To prevent cracking of the main fitting subassembly of the main landing gear, which could result in collapse of the main landing gear, accomplish the following:

(a) Within 60 days after the effective date of this AD, perform a visual and an eddy current inspection to detect discrepancies (paint damage, corrosion or cracking) of the main fitting subassembly of the main landing gear, in accordance with Appendix B of

Messier-Dowty Service Bulletin F100–32–86, Revision 2, dated July 3, 1997.

- (1) If no discrepancy is detected, or if any discrepancy is detected that is within the limits specified in Appendix B of the service bulletin: Repeat the inspections required by paragraph (a) of this AD thereafter at intervals not to exceed 60 days.
- (2) If any discrepancy is detected that is outside the limits specified in Appendix B of the service bulletin: Prior to further flight, accomplish the requirements of paragraph (b) of this AD.
- (b) Within 6 months after the effective date of this AD, perform a one-time eddy current inspection and a one-time visual inspection to detect discrepancies (paint damage, corrosion, or cracking) of the main fitting subassembly of the main landing gear, in accordance with the Accomplishment Instructions of Messier-Dowty Service Bulletin F100–32–86, Revision 2, dated July 3, 1997. Accomplishment of the actions required by this paragraph constitute terminating action for the requirements of this AD.
- (1) If no discrepancy is detected, prior to further flight, apply a protective treatment to the main fittings in accordance with the service bulletin.
- (2) If any discrepancy is detected that can be repaired within the limits specified in the service bulletin, prior to further flight, repair the discrepancy, and apply a protective treatment to the main fittings, in accordance with the service bulletin.
- (3) If any discrepancy is detected that cannot be repaired within the limits specified in the service bulletin, prior to further flight, repair in accordance with a method approved by the Manager, International Branch, ANM–116, FAA, Transport Airplane Directorate.
- (c) An alternative method of compliance or adjustment of the compliance time that provides an acceptable level of safety may be used if approved by the Manager, International Branch, ANM-116. Operators shall submit their requests through an appropriate FAA Principal Maintenance Inspector, who may add comments and then send it to the Manager, International Branch, ANM-116.

**Note 2:** Information concerning the existence of approved alternative methods of compliance with this AD, if any, may be obtained from the International Branch, ANM-116.

(d) Special flight permits may be issued in accordance with sections 21.197 and 21.199 of the Federal Aviation Regulations (14 CFR 21.197 and 21.199) to operate the airplane to a location where the requirements of this AD can be accomplished.

**Note 3:** The subject of this AD is addressed in Dutch airworthiness directive 1996–133/2(A), dated January 31, 1997.

Issued in Renton, Washington, on March 25, 1998.

#### Darrell M. Pederson,

Acting Manager, Transport Airplane Directorate, Aircraft Certification Service. [FR Doc. 98–8578 Filed 4–1–98; 8:45 am] BILLING CODE 4910–13–U

#### **DEPARTMENT OF TRANSPORTATION**

#### **Federal Aviation Administration**

#### 14 CFR Part 39

[Docket No. 98-NM-46-AD]

RIN 2120-AA64

# Airworthiness Directives; Dornier Model 328–100 Series Airplanes

**AGENCY:** Federal Aviation Administration, DOT.

**ACTION:** Notice of proposed rulemaking

(NPRM).

**SUMMARY:** This document proposes the adoption of a new airworthiness directive (AD) that is applicable to certain Dornier Model 328-100 series airplanes. This proposal would require replacement of the existing pressure dump and relief valves in the main and auxiliary hydraulic systems with new valves. This proposal is prompted by issuance of mandatory continuing airworthiness information by a foreign civil airworthiness authority. The actions specified by the proposed AD are intended to prevent failure of the pressure dump and relief valves in the main and auxiliary hydraulic systems, which could cause a loss in hydraulic pressure for roll control spoilers and brakes, and consequent reduced controllability of the airplane.

**DATES:** Comments must be received by May 4, 1998.

ADDRESSES: Submit comments in triplicate to the Federal Aviation Administration (FAA), Transport Airplane Directorate, ANM–114, Attention: Rules Docket No. 98–NM–46–AD, 1601 Lind Avenue, SW., Renton, Washington 98055–4056. Comments may be inspected at this location between 9:00 a.m. and 3:00 p.m., Monday through Friday, except Federal holidays.

The service information referenced in the proposed rule may be obtained from Fairchild Dornier, Dornier Luftfahrt GmbH, P.O. Box 1103, D–82230 Wessling, Germany. This information may be examined at the FAA, Transport Airplane Directorate, 1601 Lind Avenue, SW., Renton, Washington. FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT: Norman B. Martenson, Manager,

International Branch, ANM–116, FAA, Transport Airplane Directorate, 1601 Lind Avenue, SW., Renton, Washington 98055–4056; telephone (425) 227–2110; fax (425) 227–1149.

### SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION:

#### **Comments Invited**

Interested persons are invited to participate in the making of the proposed rule by submitting such written data, views, or arguments as they may desire. Communications shall identify the Rules Docket number and be submitted in triplicate to the address specified above. All communications received on or before the closing date for comments, specified above, will be considered before taking action on the proposed rule. The proposals contained in this notice may be changed in light of the comments received.

Comments are specifically invited on the overall regulatory, economic, environmental, and energy aspects of the proposed rule. All comments submitted will be available, both before and after the closing date for comments, in the Rules Docket for examination by interested persons. A report summarizing each FAA-public contact concerned with the substance of this proposal will be filed in the Rules Docket.

Commenters wishing the FAA to acknowledge receipt of their comments submitted in response to this notice must submit a self-addressed, stamped postcard on which the following statement is made: "Comments to Docket Number 98–NM–46–AD." The postcard will be date stamped and returned to the commenter.

## **Availability of NPRMs**

Any person may obtain a copy of this NPRM by submitting a request to the FAA, Transport Airplane Directorate, ANM-114, Attention: Rules Docket No. 98-NM-46-AD, 1601 Lind Avenue, SW., Renton, Washington 98055-4056.

#### **Discussion**

The Luftfahrt-Bundesamt (LBA), which is the airworthiness authority for Germany, notified the FAA that an unsafe condition may exist on certain Dornier Model 328–100 series airplanes. The LBA advises that it has received reports of defective pressure dump and relief valves in the main and auxiliary hydraulic systems. These valves may have a thin section in the housing caused by excessive tolerance accumulation during the manufacturing process. In addition, the housing of the pressure dump and relief valves may have been over-torqued during manufacture. This condition, if not

corrected, could result in failure of the pressure dump and relief valves in the main and auxiliary hydraulic systems, which could cause a loss in hydraulic pressure for roll control spoilers and brakes, and consequent reduced controllability of the airplane.

# **Explanation of Relevant Service Information**

Dornier has issued Service Bulletin SB-328-29-205, dated February 12, 1997, which describes procedures for replacement of certain valves in the main and auxiliary hydraulic systems. Specifically, the service bulletin calls for replacement of pressure dump and relief valves having part number (P/N) ZHV29-1 with new valves having P/N ZHV29-2. Accomplishment of the actions specified in the service bulletin is intended to adequately address the identified unsafe condition. The LBA classified this service bulletin as mandatory and issued German airworthiness directive 97-072, dated March 27, 1997, in order to assure the continued airworthiness of these airplanes in Germany.

#### **FAA's Conclusions**

This airplane model is manufactured in Germany and is type certificated for operation in the United States under the provisions of section 21.29 of the Federal Aviation Regulations (14 CFR 21.29) and the applicable bilateral airworthiness agreement. Pursuant to this bilateral airworthiness agreement, the LBA has kept the FAA informed of the situation described above. The FAA has examined the findings of the LBA, reviewed all available information, and determined that AD action is necessary for products of this type design that are certificated for operation in the United States

# Explanation of Requirements of Proposed Rule

Since an unsafe condition has been identified that is likely to exist or develop on other airplanes of the same type design registered in the United States, the proposed AD would require accomplishment of the actions specified in the service bulletin described previously.

## **Cost Impact**

The FAA estimates that 50 airplanes of U.S. registry would be affected by this proposed AD, that it would take approximately 6 work hours per airplane to accomplish the proposed replacement, and that the average labor rate is \$60 per work hour. Required parts would be provided by the manufacturer at no cost to the operators.

Based on these figures, the cost impact of the proposed AD on U.S. operators is estimated to be \$18,000, or \$360 per airplane.

The cost impact figure discussed above is based on assumptions that no operator has yet accomplished any of the proposed requirements of this AD action, and that no operator would accomplish those actions in the future if this AD were not adopted.

#### **Regulatory Impact**

The regulations proposed herein would not have substantial direct effects on the States, on the relationship between the national government and the States, or on the distribution of power and responsibilities among the various levels of government. Therefore, in accordance with Executive Order 12612, it is determined that this proposal would not have sufficient federalism implications to warrant the preparation of a Federalism Assessment.

For the reasons discussed above, I certify that this proposed regulation (1) is not a "significant regulatory action" under Executive Order 12866; (2) is not a "significant rule" under the DOT Regulatory Policies and Procedures (44 FR 11034, February 26, 1979); and (3) if promulgated, will not have a significant economic impact, positive or negative, on a substantial number of small entities under the criteria of the Regulatory Flexibility Act. A copy of the draft regulatory evaluation prepared for this action is contained in the Rules Docket. A copy of it may be obtained by contacting the Rules Docket at the location provided under the caption ADDRESSES.

## List of Subjects in 14 CFR Part 39

Air transportation, Aircraft, Aviation safety, Safety.

#### **The Proposed Amendment**

Accordingly, pursuant to the authority delegated to me by the Administrator, the Federal Aviation Administration proposes to amend part 39 of the Federal Aviation Regulations (14 CFR part 39) as follows:

# PART 39—AIRWORTHINESS DIRECTIVES

1. The authority citation for part 39 continues to read as follows:

Authority: 49 U.S.C. 106(g), 40113, 44701.

#### § 39.13 [Amended]

2. Section 39.13 is amended by adding the following new airworthiness directive:

Dornier: Docket 98-NM-46-AD.

Applicability: Model 328–100 series airplanes, serial numbers 3005 through 3095 inclusive; certificated in any category.

Note 1: This AD applies to each airplane identified in the preceding applicability provision, regardless of whether it has been modified, altered, or repaired in the area subject to the requirements of this AD. For airplanes that have been modified, altered, or repaired so that the performance of the requirements of this AD is affected, the owner/operator must request approval for an alternative method of compliance in accordance with paragraph (c) of this AD. The request should include an assessment of the effect of the modification, alteration, or repair on the unsafe condition addressed by this AD; and, if the unsafe condition has not been eliminated, the request should include specific proposed actions to address it.

*Compliance:* Required as indicated, unless accomplished previously.

To prevent failure of the pressure dump and relief valves in the main and auxiliary hydraulic systems, which could cause a loss in hydraulic pressure for roll control spoilers and brakes, and consequent reduced controllability of the airplane, accomplish the following:

- the following:
  (a) Within 8 months after the effective date of this AD, replace the existing pressure dump and relief valves having part number (P/N) ZHV29-1 with new valves having P/N ZHV29-2, in the main and auxiliary hydraulic systems, in accordance with Dornier Service Bulletin SB-328-29-205, dated February 12, 1997.
- (b) As of the effective date of this AD, no person shall install on any airplane any pressure dump and relief valve having P/N ZHV29–1.
- (c) An alternative method of compliance or adjustment of the compliance time that provides an acceptable level of safety may be used if approved by the Manager, International Branch, ANM–116, FAA, Transport Airplane Directorate. Operators shall submit their requests through an appropriate FAA Principal Maintenance Inspector, who may add comments and then send it to the Manager, International Branch, ANM–116.

**Note 2:** Information concerning the existence of approved alternative methods of compliance with this AD, if any, may be obtained from the International Branch, ANM–116.

(d) Special flight permits may be issued in accordance with sections 21.197 and 21.199 of the Federal Aviation Regulations (14 CFR 21.197 and 21.199) to operate the airplane to a location where the requirements of this AD can be accomplished.

**Note 3:** The subject of this AD is addressed in German airworthiness directive 97–072, dated March 27, 1997.

Issued in Renton, Washington, on March 25, 1998.

#### Darrell M. Pederson,

Acting Manager, Transport Airplane Directorate, Aircraft Certification Service. [FR Doc. 98–8577 Filed 4–1–98; 8:45 am] BILLING CODE 4910–13–U