR-1 could, under the conservative assumption that they remained in ACR-1 for 50 minutes despite the likely criticality alarm, receive a dose of 49 rem and not 0.005 rem as is currently indicated in the Accident Analysis section of the PORTS Safety Analysis Report (SAR). Based on an initial review of the amendment request, the NRC staff feels that sufficiently adequate safety controls are currently in place at PORTS to prevent

and mitigate this accident. Therefore, other than the proposed modification to the PORTS SAR, this amendment would likely not require any other changes to plant operations.

Effective date: The amendment to Certificate of Compliance GDP-2 will become effective 60 days after issuance.

Certificate of Compliance No. GDP-2: Amendment will revise the consequences of a criticality accident in the X-333 cascade building in the Safety Analysis Report.

Local Public Document Room location: Portsmouth Public Library, 1220 Gallia Street, Portsmouth, Ohio 45662

Dated at Rockville, Maryland, this 9 day of April 1998.

For the Nuclear Regulatory Commission.

#### Carl J. Paperiello,

Director, Office of Nuclear Material Safety and Safeguards.

[FR Doc. 98–10333 Filed 4–17–98; 8:45 am] BILLING CODE 7590–01–P

## SECURITIES AND EXCHANGE COMMISSION

[Rel. No. IC-23110; 812-10940]

# Lehman Brothers Inc.; Notice of Application

April 13, 1998.

**AGENCY:** Securities and Exchange Commission ("SEC").

**ACTION:** Notice of application for an order under section 12(d)(1)(J) of the Investment Company Act of 1940 (the "Act") for an exemption from section 12(d)(1) of the Act, under section 6(c) of the Act for an exemption from section 14(a) of the Act, and under section 17(b) of the Act for an exemption from section 17(a) of the Act.

**SUMMARY OF APPLICATION: Lehman** Brothers Inc. ("Lehman") requests an order with respect to PIES Trust I and future trusts that are substantially similar and for which Lehman will serve as a principal underwriter (collectively, the "Trusts") that would (i) permit other registered investment companies, and companies excepted from the definition of investment company under sections 3 (c)(1) and (c)(7) of the Act, to own a greater percentage of the total outstanding voting stock (the "Securities") of any Trust than that permitted by section 12(d)(1), (ii) exempt the Trusts from the initial net worth requirements of section 14(a), and (iii) permit the Trusts to purchase U.S. Government securities from Lehman at the time of a Trust's initial issuance of Securities.

FILING DATES: The application was filed on December 31, 1998. Applicant has agreed to file an amendment, the substance of which is incorporated in this notice, during the notice period. HEARING OR NOTIFICATION OF HEARING: An order granting the application will be issued unless the SEC orders a hearing. Interested persons may request a hearing by writing to the SEC's Secretary and serving Lehman with a copy of the request, personally or by mail. Hearing requests should be received by the SEC by 5:30 p.m. on May 7, 1998, and should be accompanied by proof of service on Lehman, in the form of an affidavit, or, for lawyers, a certificate of service. Hearing requests should state the nature of the writer's interest, the reason for the request, and the issues contested. Persons may request notification of a hearing by writing to the SEC's Secretary.

ADDRESSES: Secretary, SEC, 450 Fifth Street, N.W., Washington, D.C. 20549. Lehman, 3 World Financial Center, New York, New York 10285.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT: Brian T. Hourihan, Senior Counsel, at (202) 942–0526, or Mary Kay Frech, Branch Chief, at (202) 942–0564 (Division of Investment Management, Office of Investment Company Regulation).

SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION: The following is a summary of the application. The complete application may be obtained for a fee from the SEC's Public Reference Branch, 450 Fifth Street, N.W., Washington, D.C. 20549 (tel. (202) 942–8090).

### **Applicant's Representations**

- 1. Each Trust will be a limited-life, grantor trust registered under the Act as a non-diversified, closed-end management investment company. Lehman will serve as a principal underwriter (as defined in section 2(a)(29) of the Act) of the Securities issued to the public by each Trust.
- 2. Each Trust will, at the time of its issuance of Securities, (i) enter into one or more forward purchase contracts (the "Contracts") with a counterparty to purchase a formulaically-determined number of a specified equity security or securities (the "Shares") of one specified issuer,¹ and (ii) in some cases, purchase certain U.S. Treasury securities ("Treasuries"), which may include interest-only or principal-only securities maturing at or prior to the Trust's termination. The Trusts will

purchase the Contracts from counterparties that are not affiliated with either the relevant Trust or Lehman. The investment objective of each Trust will be to provide to each holder of Securities ("Holder") (i) current cash distributions from the proceeds of any Treasuries, and (ii) participation in, or limited exposure to, changes in the market value of the underlying Shares.

- 3. In all cases, the Shares will trade in the secondary market and the issuer of the Shares will be a reporting company under the Securities Exchange Act of 1934. The number of Shares, or the value of the Shares, that will be delivered to a Trust pursuant to the Contracts may be fixed (e.g., one Share per Security issued) or may be determined pursuant to a formula, the product of which will vary with the price of the Shares. A formula generally will result in each Holder of Securities receiving fewer Shares as the market value of the Shares increases, and more Shares as their market value decreases.2 At the termination of each Trust, each Holder will receive the number of Shares per Security, or the value of the Shares, as determined by the terms of the Contracts, that is equal to the Holder's pro rata interest in the Shares or amount received by the Trust under the Contracts.3
- Securities issued by the Trusts will be listed on a national securities exchange or traded on the Nasdaq Stock Market's National Markets. Thus, the Securities will be "national market system" securities subject to public price quotation and trade reporting requirements. After the Securities are issued, the trading price of the Securities is expected to vary from time to time based primarily upon the price of the underlying Shares, interest rates, and other factors affecting conditions and prices in the debt and equity markets. Lehman currently intends, but will not be obligated, to make a market in the Securities of each Trust.
- 5. Each Trust will be internally managed by three trustees and will not

have any separate investment adviser. The trustees will have no power to vary the investments held by each Trust. A bank qualified to serve as a trustee under the Trust Indenture Act of 1939, as amended, will act as custodian for each Trust's assets and as paying agent, registrar, transfer agent, and collateral agent with respect to the Securities of each Trust. The bank will have no other affiliation with, and will not be engaged in any other transaction with, any Trust. The day-to-day administration of each Trust will be carried out by Lehman or the bank.

6. The Trusts will be structured so that the trustees are not authorized to sell the Contracts or Treasuries unless a default occurs under a Contract and the Contract is accelerated. The Trusts will hold the Contracts until maturity, at which time they will be settled according to their terms. However, in the event of the bankruptcy or insolvency of any counterparty to a Contract with a Trust, or the occurrence of any other default provided for in the Contract, the obligations of the counterparty under the Contract will be accelerated and the available proceeds of the Contract will be distributed to the Security Holders.

7. The trustees of each Trust will be selected initially by Lehman, together with any other initial Holders, or by the grantors of the Trust. The Holders of each Trust will have the right, upon the declaration in writing or vote of more than two-thirds of the outstanding Securities of the Trust, to remove a trustee. Holders will be entitled to a full vote for each Security held on all matters to be voted on by Holders and will not be able to cumulate their votes in the election of trustees. The investment objectives and fundamental policies of each Trust may be changed only with the approval of a "majority of the Trust's outstanding Securities" 4 or any greater number required by the Trust's constituent documents. Unless Holders so request, and except as required by the Act, charter documents of the Trust or a rule of a national securities exchange, or a quotation system it is not expected that the Trusts will hold any meetings of Holders, or that Holders will ever vote.

8. The Trusts will not be entitled to any rights with respect to the Shares until any Contracts requiring delivery of the Shares to the Trust are settled, at which time the Shares will be promptly

 $<sup>^{\</sup>rm 1}\,\mbox{No}$  Trust will hold Contracts relating to the Shares of more than one issuer.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>2</sup> A formula is likely to limit the Holder's participation in any appreciation of the underlying Shares, and it may, in some cases, limit the Holder's exposure to any depreciation in the underlying Shares. It is anticipated that the Holders will receive a yield greater than the ordinary dividend yield on the Shares at the time of the issuance of the Securities, which is intended to compensate Holders for the limit of the Holders' participation in any appreciation of the underlying Shares. In some cases, there may be an upper limit on the value of the Shares that a Holder will ultimately receive.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>3</sup>The contracts may provide for an option on the part of a counterparty to deliver Shares, cash, or a combination of Shares and cash to the Trust at the termination of each Trust.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>4</sup> A "majority of the Trust's outstanding Securities" means the lesser of (i) 67% of the Securities represented at a meeting at which more than 50% of the outstanding Securities are represented, and (ii) more than 50% of the outstanding Securities.

distributed to Holders. The Holders, therefore, will not be entitled to any rights with respect to the Shares (including voting rights or the right to receive any dividends or other distributions) until receipt by them of the Shares at the time the Trust is liquidated.

9. Each Trust will be structured so that its organizational and ongoing expenses will not be borne by the Holders, but rather, directly or indirectly, by Lehman, the counterparties, or another third party, as will be described in the prospectus for the relevant Trust. At the time of the original issuance of the Securities of any Trust, there will be paid to each of the administrator, the custodian, and the paying agent, and to each trustee, a onetime amount in respect of such agent's fee over its term. Any expenses of the Trust in excess of this anticipated amount will be paid as incurred by a party other than the Trust itself (which party may be Lehman). Moreover, the bank's fee for acting as trustee, custodian, paying agent, registrar and transfer agent, and fees and expenses for acting as collateral agent will be paid by Lehman, who in turn will be reimbursed by the counterparties.

### **Applicant's Legal Analysis**

#### A. Section 12(d)(1)

- 1. Section 12(d)(1)(A)(i) of the Act prohibits any registered investment company from owning more than 3% of the total outstanding voting stock of any other investment company, and any investment company and any company or companies controlled by the investment company from owning in the aggregate more than 3% of the total outstanding voting stock of any registered investment company. A company that is excepted from the definition of an investment company under section 3 (c)(1) or (c)(7) of the Act is deemed to be an investment company for purposes of section 12(d)(1)(A)(i) of the Act under sections 3 (c)(1) and (c)(7)(D) of the Act. Section 12(d)(1)(C)of the Act similarly prohibits any investment company, other investment companies having the same investment adviser, and companies controlled by such investment companies from owning more than 10% of the total outstanding voting stock of any closedend investment company.
- 2. Section 12(d)(1)(J) of the Act provides that the SEC may exempt persons or transactions from any provision of section 12(d)(1), if, and to the extent that, the exemption is consistent with the public interest and protection of investors.

- 3. Lehman believes, in order for the Trusts to be marketed most successfully, and to be traded at a price that most accurately reflects their value, that it is necessary for the Securities of each Trust to be offered to large investment companies and investment company complexes. Lehman states that these investors seek to spread the fixed costs of analyzing specific investment opportunities by making sizable investments in those opportunities. Conversely, Lehman asserts that it may not be economically rational for the investors, or their advisers, to take the time to review an investment opportunity if the amount that the investors would ultimately be permitted to purchase is immaterial in light of the total assets of the investment company or investment company complex. Therefore, Lehman argues that these investors should be able to acquire Securities in each Trust in excess of the limitations imposed by sections 12(d)(1)(A)(i) and 12(d)(1)(C). Lehman requests that the SEC issue an order under section 12(d)(1)(J) exempting the Trusts from the limitations.
- 4. Lehman states that section 12(d)(1) was designed to prevent one investment company from buying control of other investment companies and creating complicated pyramidal structures. Lehman also states that section 12(d)(1) was intended to address the layering of costs to investors.
- 5. Lehman believes that the concerns about pyramiding and undue influence generally do not arise in the case of the Trusts because neither the trustees nor the Holders, except upon the occurrence of an event of default provided for in a Contract, will have the power to vary the investments held by each Trust or to acquire or dispose of the assets of the Trusts. To the extent that Holders can change the composition of the board of trustees or the fundamental policies of each Trust by vote, Lehman argues that any concerns regarding undue influence will be eliminated by a provision in the charter documents for the Trusts that will require any investment companies owning voting stock of any Trust in excess of the limits imposed by sections 12(d)(1)(A)(i) and 12(d)(1)(C) to vote their Securities in proportion to the votes of all other Holders. Lehman also believes that the concern about undue influence through a threat to redeem does not arise in the case of the Trusts because the Securities will not be redeemable.
- 6. Section 12(d)(1) also was designed to address the excessive costs and fees that may result from multiple layers of investment companies. Lehman believes that these concerns do not arise in the

- case of the Trusts because of the limited ongoing fees and expenses incurred by the Trusts and because generally these fees and expenses will be borne, directly or indirectly, by Lehman or another third party, not by the Holders. In addition, the Holders will not, as a practical matter, bear the organizational expenses (including underwriting expenses) of the Trusts. Lehman asserts that the organizational expenses effectively will be borne by the counterparties in the form of a discount in the price paid to them for the Contracts, or will be borne directly by Lehman, the counterparties, or other third parties. Similarly, Lehman asserts that the fees of the bank for acting as trustee, paying agent, registrar, transfer agent, and collateral agent will borne directly by Lehman. Thus, a Holder will not pay duplicative charges to purchase securities in any Trust. Finally, there will be no duplication of advisory fees because the Trusts will be internally managed by their trustees.
- 8. Lehman believes that the investment product offered by the Trusts serves a valid business purpose. The Trusts, unlike most registered investment companies, are not marketed to provide investors with either professional investment asset management or the benefits of investment in a diversified pool of assets. Rather, Lehman asserts that the Securities are intended to provide Holders with an investment having unique payment and risk characteristics, including an anticipated higher yield than the ordinary dividend yield on the Shares at the time of the issuance of the Securities.
- 9. Lehman believes that the purposes and policies of section 12(d)(1) are not implicated by the Trusts and that the requested exemption from section 12(d)(1) is consistent with the public interest and the protection of investors.

## B. Section 14(a)

1. Section 14(a) of the Act requires, in pertinent part, that an investment company have a net worth of at least \$100,000 before making any public offering of its shares. The purpose of section 14(a) is to ensure that investment companies are adequately capitalized prior to or simultaneously with the sale of their securities to the public. Rule 14a-3 exempts from section 14(a) unit investment trusts that meet certain conditions in recognition of the fact that, once the units are sold, a unit investment trust requires much less commitment on the part of the sponsor than does a management investment company. Rule 14a-3 provides that a unit investment trust

investing in eligible trust securities will be exempt from the net worth requirement, provided that the trust holds at least \$100,000 of eligible trust securities at the commencement of a public offering.

2. Lehman argues that, while the Trusts are classified as management companies, they have the characteristics of unit investment trusts. Investors in the Trusts, like investors in a unit investment trust, will not be purchasing interests in a managed pool of securities, but rather in a fixed and disclosed portfolio that is held until maturity. Lehman believes that the make-up of each Trust's assets, therefore, will be "locked-in" for the life of the portfolio, and there is no need for an ongoing commitment on the part of the underwriter.

3. Lehman states that, in order to ensure that each Trust will become a going concern, the Securities of each Trust will be publicly offered in a firm commitment underwriting, registered under the Securities Act of 1933, and resulting in net proceeds to each Trust of at least \$10,000,000. Prior to the issuance and delivery of the Securities of each Trust to the underwriters, the underwriters will enter into an underwriting agreement pursuant to which they will agree to purchase the Securities subject to customary conditions to closing. The underwriters will not be entitled to purchase less than all of the Securities of each Trust. Accordingly, Lehman states that either the offering will not be completed at all or each Trust will have a net worth substantially in excess of \$100,000 on the date of the issuance of the Securities. Lehman also does not anticipate that the net worth of the Trusts will fall below \$100,000 before they are terminated.

4. Section 6(c) of the Act provides that the SEC may exempt persons or transactions if, and to the extent that, the exemption is necessary or appropriate in the public interest and consistent with the protection of investors and the purposes fairly intended by the policy and provisions of the Act. Lehman requests that the SEC issue an order under section 6(c) exempting the Trusts from the requirements of section 14(a). Lehman believes that the exemption is appropriate in the public interest and consistent with the protection of investors and the policies and provisions of the Act.

#### C. Section 17(a)

1. Sections 17(a)(1) and (2) of the Act generally prohibit the principal underwriter, or any affiliated person of

the principal underwriter, of a registered investment company from selling or purchasing any securities to or from that investment company. The result of these provisions is to preclude the Trusts from purchasing Treasuries from Lehman.

2. Section 17(b) of the Act provides that the SEC shall exempt a proposed transaction from section 17(a) if evidence establishes that the terms of the proposed transaction are reasonable and fair and do not involve overreaching, and the proposed transaction is consistent with the policies of the registered investment company involved and the purposes of the Act. Lehman requests an exemption from sections 17(a) (1) and (2) to permit the Trusts to purchase Treasuries from Lehman.

3. Lehman states that the policy rationale underlying section 17(a) is the concern that an affiliated person of an investment company, by virtue of this relationship, could cause the investment company to purchase securities of poor quality from the affiliated person or to overpay for securities. Lehman argues that it is unlikely that it would be able to exercise any adverse influence over the Trusts with respect to purchases of Treasuries because Treasuries do not vary in quality and are traded in one of the most liquid markets in the world. Treasuries are available through both primary and secondary dealers, making the Treasury market very competitive. In addition, market prices on Treasuries can be confirmed on a number of commercially available information screens. Lehman argues that because it is one of a limited number of primary dealers in Treasuries, it will be able to offer the Trusts prompt execution of their Treasury purchases at very competitive prices.

4. Lehman states that it is only seeking relief from section 17(a) with respect to the initial purchase of the Treasuries and not with respect to an ongoing course of business. Consequently, investors will know before they purchase a Trust's Securities the Treasuries that will be owned by the Trust and the amount of the cash payments that will be provided periodically by the Treasuries to the Trust and distributed to Holders. Lehman also asserts that whatever risk there is of overpricing the Treasuries will be borne by the counterparties and not by the Holders because the cost of the Treasuries will be calculated into the amount paid on the Contracts. Lehman argues that, for this reason, the counterparties will have a strong incentive to monitor the price paid for the Treasuries, because any

overpayment could result in a reduction in the amount that they would be paid on the Contracts.

5. Lehman believes that the terms of the proposed transaction are reasonable and fair and do not involve overreaching on the part of any person, that the proposed transaction is consistent with the policy of each of the Trusts, and that the requested exemption is appropriate in the public interest and consistent with the protection of investors and purposes fairly intended by the policies and provisions of the Act.

#### **Applicant's Conditions**

Lehman agrees that the order granting the requested relief will be subject to the following conditions:

1. Any investment company owning voting stock of any Trust in excess of the limits imposed by section 12(d)(1) of the Act will be required by Trust's charter documents to vote its Trust shares in proportion to the vote of all other Holders.

2. The trustees of each Trust, including a majority of the trustees who are not interested persons of the Trust, (i) will adopt procedures that are reasonably designed to provide that the conditions set forth below have been complied with; (ii) will make and approve such changes as deemed necessary; and (iii) will determine that the transactions made pursuant to the order were effected in compliance with such procedures.

3. The Trusts (i) will maintain and preserve in an easily accessible place a written copy of the procedures (and any modifications to such procedures), and (ii) will maintain and preserve for the longer of (a) the life of the Trusts and (b) six years following the purchase of any Treasuries, the first two years in an easily accessible place, a written record of all Treasuries purchased, whether or not from Lehman, setting forth a description of the Treasuries purchased, the identity of the seller, the terms of the purchase, and the information of materials upon which the determinations described below were made.

4. The Treasuries to be purchased by each Trust will be sufficient to provide payments to Holders of Securities that are consistent with the investment objectives and policies of the Trust as recited in the Trust's registration statement and will be consistent with the interests of the Trust and the Holders of its Securities.

5. The terms of the transactions will be reasonable and fair to the Holders of the Securities issued by each Trust and will not involve overreaching of the Trust or the Holders of Securities of the Trust on the part of any person concerned.

- 6. The fee, spread, or other remuneration to be received by Lehman will be reasonable and fair compared to the fee, spread, or other remuneration received by dealers in connection with comparable transactions at such time, and will comply with section 17(e)(2)(C) of the Act.
- 7. Before any Treasuries are purchased by the Trust, the Trust must obtain such available market information as it deems necessary to determine that the price to be paid for, and the terms of, the transaction is at least as favorable as that available from other sources. This will include the Trust obtaining and documenting the competitive indications with respect to the specific proposed transaction from two other independent government securities dealers. Competitive quotation information must include price and settlement terms. These dealers must be those who, in the experience of the Trust's trustees, have demonstrated the consistent ability to provide professional execution of Treasury transactions at competitive market prices. They also must be those who are in a position to quote favorable

For the Commission, by the Division of Investment Management, pursuant to delegated authority.

## Margaret H. McFarland,

Deputy Secretary.

[FR Doc. 98–10275 Filed 4–17–98; 8:45 am] BILLING CODE 8010–01–M

## SECURITIES AND EXCHANGE COMMISSION

#### **Sunshine Act Meeting**

Notice is hereby given, pursuant to the provisions of the Government in the Sunshine Act, Pub. L. 94–409, that the Securities and Exchange Commission will hold the following meeting during the week of April 20, 1998.

A closed meeting will be held on Thursday, April 23, 1998, at 10:00 a.m.

Commissioners, Counsel to the Commissioners, the Secretary to the Commission, and recording secretaries will attend the closed meeting. Certain staff members who have an interest in the matters may also be present.

The General Counsel of the Commission, or his designee, has certified that, in his opinion, one or more of the exemptions set forth in 5 U.S.C. 552b(c)(4), (8), (9)(A) and (10) and 17 CFR 200.402(a)(4), (8), (9)(i) and

(10), permit consideration of the scheduled matters at the closed meeting.

Commissioner Unger, as duty officer, voted to consider the items listed for the closed meeting in a closed session.

The subject matter of the closed meeting scheduled for Thursday, April 23, 1998, at 10:00 a.m., will be:

Institution and settlement of injunctive actions.

Institution and settlement of administrative proceedings of an enforcement nature.

At times, changes in Commission priorities require alterations in the scheduling of meeting items. For further information and to ascertain what, if any, matters have been added, deleted or postponed, please contact:

The Office of the Secretary at (202) 942–7070.

Dated: April 15, 1998.

#### Jonathan G. Katz,

Secretary.

[FR Doc. 98-10504 Filed 4-16-98; 12:34 pm] BILLING CODE 8010-01-M

## SECURITIES AND EXCHANGE COMMISSION

[Release No. 34–39854; File No. SR-Amex-98–14]

Self-Regulatory Organizations; Notice of Filing and Immediate Effectiveness of Proposed Rule Change by the American Stock Exchange, Inc. Relating to Options on the Computer Hardware Index

April 13, 1998.

Pursuant to Section 19(b)(1) of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934 ("Act"),¹ notice is hereby given that on March 30, 1998, the American Stock Exchange, Inc. ("Amex" or "Exchange") filed with the Securities and Exchange Commission the proposed rule change as described in Items, I, II, and III below, which Items have been prepared by the self-regulatory organization. The Commission is publishing this notice to solicit comments on the proposed rule change from interested persons.

### I. Self-Regulatory Organization's Statement of the Terms of Substance of the Proposed Rule Change

The Amex proposes to trade options on the Computer Hardware Index, a new index developed by the Amex based on the stocks of companies engaged in the design and production of commercial and consumer personal computers and systems. In addition, the Amex proposes to amend its Rule 901C, Commentary

.01 to reflect that 90% of the Index's numerical index value will be accounted for by stocks that meet the current criteria and guidelines set forth in Amex Rule 915. The text of the proposed rule change is set forth in Exhibit A to the filing.

## II. Self-Regulatory Organization's Statement of the Purpose of, and Statutory Basis for, the Proposed Rule Change

In its filing with the Commission, the self-regulatory organization included statements concerning the purpose of and basis for the proposed rule change and discussed any comments it received on the proposed rule change. The text of these statements may be examined at the places specified in Item IV below. The Amex has prepared summaries, set forth in sections, A, B, and C below, of the most significant aspects of such statements.

A. Self-Regulatory Organization's Statement of the Purpose of, and the Statutory Basis for, the Proposed Rule Change

## (1) Purpose

The Amex proposes to trade options on its newly developed Computer Hardware Index (the "Index"), an index based entirely on shares of widely held companies engaged in the design and production of commercial and consumer personal computers and systems. With rapidly changing technology, vendors constantly introducing more powerful products, and the growing demand for systems priced less than \$1,000, stocks of companies in this sector of the high-tech industry are expected to remain extremely volatile, particularly in the near term. Options on the Index are designed to provide investors with an investment vehicle to participate in or hedge against this volatility, and decrease the risk involved in selecting individual stocks. A list of the current component securities is set forth in Exhibit B to the filing.

The Amex is filing this proposal pursuant to Amex Rule 901C, Commentary .02, which provides for the commencement of the trading of options on the Index thirty days after the date of this filing. The proposal meets all the criteria set forth in Commentary .02 and the Commission's order approving that rule as outlined below.<sup>2</sup>

<sup>1 15</sup> U.S.C. 78s(b)(1).

 $<sup>^2</sup>$  See Securities Exchange Act Release No. 34157 (June 3, 1994), 59 FR 30062 (June 10, 1994).