

information collection is also being undertaken. All comments and suggestions, or questions regarding additional information, to include obtaining a copy of the proposed information collection instrument with instructions, should be directed to Charlotte Grzebien, (202) 514-3750, Assistant General Counsel, Office of Oriented Policing Services, 1100 Vermont Avenue, N.W., Washington, D.C. 20530, or via facsimile at (202) 616-2914.

Request written comments and suggestions from the public and affected agencies concerning the proposed collection of information. Your comments should address one or more of the following four points:

(1) Evaluate whether the proposed collection of information is necessary for the proper performance of the functions of the agency, including whether the information will have practical utility;

(2) Evaluate the accuracy of the agency's estimate of the burden of the proposed collection of information, including the validity of the methodology and assumptions used;

(3) Enhance the quality, utility, and clarity of the information to be collected; and

(4) Minimize the burden of the collection of information on those who are to respond, including through the use of appropriate automated, electronic, mechanical, or other technological collection techniques or other forms of information technology, e.g., permitting electronic submission of responses.

Comments and/or suggestions regarding the item(s) contained in this notice, especially regarding the estimated public burden and associated response time should be directed to Brenda Dyer, U.S. Department of Justice, Deputy Clearance Officer (phone number and address listed below). If you have any additional comments, suggestions, or need a copy of the proposed information collection, instrument, or additional information, please contact Brenda Dyer, Department Deputy Clearance Officer, Department of Justice, Justice Management Division, 1001 G Street N.W., Suite 850, Washington, D.C. 20530.

Overview of this information:

(1) type of Information Collection: Reinstatement, with change, of a previously approved collection for which approval has expired.

(2) Title of the Form/Collection: Police Corps Service Agreement.

(3) Agency form number, if any, and the applicable component of the Department of Justice sponsoring the collection: Form COPS 17/02. Office of Community Oriented Policing Services, U.S. Department of Justice.

(4) Affected public who will be required to respond, as well as a brief abstract: Primary: Individuals or households. Other: None. The Police Corps Service Agreement is the written contract between the Office of Police Corps and Law Enforcement Education and selected Police Corps participants, setting forth the participants' agreement to provide 4 years of law enforcement service in exchange for scholarship or reimbursement funds for educational purposes.

(5) An estimate of the total number of respondents and the amount of time estimated for an average respondent to respond: Police Corps Interim Final Regulation: Approximately 144 respondents, at 24 hours per response (including record-keeping). Total annual burden hours requested 24.

(6) An estimate of the total public burden (in hours) associated with the collection: Approximately 24 annual burden hours.

In addition information is required contact: Ms. Brenda E. Dyer, Deputy Clearance Officer, United States Department of Justice, Information Management and Security Staff Justice Management Division, Suite 850, Washington Center, 1001 G Street N.W., Washington, DC 20530.

Dated: March 25, 1998.

Brenda E. Dyer,

Department Deputy Clearance Officer, United States Department of Justice.

[FR Doc. 98-8340 Filed 3-30-98; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 4410-01-M

DEPARTMENT OF JUSTICE

Notice of Lodging of Consent Decree Pursuant to the Rivers and Harbors Act of 1899

In accordance with Departmental Policy, 28 CFR 50.7, notice is hereby given that a proposed consent decree in *United States v. PT Marine, Inc. d/b/a Ryder's Cove Board Yard*, Civil No. 98-10368-PBS (D. Mass.), was lodged with the United States District Court for the District of Massachusetts on March 2, 1998. The proposed decree concerns alleged violations of section 10 of the Rivers and Harbors Act of 1899, 33 U.S.C. 403, resulting from the unlawful construction and maintenance of 73 moorings in Ryder's Cove, Chatham, Massachusetts. A total of 53 of the unauthorized moorings were installed and operated since 1994 and the remaining 20 moorings were added in 1996.

The proposed consent decree would require PT Marine, Inc. to pay \$8,200 to the United States as disgorgement of all

economic gain realized from the rental of the unlawful moorings and would permanently enjoin PT Marine, Inc. from committing future violations of the Rivers and Harbors Act of 1899. The decree would also would require PT Marine, Inc. to apply for a Corps permit to retain the existing structures and to abide by the Corps' permitting decision, to include removal of the structures if such permit is denied.

The U.S. Department of Justice will receive written comments relating to the proposed consent decree for a period of thirty (30) days from the date of publication of this notice. Comments should be addressed to Julie S. Schrager, Assistant United States Attorney, District of Massachusetts, 1003 J.W. McCormack Post Office and Courthouse, Boston MA 02109, and should refer to *United States v. PT Marine, Inc. d/b/a Ryder's Cove Boat Yard*, Civil No. 98-10368-PBS (D. Mass.)

The proposed consent decree may be examined at the Clerk's Office, United States District Court for the District of Massachusetts, 1003 J.W. McCormack Post Office and Courthouse, Boston, Massachusetts 02109.

Letitia J. Grishaw,

Chief, Environmental Defense Section, Environmental and Natural Resources Division, United States Department of Justice.

[FR Doc. 98-8397 Filed 3-30-98; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 4410-15-M

DEPARTMENT OF JUSTICE

Notice of Lodging of Consent Decree Pursuant to Resource Conservation and Recovery Act (RCRA)

In accordance with the policy of the United States Department of Justice, as provided in 28 CFR 50.7, notice is hereby given that on March 17, 1998, a proposed Consent Decree in *United States v. Rail Services, Inc.*, Civil Action No. 3:98CV-194-H, was lodged with the United States District Court for the Western District of Kentucky. The proposed Decree resolves the claims of the Plaintiffs, the United States and the Natural Resources Protection Cabinet of the Commonwealth of Kentucky, contained in the Complaint, which seeks civil penalties and corrective action for Defendants' violations of the Resource Conservation and Recovery Act (RCRA), 42 U.S.C. 6901 *et seq.*, and its implementing regulations, at its rail car servicing facility near Calvert City, Kentucky.

The proposed Consent Decree requires Rail Services to undertake various remedial measures and corrective action at its applicable federal

and state hazardous waste laws and regulations.

The Department of Justice will receive, for a period of thirty (30) days from the date of this publication, comments concerning the proposed Consent Decree. Comments should be addressed to the Assistant Attorney General, Environment and Natural Resources Division, U.S. Department of Justice, P.O. Box 7611, Washington, D.C., 20044, and should refer to *United States v. Rail Services, Inc.*, D.J. Ref. 90-7-1-728B.

The proposed Consent Decree may be examined at any of the following offices: (1) the Office of the United States Attorney for the Western District of Kentucky, 510 West Broadway, Louisville, Kentucky; (2) the U.S. Environmental Protection Agency, Region 4, 61 Forsyth Street, S.W., Atlanta, Georgia; and (3) the Consent Decree Library, 1120 G Street, N.W., 4th Floor, Washington, D.C. 20005 (telephone (202) 624-0892).

A copy of the proposed Consent Decree may be obtained in person or by mail from the Consent Decree Library, 1120 G Street, N.W., 4th Floor, Washington, D.C. 20005. Please refer to the referenced case. There is a photocopying charge of \$0.25 per page. The total cost for a copy of the proposed Decree and its attachments is \$18.00. All checks should be made payable to "Consent Decree Library."

Joel M. Gross,

Chief, Environmental Enforcement Section,
Environment & Natural Resources Division.
[FR Doc. 98-8338 Filed 3-30-98; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 4410-15-M

DEPARTMENT OF JUSTICE

Antitrust Division

United States v. Rochester Gas & Electric Corp.; Proposed Final Judgment and Competitive Impact Statement

Notice is hereby given pursuant to the Antitrust Procedures and Penalties Act, 15 U.S.C. 16(b)-(h), that a proposed Final Judgment, Stipulation, and Competitive Impact Statement have been filed with the *United States District Court for the Western District of New York in United States v. Rochester Gas & Electric Corporation*, 97-CV-6294T. The proposed Final Judgment is subject to approval by the Court after the expiration of the statutory 60-day public comment period and compliance

with the Antitrust Procedures and Penalties Act, 15 U.S.C. 16(b)-(h).

On June 24, 1997, the United States filed a civil antitrust complaint under Section 4 of the Sherman Act, as amended, 15 U.S.C. 4, alleging that defendant Rochester Gas and Electric ("RG&E") entered into a contract with the University of Rochester ("University" or "UR"), in which RG&E promised UR a number of benefits, including electricity at reduced rates, in exchange for the University's promise not to compete against RG&E in the sale of electricity to consumers. The complaint alleges that this agreement violated Section 1 of the Sherman Act, 15 U.S.C. 1, and seeks a judgment by the Court declaring the defendant's agreement to be an unlawful restraint of trade. The complaint also seeks an order by the Court to enjoin the defendant from other activities in the future having a similar purpose or effect.

The United States and defendant have stipulated that the proposed consent judgment may be entered after compliance with the APPA, unless the United States withdraws its consent. The Court's entry of the proposed final judgment will terminate this civil action against RG&E, except that the Court will retain jurisdiction over the matter for possible further proceedings to construe, modify or enforce the judgment, or to punish violations of any of its provisions.

The proposed consent judgment contains three principal forms of relief. First, RG&E is enjoined from enforcing an anticompetitive agreement with the University. Second, RG&E is enjoined from entering into future agreements with the University or any other competitor or potential competitor that could have similar anticompetitive effects. Third, the proposed final judgment places affirmative obligations on RG&E to pursue an antitrust compliance program directed toward avoiding a repetition of its anticompetitive behavior.

Public comment is invited within sixty days of the publication of this notice. Such comments, and responses thereto, will be published in the **Federal Register** and filed with the Court. Written comments should be directed to Roger W. Fones, Chief, Transportation, Energy and Agriculture Section, Antitrust Division, 325 Seventh Street, N.W., Suite 500, Washington, DC 20530 (telephone: (202) 307-6351). Copies of the Complaint, Stipulation, proposed Final Judgment and Competitive Impact

Statement are available for inspection in Room 215 of the U.S. Department of Justice, Antitrust Division, 325 Seventh Street, N.W., Washington, DC 20430 (telephone: (202) 514-2481) and at the office of the Clerk of the United States District Court Western District of New York 272 U.S. Courthouse, 100 State Street, Rochester, New York 14614-1368.

Copies of any of these materials may be obtained upon request and payment of a copying fee.

Rebecca P. Dick,

Director of Civil Non-Merger Enforcement,
Antitrust Division.

Stipulation

It is stipulated by and between the undersigned parties, by their respective attorneys, that:

1. The Court has jurisdiction over the subject matter of this action and over each of the parties hereto, and venue of this action is proper in the Western District of New York.

2. The parties consent that a Consent Judgment in the form hereto attached may be filed and entered by the Court, upon the motion of any party or upon the Court's own motion, at any time after compliance with the requirements of the Antitrust Procedures and Penalties Act (15 U.S.C. 16(b)-(h)), and without further notice to any party or other proceedings, provided that plaintiff has not withdrawn its consent, which it may do at any time before the entry of the proposed Consent Judgment by serving notice thereof on defendant and by filing that notice with the Court.

3. Plaintiff is instructed to file and publish its competitive impact statement pursuant to 15 U.S.C. 16(b) within 30 days of the filing of this stipulation.

4. The parties shall abide by and comply with the provisions of the proposed Consent Judgment pending entry of the Consent Judgment, and from the date of the filing of this Stipulation, shall comply with all the terms and provisions of the Consent Judgment as though they were in full force and effect as an order of the Court.

5. In the event plaintiff withdraws its consent, or if the proposed Consent Judgment is not entered pursuant to this Stipulation, this Stipulation and the Consent Judgment shall be of no effect whatever and shall be without prejudice to any party in this or any other proceeding.

Dated: February 20, 1998