

regulations to: Federal Energy Regulatory Commission, Office of the Secretary, Dockets—Room 1A, 888 First Street, NE, Washington, DC 20426.

All comment filings must bear the heading "Comments on the Alternative Procedures," and include the project name and number (Winton Hydroelectric Project No. 469).

For further information on this process, please call Tom Dean of the Federal Energy Regulatory Commission at 202-219-2778.

**Linwood A. Watson, Jr.,**

*Acting Secretary.*

[FR Doc. 98-9159 Filed 4-7-98; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 6717-01-M

## DEPARTMENT OF ENERGY

### Federal Energy Regulatory Commission

[Docket Nos. SA98-44-001 and SA98-44-002]

#### Molz Oil Company; Notice of Amendment to Petition for Adjustment and Request for Extension of Time

April 2, 1998.

Take notice that on, March 13, 1998, in Docket No. SA98-44-001, and March 20, 1998, in Docket No. SA98-44-002, Molz Oil Company (Molz) filed supplements amending its March 9, 1998 petition, in Docket No. SA98-44-000, for a procedural adjustment and request for a 90-day extension of time to resolve disputes with Panhandle Eastern Pipe Line Company (Panhandle) over the amount of Kansas ad valorem tax refunds owed by the First Sellers (including Molz) listed in the March 9 petition.<sup>1</sup> The March 13 amending supplement states that Dean Courson (individually and on behalf of M-C Oil), Darry Brown (individually and on behalf of Lieble Brown), L.L. Demaree, Doug McGinness, Viola McGinness,

<sup>1</sup> The working interest owners in Molz's original list of First Sellers include: Donald Albers; Molz Oil Company; James Jukes (as successor to Barber Assoc., D.M. Associates, KMAD Associates, KMAD #3 Associates, and Logan McGuire Assoc.); C.H. Bartlett; Marvin Blubauh, Carlos (a.k.a. Charles) Brewer; Darry Brown; Caruthers Const.; D.L. Caruthers; Rick Caruthers; M.D. Christensen; Judy Courson; Donald E. Evans; Helen Evans; Judy Evans; K.B. Evans; Clarence Hrencher; K&K Leasing; Kansas Oil & Gas; Keen Oil, Inc.; Kenla Oil Co.; Thereon Krehbiel; Tommie Littell; Joyce Lutz; Viola McGinness; Lee Mackey; Robert McCaffree; John Michel; Beverly Molz; Jim Molz; Ronald Molz; Kristi Molz; Russell Molz; Judith Ann Price; A.W. Powell; Rathgeber & Rathgeber; Ben Rathgeber; Bob and Lometa Rathgeber; Eloise Rathgeber; B. Jean Sandifer; Lamoine Schrock; Dexter Smith; Super Service; Sweetman Drilling; R.K. Sweetman; Kelly Thiesing; Kevin Thiesing; Jana Thiesing; Traffas Herfords; Vinmar Children; Vinmar Farms; Westmore Drilling Co.; Wilderness Oil & Gas; Marilyn Wiles; and Betty Winn.

Marvin Miller, Joe Nagele, Cindy Nagele a.k.a. Cindy Yandell, Helen Thiesing, Tri-K Equipment, Kenneth Vassar, Bob Watts, and Mollie Watts are included as First Sellers under Molz's March 9 petition, and updates the amount reported to be in dispute with Panhandle. The March 20 amending supplement states that MBT Antrim MBT Oil, Darrol Miller, and Pauline Miller (a.k.a. Mrs. Darrol Miller), are included as First Sellers under Molz's March 9 petition. The March 20 amending supplement also further updates the amount reported to be in dispute with Panhandle.

The March 9 petition and March 13 and March 20 supplements amending the March 9 petition are on file with the Commission and open to public inspection.

Molz filed the March 9 petition pursuant to section 502(c) of the Natural Gas Policy Act of 1978, on its own behalf and the working interest owners for whom Molz operated, and in response to the Commission's September 10, 1997, order in Docket No. RP97-369-000 *et al.*,<sup>2</sup> on remand from the D.C. Circuit Court of Appeals,<sup>3</sup> which directed first sellers to make Kansas ad valorem tax refunds, with interest, for the period from 1983 to 1988. The March 9 petition, in addition, to the request for a 90-day extension of the March 9, 1998 refund deadline, requests that the Commission: (1) Grant a procedural adjustment, allowing Molz and the listed First Sellers (as amended) to escrow the disputed amount of the refund set forth in the Statement of Refunds Due that Panhandle filed in Docket No. RP98-40-000 (as revised); (2) to allow Molz (following resolution of the dispute) to retain in that account (a) the principal and interest on amounts attributable to production prior to October 4, 1983, and (b) the interest on all reimbursed principal determined to be refundable as being in excess of maximum lawful prices, excluding interest retained under (a) above; and (3) determine that Molz is liable solely for its proportionate share of the tax refunds.

Molz's March 20 amending supplement states that Panhandle served Molz with a revised Statement of Refunds Due, dated February 6, 1998, indicating a total refund due of \$301,843.59, and that the entire

<sup>2</sup> See 80 FERC ¶ 61,264 (1997); order denying reh'g issued January 28, 1998, 82 FERC ¶ 61,058 (1998).

<sup>3</sup> *Public Service Company of Colorado v. FERC*, 91 F. 3d 1478 (D.C. Cir. 1996), cert. denied, 65 U.S.L.W. 3751 and 3754 (May 12, 1997) (Nos. 96-954 and 96-1230).

disputed amount (with interest through March 9, 1998) is now \$261,992.05.

Any person desiring to answer Molz's March 13 and March 20 amendments should file such answer with the Federal Energy Regulatory Commission, 888 First Street, N.E., Washington, D.C. 20426, on or before 15 days after the date of publication of this notice in the **Federal Register**, in accordance with the Commission's Rules of Practice and Procedure (18 CFR 385.213, 385.215, 385.1101, and 385.1106).

**Linwood A. Watson, Jr.**

*Acting Secretary.*

[FR Doc. 98-9169 Filed 4-7-98; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 6717-01-M

## DEPARTMENT OF ENERGY

### Federal Energy Regulatory Commission

[Docket No. RP98-167-000]

#### NorAm Gas Transmission Company; Notice of Filing

April 2, 1998.

Take notice that on March 30, 1998, NorAm Gas Transmission Company (NGT) submitted its annual revenue crediting filing pursuant to its FERC Gas Tariff, Fourth Revised Volume No. 1, Section 5.7(c)(ii)(2) B. (Imbalance Cash Out), Section 23.2(b)(iv) (IT and SBS Revenue Crediting) and Section 23.7 (IT Revenue Credit).

NGT states that its filing addresses the period from February 1, 1997 through January 31, 1998. The IT and FT Cash Balancing Revenue Credits and the IT Revenue Credit for the period reflected in this filing are zero. Since NGT's current tariff sheets already reflect zero Cash Balancing and IT Revenue Credits, no tariff revisions are necessary.

Any person desiring to be heard or to protest this filing should file a motion to intervene or a protest with the Federal Energy Regulatory Commission, 888 First Street, N.E., Washington, D.C. 20426, in accordance with § 385.214 and 385.211 of the Commission's Rules and Regulations. All such motions or protests must be filed on or before April 9, 1998. Protests will be considered by the Commission in determining the appropriate action to be taken, but will not serve to make protestants parties to the proceedings. Any person wishing to become a party must file a motion to intervene. Copies of this filing are on file with the Commission and are

available for public inspection in the Public Reference Room.

**Linwood A. Watson, Jr.,**

*Acting Secretary.*

[FR Doc. 98-9164 Filed 4-7-98; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 6717-01-M

## DEPARTMENT OF ENERGY

### Federal Energy Regulatory Commission

[Docket No. TM98-2-31-000]

#### NorAm Gas Transmission Company; Notice of Proposed Changes in FERC Gas Tariff

April 2, 1998.

Take notice that on March 30, 1998, NorAm Gas Transmission Company (NGT) tendered for filing as part of its FERC Gas Tariff, Fourth Revised Volume No. 1, the following revised tariff sheets to be effective May 1, 1998:

Twelfth Revised Sheet No. 5

Twelfth Revised Sheet No. 6

NGT states that the purpose of this filing is to adjust NGT's fuel percentages pursuant to Section 21 of its General Terms and Conditions.

Any person desiring to be heard or to protest this filing should file a motion to intervene or a protest with the Federal Energy Regulatory Commission, 888 First Street, N.E., Washington, D.C. 20426, in accordance with Sections 385.214 and 385.211 of the Commission's Rules and Regulations. All such motions or protests must be filed in accordance with Section 154.210 of the Commission's Regulations. Protests will be considered by the Commission in determining the appropriate action to be taken, but will not serve to make protestants parties to the proceedings. Any person wishing to become a party must file a motion to intervene. Copies of this filing are on file with the Commission and are available for public inspection in the Public Reference Room.

**Linwood A. Watson, Jr.,**

*Acting Secretary.*

[FR Doc. 98-9171 Filed 4-7-98; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 6717-01-M

## DEPARTMENT OF ENERGY

### Federal Energy Regulatory Commission

[Docket Nos. RP98-165-000 and RP89-183-078]

#### Williams Gas Pipelines Central, Inc.; Notice of Proposed Changes in FERC Gas Tariff

April 2, 1998.

Take notice that on March 31, 1998, Williams Gas Pipelines Central, Inc. (Williams), tendered for filing to become part of its FERC Gas Tariff, Original Volume No. 1, the following tariff sheets, with the proposed effective date of May 1, 1998:

First Revised Sheet No. 6A, Original Sheet Nos. 38 and 39

Williams states that this filing is being made pursuant to Article 14 of the General Terms and Conditions of its FERC Gas Tariff, Original Volume No. 1. Williams hereby submits its second quarter, 1998, report of take-or-pay buyout, buydown and contract reformation costs and gas supply related transition costs, and the application or distribution of those costs and refunds.

Williams states that there was not sufficient time to reflect in the instant filing, the requirements of the order approved at the Commission's March 25, 1998 meeting in Docket No. RP98-105, et al. Therefore, Williams is submitting the instant filing utilizing the previously effective direct allocation method of allocating GSR cost to firm service in order to ensure that cost recovery is proposed in a timely manner. Williams states that it will file revised tariff sheets to reflect the required changes in its GSR mechanism prior to May 1, 1998.

Williams states that a copy of its filing was served on all participants listed on the service lists maintained by the Commission in the dockets referenced above and on all of Williams' jurisdictional customers and interested state commissions.

Any person desiring to be heard or to protest this filing should file a motion to intervene or a protest with the Federal Energy Regulatory Commission, 888 First Street, N.E., Washington, D.C. 20426, in accordance with Sections 385.214 and 385.211 of the Commission's Rules and Regulations. All such motions or protests must be filed in accordance with Section 154.210 of the Commission's Regulations. Protests will be considered by the Commission in determining the appropriate action to be taken, but will not serve to make protestants parties to

the proceedings. Any person wishing to become a party must file a motion to intervene. Copies of this filing are on file with the Commission and are available for public inspection in the Public Reference Room.

**Linwood A. Watson, Jr.,**

*Acting Secretary.*

[FR Doc. 98-9163 Filed 4-7-98; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 6717-01-M

## DEPARTMENT OF ENERGY

### Federal Energy Regulatory Commission

[Docket No. CP98-266-000]

#### Enogex Interstate Transmission L.L.C. and Ozark Gas Transmission, L.L.C.; Notice of Intent To Prepare an Environmental Assessment for the Proposed Ozark/NOARK Expansion Project and Request for Comments on Environmental Issues

April 2, 1998.

The staff of the Federal Energy Regulatory Commission (FERC or Commission) will prepare an Environmental Assessment (EA) that will discuss the environmental impacts of the construction and operation of approximately 9.9 miles of natural gas transmission pipeline and other appurtenant facilities, and the modification of two compressor stations and a meter station, proposed in the Ozark/NOARK Expansion Project.<sup>1</sup> This EA will be used by the Commission in its decision-making process to determine whether the project is in the public convenience and necessity.

If you are a landowner whose property will be crossed by the proposed project, you may be contacted by a pipeline company representative about the acquisition of an easement to construct, operate, and maintain the proposed facilities. The pipeline company may seek to negotiate a mutually acceptable agreement relative to land use and access. However, if the project is approved by the Commission, the pipeline has the right to use eminent domain. Therefore, if negotiations fail to produce an agreement between the pipeline company and landowner, the pipeline company could initiate condemnation proceedings in accordance with state law. A fact sheet addressing a number of typically asked questions, including the use of eminent

<sup>1</sup> Enogex Interstate Transmission L.L.C. and Ozark Gas Transmission, L.L.C.'s application was filed with the Commission under Section 7 of the Natural Gas Act and Part 157 of the Commission's regulations.