

integrated watershed management plan to enhance positive trends in maintaining the San Juan Creek Watershed system.

**FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT:** For further information contact Mr. Timothy J. Smith, U.S. Army Corps of Engineers, Attn: CESPL-PD-RN, P.O. Box 532711, Los Angeles, California 90053-2325; phone (213) 452-3854; email tjsmith@spl.usace.army.mil

**SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION:** To prepare for preparation of the EIS, the Corps will be conducting a public scoping meeting on March 26, 1998, at 7 p.m., at the Del Obispo Community Center, located at 34052 Del Obispo Road, Dana Point, California. This scoping meeting will be held to solicit public input on significant environmental issues associated with the proposed project. The public, as well as Federal, State, and local agencies are encouraged to participate in the scoping process by attending the Scoping Meeting and/or submitting data, information, and comments identifying relevant environmental and socioeconomic issues to be addressed in the environmental analysis. Useful information includes other environmental studies, published and unpublished data, alternatives that should be addressed in the analysis, and potential environmental enhancement and restoration opportunities that exist in the watershed. Individuals and agencies may offer information or data relevant to the proposed study and provide comments suggestions by attending the public scoping meeting, or by mailing the information to Mr. Timothy J. Smith. Requests to be placed on the mailing list for announcements and the Draft EIS should be sent to Mr. Timothy J. Smith.

**Alternatives:** The study will develop an integrated watershed management plan as well as a list of potential environmental enhancement and restoration opportunities throughout the San Juan Watershed. Specific measures selected for implementation will be analyzed in detail in supplementary National Environmental Policy Act/California Environmental Quality Act documentation.

**Gregory D. Showalter,**  
Army Federal Register Liaison Officer.  
[FR Doc. 98-7043 Filed 3-17-98; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 3710-KF-M

## DEPARTMENT OF DEFENSE

### Department of the Army

#### Corps of Engineers

#### **Intent To Prepare a Draft Environmental Impact Statement (DEIS) for the Wolf River, Memphis, TN, Feasibility Study**

**AGENCY:** U.S. Army Corps of Engineers, DoD.

**ACTION:** Notice of Intent.

**SUMMARY:** The purpose of this study is to determine the feasibility of providing aquatic and terrestrial ecosystem restoration, wetlands protection, and increased recreational opportunities within the Wolf River Basin of west Tennessee and north Mississippi. A resolution by the Committee on Public Works and Transportation of the U.S. House of Representatives on 24 September 1992 provides study authorization.

#### **FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT:**

Mr. Jim Bodron, telephone (901) 544-3639, CEMVM-DD-P, 167 North Main Street, B-202, Memphis, TN 38103-1894. Questions regarding the DEIS may be directed to Mr. Richard Hite, telephone (901) 544-0706, CEMVM-PD-R.

#### **SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION:**

##### **1. Proposed Action**

A Corps reconnaissance study, completed October 1995, revealed that channelization and realignment of the lower 22 miles of the river have significantly reduced seasonal flooding, eliminated large amounts of riparian forest and fisheries habitat, induced progressive channel bank and bed erosion, and initiated long-term drying of adjacent wetlands.

Also, agricultural practices have contributed to sediment movement and deposition. Sediment deposition in tributary streams and wetlands has adversely impacted fish and wildlife habitat and killed valuable timber. The Wolf River study will attempt to identify an economically and environmentally feasible plan that would retard damage to and help restore components of this important ecosystem. The study area currently comprises the entire Wolf River Basin. The river is 86 miles in length; and the drainage basin includes portions of Shelby, Fayette, and Hardeman counties in west Tennessee and parts of Marshall, Benton, and Tippah counties in north Mississippi.

##### **2. Alternatives**

Alternatives being considered include combinations of features such as main channel weirs to reduce erosion and provide fish habitat, reforestation, and wetland restoration. Alternative plans will be compared to the No Action alternative.

##### **3. Scoping Process**

An intensive public involvement program will be initiated and maintained throughout this study to (1) solicit input from individuals and interested parties so that problems, needs, and opportunities within the project area can be properly identified and addressed and (2) provide status updates to concerned organizations and the general public. Scoping is a critical component of the overall public involvement program. The scoping process is designed to provide early detection of public concerns regarding needed studies, plan alternatives, procedures and other important study-related matters. Affected federal, state and local agencies; affected Indian tribes; and other interested private organizations and parties are invited to participate in the scoping process. This study will analyze project impacts (positive and negative) to significant area resources such as wildlife, fish, endangered species, wetlands, water quality and recreation.

##### **4. Public Scoping Meeting**

A public scoping meeting will likely be held in Memphis, Tennessee, during the Spring of 1998.

##### **5. Availability of DEIS**

It is anticipated that the DEIS will be available for public review during the Spring of 1999. A public meeting will be held during the review period to receive comments and address questions concerning the DEIS.

**Mary V. Yonts,**

Alternate Army Federal Register Liaison Officer.

[FR Doc. 98-7045 Filed 3-17-98; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 3710-KS-M

## **DELAWARE RIVER BASIN COMMISSION**

### **Notice of Commission Meeting and Public Hearing**

Notice is hereby given that the Delaware River Basin Commission will hold a public hearing on Wednesday, March 25, 1998. The hearing will be part of the Commission's regular business meeting which is open to the public and scheduled to begin at 1:30

p.m. in the Goddard Conference Room of the Commission's offices at 25 State Police Drive, West Trenton, New Jersey.

In addition to the subjects listed which are scheduled for public hearing, the Commission will also address the following: Minutes of the February 18, 1998 business meeting; announcements; General Counsel's Report; report on Basin hydrologic conditions; a resolution concerning appointments to the Commission's Estuary Model Peer Review Team; status of compliance: Evansburg Water Company; a resolution to amend DRBC's agreement with Hydro Qual, Inc., and public dialogue.

The subjects of the hearing will be as follows:

*Applications for Approval of the Following Projects Pursuant to Article 10.3, Article 11 and/or Section 3.8 of the Compact:*

1. *Heritage-Steeplechase L.P. D-97-33 CP.* An application for approval of a ground water withdrawal project to supply up to 4.39 million gallons (mg)/30 days of water to the applicant's distribution system from new Well Nos. 1 through 3, and to limit the withdrawal limit from all wells to 4.39 mg/30 days. The project is located in Plumstead Township, Bucks County, in the Southeastern Pennsylvania Ground Water Protected Area.

2. *Pocono Mountain School District D-98-3 CP.* A project to modify the applicant's existing sewage treatment plants (STPs) which will continue to serve its junior and senior high schools in Paradise and Pocono Townships, Monroe County, Pennsylvania. Currently, there are two STPs operating in combination that produce a single discharge of tertiary treated effluent to Swiftwater Creek in Pocono Township. The applicant proposes to modify the existing senior high school STP and eliminate the junior high school STP. The new STP will continue to produce tertiary treated effluent to be discharged at the same flow (0.0286 million gallons per day) via the existing outfall.

Documents relating to these items may be examined at the Commission's offices. Preliminary dockets are available in single copies upon request. Please contact Thomas L. Brand at (609) 883-9500 ext. 221 concerning docket-related questions. Persons wishing to testify at this hearing are requested to register with the Secretary at (609) 883-9500 ext. 203 prior to the hearing.

Dated: March 10, 1998.

**Susan M. Weisman,**  
Secretary.

[FR Doc. 98-7001 Filed 3-17-98; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 6360-01-P

## DEPARTMENT OF ENERGY

### Office of Arms Control and Nonproliferation Policy

#### Proposed Subsequent Arrangement

AGENCY: Energy.

ACTION: Subsequent Arrangement.

**SUMMARY:** Pursuant to paragraph 2 of Article 6 of the Agreement for Cooperation Between the Government of the United States of America and the Government of the Argentine Republic Concerning the Peaceful Uses of Nuclear Energy, notice is hereby given of a proposed "subsequent arrangement" with respect to the alteration in form or content of unirradiated high enriched uranium (HEU) subject to the Agreement.

The subsequent arrangement to be carried out under the above-mentioned agreement involves approval of the alteration in form or content of up to 2 kilograms of unirradiated HEU subject to the Agreement in Argentina for the purpose of recovering and processing uranium for the production of medical isotopes.

In accordance with Section 131 of the Atomic Energy Act of 1954, as amended, it has been determined that this subsequent arrangement will not be inimical to the common defense and security.

This subsequent arrangement will take effect no sooner than fifteen days after the date of publication of this notice.

Dated: March 12, 1998.

For the Department of Energy.

**Cherie P. Fitzgerald,**

Director, International Policy and Analysis Division, Office of Arms Control and Nonproliferation.

[FR Doc. 98-6987 Filed 3-17-98; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 6450-01-P

## DEPARTMENT OF ENERGY

### Federal Energy Regulatory Commission

[Docket No. CP98-264-000]

#### Arkla, a Division of NorAm Energy Corp.; Notice of Petition for Declaratory Order

March 12, 1998.

Take notice that on March 4, 1998, Arkla, a division of NorAm Energy Corp. (Arkla), P.O. Box 751, Little Rock, Arkansas 72203, filed with the Commission in Docket No. CP98-264-000 a petition for a declaratory order stating that certain pipeline facilities

Arkla would purchase from Louisiana-Nevada Transit Company (LNT) would be exempt from the Commission's jurisdiction under Section 1(b) of the Natural Gas Act (NGA), which is open to the public for inspection.

Arkla proposes to purchase approximately 78 miles or 8-inch diameter pipe between Cotton Valley, Webster Parish, Louisiana, and Okay, Hempstead County, Arkansas, and approximately 17 miles of 6-inch diameter pipe that extends from Haynesville, Claiborne Parish, Louisiana, to an interconnection with the 8-inch diameter pipe in Webster Parish (collectively, the LNT facilities). Arkla states that LNT has contemporaneously filed a request with the Commission in Docket No. CP98-263-000 to abandon the LNT pipeline facilities by sale to Arkla.

Arkla states that it would blind-plate the 8-inch diameter pipeline at the Arkansas-Louisiana state line and operate the separated segments as reconfigured parts of its local distribution systems in Arkansas and Louisiana. Arkla also states that it operates as a local distribution company that distributes natural gas at retail solely within the states of Arkansas, Louisiana, Oklahoma, and Texas. Arkla further states that its operations are confined to each of the respective states and its local activities and operations within each state are regulated by the public service commissions of each respective state.

Any person desiring to be heard or to make any protest with reference to said petition should on or before March 23, 1998, file with the Federal Energy Regulatory Commission, 888 First Street, N.E., Washington, D.C. 20426, a motion to intervene or a protest in accordance with the requirements of the Commission's Rules of Practice and Procedure (18 CFR 385.214 or 385.211) and the Regulations under the Natural Gas Act (18 CRR 157.10). All protests filed with the Commission will be considered by it in determining the appropriate action to be taken but will not serve to make the protestants parties to the proceeding. Any person wishing to become a party to a proceeding or to participate as a party in any hearing therein must file a motion to intervene in accordance with the Commission's Rules.

**David P. Boergers,**  
Acting Secretary.

[FR Doc. 98-6929 Filed 3-17-98; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 6717-01-M