absence of such approval, to the related Portfolio.

- 3. The Fund will hold meetings of shareholders to vote on approval of the Interim Agreements on or before the 150th day following the termination of the Existing Agreements (but in no event later than September 30, 1998).
- 4. First Union will bear the costs of preparing and filing the application and the costs relating to the solicitation of shareholder approval of the Portfolios necessitated by the Transaction.
- 5. The Adviser and sub-advisers will take all appropriate steps so that the scope and quality of advisory and other services provided to the Portfolios during the Interim Period will be at least equivalent, in the judgment of the Board, including a majority of the Independent Directors, to the scope and quality of services previously provided. If personnel providing material services during the Interim Period change materially, the Adviser or any subadviser will apprise and consult with the Board to assure that the Directors, including a majority of the Independent Directors of the Fund, are satisfied that the services provided will not be diminished in scope or quality.

For the Commission, by the Division of Investment Management, pursuant to delegated authority.

Margaret H. McFarland,

Deputy Secretary.

[FR Doc. 98–9124 Filed 4–7–98; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 8010-01-M

SECURITIES AND EXCHANGE COMMISSION

[Release No. 34–39823; File No. SR-CHX-98–03]

Self-Regulatory Organizations; Notice of Filing and Order Granting Accelerated Approval of Proposed Rule Change and Amendment No. 1 by the Chicago Stock Exchange, Incorporated Relating to the Trading of Nasdaq/NM Securities on the CHX

March 31, 1998.

Pursuant to Section 19(b)(1) of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934 ("Act"), 1 notice is hereby given that on February 3, 1998, the Chicago Stock Exchange, Incorporated ("CHX" or "Exchange") filed with the Securities and Exchange Commission ("Commission") the proposed rule change. The Exchange filed Amendment No. 1 to the proposal on March 25, 1998. The proposal, as amended, is described in Items I and II below, which

Items have been prepared by the selfregulatory organization. The Commission is publishing this notice to solicit comments on the proposed rule change from interested persons and to grant accelerated approval of the proposed rule change.

I. Self-Regulatory Organizations Statement of the Terms of Substance of the Proposed Rule Change

The Exchange requests a three month extension of the pilot program relating to the trading of Nasdaq/NM Securities on the Exchange that is currently due to expire on March 31, 1998. Specifically, the pilot program amended Article XX, Rule 37 and Article XX, Rule 43 of the Exchange's Rules and the Exchange proposes that the amendments remain in effect on a pilot basis through June 30, 1998.

II. Self-Regulatory Organization's Statement of the Purpose of, and Statutory Basis for, the Proposed Rule Change

In its filing with the Commission, the Exchange included statements concerning the purpose of, and statutory basis for, the proposed rule change and discussed any comments it received on the proposed rule change. The text of these statements may be examined at the places specified in Item III below. The self-regulatory organization has prepared summaries, set forth in sections A, B, and C below, of the most significant aspects of such statements.

A. Self-Regulatory Organization's Statement of the Purpose of, and Statutory Basis for, the Proposed Rule Change

1. Purpose

On May 4, 1987, the Commission approved certain Exchange rules and procedures relating to the trading of Nasdaq/NM securities on the Exchange.² Among other things, these rules made the Exchange's BEST Rule guarantee (Article XX, Rule 37(a)) applicable to Nasdaq/NM securities and made Nasdaq/NM securities eligible for the automatic execution feature of the Exchange's Midwest Automated Execution System (''MAX system'').³

On January 3, 1997, the Commission approved,⁴ on a one year pilot basis, a program that eliminated the requirement that CHX specialists automatically execute orders in Nasdaq/NM securities when the specialist is not quoting at the national best bid or best offer ("NBBO").⁵ When the Commission approved the program on a pilot basis, it noted that during the pilot program it was expected that the Exchange would effectuate a linkage between the CHX systems and Nasdaq systems in order to permit market makers in each market to route orders to the other market center.

The Commission also requested that the Exchange submit a report to the Commission describing the Exchange's experience with the pilot program. The Commission stated that the report should include at least six months worth of trading data. Due to programming issues, the pilot program was not implemented until April, 1997. Six months of trading data did not become available until November, 1997. As a result, the Exchange requested an additional three month extension to collect the data and prepare the report for the Commission.

On December 31, 1998, the Commission extended the pilot program for an additional three months to give the Exchange additional time to prepare and submit the report and to give the Commission adequate time to review the report prior to approving the pilot on a permanent basis.⁶ The Exchange submitted the report to the Commission on January 30, 1998.

The current proposal, filed February 3, 1998 and amended March 24, 1998,7 is for a continuation of the current pilot program through June 30, 1998.

Under the pilot program, specialists must continue to accept agency ⁸ market order or marketable limit orders, but only for orders of 100 to 1000 shares in Nasdaq/NM securities rather than the

^{1 15} U.S.C. § 78s(b)(1).

² See Securities Exchange Act Release No. 24424 (May 4, 1987), 52 FR 17868 (May 12, 1987) (order approving File No. SR–MSE–87–2). See Securities Exchange Act Release Nos. 28146 (June 26, 1990), 55 FR 27917 (July 6, 1990) (order expanding the number of eligible securities to 100); 36102 (August 14, 1995), 60 FR 43626 (August 22, 1995) (order expanding the number of eligible securities to 500).

³ The MAX system may be used to provide an automated delivery and execution facility for orders that are eligible for execution under the Exchange's BEST rule and certain other orders. *See* CHX, Art. XX, Rule 37(b). A MAX order that fits under the

BEST parameters is executed pursuant to the BEST Rule via the MAX system. If an order is outside the BEST parameters, the BEST Rule does not apply, but MAX system handling rules do apply.

⁴ See Securities Exchange Act Release No. 38119. ⁵ The NBBO is the best bid or offer disseminated pursuant to SEC Rule 11Ac1–1.

⁶See Securities Exchange Act Release No. 39512 (December 31, 1997), 62 FR 1517 (January 9, 1998).

⁷See Letter from David T. Rusoff, Foley & Lardner, to Gail A. Marshall, Division of Market Regulation, dated March 24, 1998.

⁸ The term "agency order" means an order for the account of a customer, but shall not include professional orders as defined in CHX, Article XXX, Rule 2, interpretation and policy .04. The Rule defines a "professional order" as any order for the account of a broker-dealer, the account of an associated person of a broker-dealer or an associated person of a broker-dealer or in direct interest.

2099 share limit previously in place.⁹ Specialists, however, must accept all agency limit order in Nasdaq/NM securities from 100 up to and including 10,000 shares for placement in the limit order book. As described below, however, specialists are required to automatically execute Nasdaq/NM order only if they are quoting at the NBBO when the order was received.

The pilot program requires the specialist to set the MAX auto-execution threshold at 1000 shares of greater for Nasdaq/NM securities. When a CHX specialist is quoting at the NBBO, orders for a number of shares less than or equal to the auto-execution threshold set by the specialist will be automatically executed (in an amount up to the size of the specialist's quote). Orders in securities quoted with a spread greater than the minimum variation are executed automatically after a fifteen second delay from the time the order is entered into MAX. The size of the specialist's bid or offer is then automatically decremented by the size of the execution. When the specialist's quote is exhausted, the system will generate an autoquote at an increment away from the NBBO, as determined by the specialist from time to time, for either 100 or 1000 shares, depending on the issue.10

When the specialist is not quoting a Nasdaq/NM security at the NBBO, it can elect, on an order-by-order basis, to manually execute orders in that security. If the specialist does not elect manual execution, MAX market and marketable limit orders in that security that are of a size equal to or less than the auto-execution threshold will automatically be executed at the NBBO after a twenty second delay.11 If the specialist elects manual execution, the specialist must either manually execute the order at the NBBO or a better price or act as agent for the order in seeking to obtain the best available price for the order on a marketplace other than the Exchange. If the specialist decides to act as agent for the order, the pilot program requires the specialist to use orderrouting systems to obtain an execution where appropriate. Market and

marketable limit orders that are for a number of shares greater than the autoexecution threshold are not subject to these requirements, and may be canceled within one minute of being entered into MAX or designated as an open order.

2. Statutory Basis

The basis under the Act for the proposed rule change is the requirement under Section 6(b)(5) that an exchange have rules that are designed to promote just and equitable principles of trade, to remove impediments to and perfect the mechanism of a free and open market and a national market system, and, in general, to protect investors and the public interest.

B. Self-Regulatory Organization's Statement on Burden on Competition

The Exchange does not believe that the proposed rule change will impose a burden on competition.

C. Self-Regulatory Organization's Statement on Comments on the Proposed Rule Change Received From Members, Participants or Others

No comments were solicited or received.

III. Solicitation of Comments

Interested persons are invited to submit written data, views and arguments concerning the foregoing, including whether the proposed rule change is consistent with the Act. Persons making written submission should file six copies thereof with the Secretary, Securities and Exchange Commission, 450 Fifth Street, N.W., Washington D.C. 20549. Copies of the submissions, all subsequent amendments, all written statements with respect to the proposed rule change that are filed with the Commission, and all written communications relating to the proposed rule change between the Commission and any person, other than those than may be withheld from the public in accordance with the provisions of 5 U.S.C. 552, will be available for inspection and copying in the Commission's Public Reference Room, 450 Fifth Street, N.W., Washington, D.C. 20549. Copies of such filing also will be available for inspection and copying at the Exchange. All submissions should refer to file number SR-CHX-98-03 and should be submitted by April 29, 1998.

IV. Commission's Findings and Order Granting Accelerated Approval of Proposed Rule Change

The Commission finds that the Exchange's proposal is consistent with the requirements of the Act and the rules and regulations thereunder applicable to a national securities exchange. Specifically, the Commission finds that the proposed rule change is consistent with Section 6(b)(5) of the Act, 12 which requires that an exchange have rules designed to prevent fraudulent and manipulative acts and practices, to promote just and equitable principles of trade, to remove impediments to and perfect the mechanism of a free and open market and a national market system, and, in general, to protect investors and the public interest. The Commission also believes that the proposal is consistent with Section 11A(a)(1)(C) and 11A(a)(1)(D) of the Act because the Exchange's proposal conforms CHX specialist obligations to those applicable to OTC market makers in Nasdaq/NM securities, while CHX provides a separate, competitive market for Nasdaq/NM securities.

The Commission notes however, that, while the Exchange has been working towards establishing a linkage, specialists and OTC market makers do not yet have an effective method of routing orders to each other. The Commission expects the Exchange to continue to work towards establishing a linkage with the Nasdaq systems as requested in the January 3, 1997 order. ¹³ The Commission is approving the extension of the pilot so that the rules of the exchange will operate without interruption.

The Commission therefore finds good cause for approving the proposed rule change (SR-CHX-98-03) prior to the thirtieth day after the date of publication of notice of filing thereof in the **Federal Register**.

It is therefore ordered, pursuant to Section 19(b)(2),¹⁴ that the proposed rule change be, and hereby is, approved through June 30, 1998.

For the Commission, by the Division of Market Regulation, pursuant to delegated authority. 15

Margaret H. McFarland,

Deputy Secretary.
[FR Doc. 98–9127 Filed 4–7–98; 8:45 am]
BILLING CODE 8010–01–M

⁹ The 100 to 2099 share auto-acceptance threshold previously in place continues to apply to Dually Listed securities (those issues that are traded on the CHX and are listed on either the New York Stock Exchange or American Stock Exchange).

¹⁰ Specifically, the autoquote is currently for one normal unit of trading (usually 100 shares) in issues that became subject to mandatory compliance with SEC Rule 11Ac1–4 on or prior to February 24, 1997, and for 1000 shares in other issues.

¹¹The twenty second delay is designed, in part, to provide an opportunity for the order to receive price improvement from the specialist's displayed quote.

^{12 15} U.S.C. § 78f(b)(5).

¹³ See Securities Exchange Act Release No. 38119 (January 3, 1997), 62 FR 1788 (January 13, 1997).

^{14 15} U.S.C. § 78s(b)(2).

^{15 17} CFR 200.30–3(a)(12).