(h) This amendment becomes effective on April 17, 1998.

Issued in Renton, Washington, on March 5, 1998.

#### Darrell M. Pederson,

Acting Manager, Transport Airplane Directorate, Aircraft Certification Service. [FR Doc. 98–6332 Filed 3–12–98; 8:45 am] BILLING CODE 4910–13–P

#### DEPARTMENT OF TRANSPORTATION

#### **Federal Aviation Administration**

#### 14 CFR Part 39

[Docket No. 97-NM-223-AD; Amendment 39-10386; AD 98-06-09]

RIN 2120-AA64

## Airworthiness Directives; British Aerospace Model HS 748 Series Airplanes

**AGENCY:** Federal Aviation Administration, DOT.

**ACTION:** Final rule; request for

comments.

**SUMMARY:** This amendment adopts a new airworthiness directive (AD), applicable to all British Aerospace Model HS 748 series airplanes. This action requires a visual inspection to detect fatigue cracking or loose fitting stress pads of the aileron operating arm brackets; and follow-on corrective actions, if necessary. This amendment is prompted by issuance of mandatory continuing airworthiness information by a foreign civil airworthiness authority. The actions specified in this AD are intended to detect and correct fatigue cracking in the flanges of the aileron operating arm brackets, which could result in failure of the aileron operating arm brackets, failure of the aileron control system, and consequent reduced controllability of the airplane.

DATES: Effective March 30, 1998.

The incorporation by reference of certain publications listed in the regulations is approved by the Director of the Federal Register as of March 30, 1998.

Comments for inclusion in the Rules Docket must be received on or before April 13, 1998.

ADDRESSES: Submit comments in triplicate to the Federal Aviation Administration (FAA), Transport Airplane Directorate, ANM-114, Attention: Rules Docket No. 97-NM-223-AD, 1601 Lind Avenue, SW., Renton, Washington 98055-4056.

The service information referenced in this AD may be obtained from AI(R) American Support, Inc., 13850 Mclearen

Road, Herndon, Virginia 20171. This information may be examined at the FAA, Transport Airplane Directorate, 1601 Lind Avenue, SW., Renton, Washington; or at the Office of the Federal Register, 800 North Capitol Street, NW., suite 700, Washington, DC.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT: Norman B. Martenson, Manager, International Branch, ANM–116, FAA, Transport Airplane Directorate, 1601 Lind Avenue, SW., Renton, Washington 98055–4056; telephone (425) 227–2110; fax (425) 227–1149.

SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION: The Civil Aviation Authority (CAA), which is the airworthiness authority for the United Kingdom, notified the FAA that an unsafe condition may exist on all British Aerospace Model HŠ 748 series airplanes. The CAA advises that fatigue cracks have been found in the forward flanges of the aileron operating arm bracket. Such fatigue cracking, if not detected and corrected in a timely manner, could result in failure of the aileron operating arm bracket, failure of the aileron control system, and consequent reduced controllability of the airplane.

## **Explanation of Relevant Service Information**

The manufacturer has issued Jetstream Service Bulletin HS748-27-124, dated November 17, 1995, which describes procedures for a visual inspection to detect fatigue cracking of the aileron operating arm brackets, and to detect loose or poorly positioned stress pads; and follow-on corrective actions, if necessary. For airplanes on which the stress pads are loose or poorly positioned, the service bulletin describes procedures for repetitive visual inspections, and eventual replacement of the aileron operating arm bracket and stress pads with new or serviceable parts. For airplanes on which any cracking is found, the service bulletin describes procedures for temporary repair and/or eventual replacement of the aileron operating arm bracket and stress pads with new or serviceable parts. The CAA classified this service bulletin as mandatory and issued British airworthiness directive 007-11-95 in order to assure the continued airworthiness of these airplanes in the United Kingdom.

#### **FAA's Conclusions**

This airplane model is manufactured in the United Kingdom and is type certificated for operation in the United States under the provisions of section 21.29 of the Federal Aviation Regulations (14 CFR 21.19) and the applicable bilateral airworthiness agreement. Pursuant to this bilateral airworthiness agreement, the CAA has kept the FAA informed of the situation described above. The FAA has examined the findings of the CAA, reviewed all available information, and determined that AD action is necessary for products of this type design that are certificated for operation in the United States.

## **Explanation of Requirements of the Rule**

Since an unsafe condition has been identified that is likely to exist or develop on other airplanes of the same type design registered in the United States, this AD is being issued to detect and correct fatigue cracking in the flanges of the aileron operating arm bracket, which could result in failure of the aileron operating arm bracket, failure of the aileron control system, and consequent reduced controllability of the airplane. This AD requires accomplishment of the actions specified in the service bulletin described previously, except as discussed below.

# Differences Between This AD and Service Bulletin

Operators should note that, unlike the procedures described in Table 1 of the Jetstream service bulletin, this AD does not permit further flight if any crack is detected in the forward flanges of the aileron operating arm bracket. The FAA has determined that, because of the safety implications and consequences associated with such cracking, any forward flanges of the aileron operating arm bracket that are found to be cracked must be repaired or the bracket must be replaced prior to further flight.

#### **Cost Impact**

None of the airplanes affected by this action are on the U.S. Register. All airplanes included in the applicability of this rule currently are operated by non-U.S. operators under foreign registry; therefore, they are not directly affected by this AD action. However, the FAA considers that this rule is necessary to ensure that the unsafe condition is addressed in the event that any of these subject airplanes are imported and placed on the U.S. Register in the future.

Should an affected airplane be imported and placed on the U.S. Register in the future, it would require approximately 1 work hour to perform the required inspection, at an average labor rate of \$60 per work hour. Based on these figures, the cost impact of this AD would be \$60 per airplane.

#### **Determination of Rule's Effective Date**

Since this AD action does not affect any airplane that is currently on the U.S. register, it has no adverse economic impact and imposes no additional burden on any person. Therefore, prior notice and public procedures hereon are unnecessary and the amendment may be made effective in less than 30 days after publication in the **Federal Register**.

#### **Comments Invited**

Although this action is in the form of a final rule and was not preceded by notice and opportunity for public comment, comments are invited on this rule. Interested persons are invited to comment on this rule by submitting such written data, views, or arguments as they may desire. Communications shall identify the Rules Docket number and be submitted in triplicate to the address specified under the caption ADDRESSES. All communications received on or before the closing date for comments will be considered, and this rule may be amended in light of the comments received. Factual information that supports the commenter's ideas and suggestions is extremely helpful in evaluating the effectiveness of the AD action and determining whether additional rulemaking action would be needed.

Comments are specifically invited on the overall regulatory, economic, environmental, and energy aspects of the rule that might suggest a need to modify the rule. All comments submitted will be available, both before and after the closing date for comments, in the Rules Docket for examination by interested persons. A report that summarizes each FAA-public contact concerned with the substance of this AD will be filed in the Rules Docket.

Commenters wishing the FAA to acknowledge receipt of their comments submitted in response to this rule must submit a self-addressed, stamped postcard on which the following statement is made: "Comments to Docket Number 97–NM–223–AD." The postcard will be date stamped and returned to the commenter.

## **Regulatory Impact**

The regulations adopted herein will not have substantial direct effects on the States, on the relationship between the national government and the States, or on the distribution of power and responsibilities among the various levels of government. Therefore, in accordance with Executive Order 12612, it is determined that this final rule does not have sufficient federalism implications to warrant the preparation of a Federalism Assessment.

For the reasons discussed above, I certify that this action (1) is not a significant regulatory action" under Executive Order 12866; (2) is not a 'significant rule'' under DOT Regulatory Policies and Procedures (44 FR 11034, February 26, 1979); and (3) will not have a significant economic impact, positive or negative, on a substantial number of small entities under the criteria of the Regulatory Flexibility Act. A final evaluation has been prepared for this action and it is contained in the Rules Docket. A copy of it may be obtained from the Rules Docket at the location provided under the caption ADDRESSES.

#### List of Subjects in 14 CFR Part 39

Air transportation, Aircraft, Aviation safety, Incorporation by reference, Safety.

### **Adoption of the Amendment**

Accordingly, pursuant to the authority delegated to me by the Administrator, the Federal Aviation Administration amends part 39 of the Federal Aviation Regulations (14 CFR part 39) as follows:

# PART 39—AIRWORTHINESS DIRECTIVES

1. The authority citation for part 39 continues to read as follows:

Authority: 49 U.S.C. 106(g), 40113, 44701.

#### § 39.13 [Amended]

2. Section 39.13 is amended by adding the following new airworthiness directive:

98-06-09 British Aerospace Regional Aircraft (Formerly British Aerospace, Aircraft Group): Amendment 39-10386. Docket 97-NM-223-AD.

*Applicability:* All Model HS 748 series airplanes, certificated in any category.

**Note 1:** This AD applies to each airplane identified in the preceding applicability provision, regardless of whether it has been modified, altered, or repaired in the area subject to the requirements of this AD. For airplanes that have been modified, altered, or repaired so that the performance of the requirements of this AD is affected, the owner/operator must request approval for an alternative method of compliance in accordance with paragraph (b) of this AD. The request should include an assessment of the effect of the modification, alteration, or repair on the unsafe condition addressed by this AD; and, if the unsafe condition has not been eliminated, the request should include specific proposed actions to address it.

Compliance: Required as indicated, unless accomplished previously.

To detect and correct fatigue cracking in the flanges of the aileron operating arm bracket, which could result in failure of the aileron operating arm bracket, failure of the aileron control system, and consequent reduced controllability of the airplane, accomplish the following:

- (a) Within 1,000 hours time-in-service or 6 months after the effective date of this AD, whichever occurs first, perform a visual inspection for fatigue cracking and for loose or poorly fitting stress pads on each aileron operating arm bracket, in accordance with Jetstream Service Bulletin HS748–27–124, dated November 17, 1995.
- (1) If no crack is detected during the inspection required by paragraph (a) of this AD, and the stress pads are positioned to ensure a snug fit into the heel of the attach flange, no further action is required by this AD
- (2) If no crack is detected during the inspection required by paragraph (a) of this AD, but any stress pad is either loose or poorly positioned, repeat the visual inspection thereafter at intervals not to exceed 1,000 hours time-in-service or 6 months, whichever occurs first, up to a maximum of 4,000 hours time-in-service or 24 months, whichever occurs first, at which time the aileron operating arm bracket and stress pads must be replaced with new or serviceable parts in accordance with the service bulletin.
- (3) If any crack is detected during the inspection required by paragraph (a) of this AD, prior to further flight, accomplish the action specified in either paragraph (a)(3)(i) or (a)(3)(ii) of this AD, in accordance with the service bulletin.
- (i) Replace the aileron operating arm bracket and stress pads with new or serviceable parts. No further action is required by this AD.
- (ii) Temporarily repair the aileron operating arm bracket. Within 1,000 hours time-in-service after accomplishment of this repair, replace the aileron operating arm bracket and stress pads with new or serviceable parts. No further action is required by this AD.
- (b) An alternative method of compliance or adjustment of the compliance time that provides an acceptable level of safety may be used if approved by the Manager, International Branch, ANM–116, FAA, Transport Airplane Directorate. Operators shall submit their requests through an appropriate FAA Principal Maintenance Inspector, who may add comments and then send it to the Manager, International Branch, ANM–116.

**Note 2:** Information concerning the existence of approved alternative methods of compliance with this AD, if any, may be obtained from the International Branch, ANM–116.

- (c) Special flight permits may be issued in accordance with sections 21.197 and 21.199 of the Federal Aviation Regulations (14 CFR 21.197 and 21.199) to operate the airplane to a location where the requirements of this AD can be accomplished.
- (d) The actions shall be done in accordance with Jetstream Service Bulletin HS748–27–124, dated November 17, 1995. This incorporation by reference was approved by the Director of the Federal Register in accordance with 5 U.S.C. 552(a) and 1 CFR part 51. Copies may be obtained from AI(R)

American Support, Inc., 13850 Mclearen Road, Herndon, Virginia 20171. Copies may be inspected at the FAA, Transport Airplane Directorate, 1601 Lind Avenue, SW., Renton, Washington; or at the Office of the Federal Register, 800 North Capitol Street, NW., suite 700, Washington, DC.

**Note 3:** The subject of this AD is addressed in the British airworthiness directive 007–11–95.

(e) This amendment becomes effective on March 30, 1998.

Issued in Renton, Washington, on March 5, 1998.

#### Darrell M. Pederson,

Acting Manager, Transport Airplane Directorate, Aircraft Certification Service. [FR Doc. 98–6331 Filed 3–12–98; 8:45 am] BILLING CODE 4910–13–P

#### **DEPARTMENT OF TRANSPORTATION**

#### **Federal Aviation Administration**

#### 14 CFR Part 39

[Docket No. 97-NM-269-AD; Amendment 39-10388; AD 98-06-11]

RIN 2120-AA64

## Airworthiness Directives; de Havilland Model DHC-8-100 Series Airplanes

AGENCY: Federal Aviation Administration, DOT.
ACTION: Final rule.

**SUMMARY:** This amendment adopts a new airworthiness directive (AD) applicable to certain de Havilland Model DHC-8-100 series airplanes, that requires a one-time visual inspection to determine the presence of block seals on the upper portions of the cabin/baggage compartment bulkheads, and installation of a new or serviceable block seal for any missing block seal. This amendment is prompted by the issuance of mandatory continuing airworthiness information by a foreign civil airworthiness authority. The actions specified by this AD are intended to prevent smoke contamination of the passenger and crew cabins, in the event of fire or smoke in the baggage compartment, due to a direct smoke path between the baggage compartment and the cabins.

DATES: Effective April 17, 1998.

The incorporation by reference of certain publications listed in the regulations is approved by the Director of the Federal Register as of April 17, 1998

ADDRESSES: The service information referenced in this AD may be obtained from Bombardier, Inc., Bombardier Regional Aircraft Division, Garratt Boulevard, Downsview, Ontario, Canada

M3K 1Y5. This information may be examined at the Federal Aviation Administration (FAA), Transport Airplane Directorate, Rules Docket, 1601 Lind Avenue, SW., Renton, Washington; FAA, Engine and Propeller Directorate, New York Aircraft Certification Office, 10 Fifth Street, Third Floor, Valley Stream, New York; or at the Office of the Federal Register, 800 North Capitol Street, NW., suite 700, Washington, DC.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT: Anthony Gallo, Aerospace Engineer, Systems and Flight Test Branch, ANE–172, FAA, Engine and Propeller Directorate, New York Aircraft Certification Office, 10 Fifth Street, Third Floor, Valley Stream, New York 11581; telephone (516) 256–7510; fax (516) 568–2716.

SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION: A proposal to amend part 39 of the Federal Aviation Regulations (14 CFR part 39) to include an airworthiness directive (AD) that is applicable to certain de Havilland Model DHC-8-100 series airplanes was published in the Federal Register on January 5, 1998 (63 FR 172). That action proposed to require a one-time visual inspection to determine the presence of block seals on the upper portions of the cabin/baggage compartment bulkheads, and installation of a new or serviceable block seal for any missing block seal.

#### **Comments**

Interested persons have been afforded an opportunity to participate in the making of this amendment. No comments were submitted in response to the proposal or the FAA's determination of the cost to the public.

#### Conclusion

The FAA has determined that air safety and the public interest require the adoption of the rule as proposed.

#### **Cost Impact**

The FAA estimates that 20 de Havilland Model DHC-8–100 series airplanes of U.S. registry will be affected by this AD, that it will take approximately 1 work hour per airplane to accomplish the required actions, and that the average labor rate is \$60 per work hour. Based on these figures, the cost impact of the AD on U.S. operators is estimated to be \$1,200, or \$60 per airplane.

The cost impact figure discussed above is based on assumptions that no operator has yet accomplished any of the requirements of this AD action, and that no operator would accomplish those actions in the future if this AD were not adopted.

## **Regulatory Impact**

The regulations adopted herein will not have substantial direct effects on the States, on the relationship between the national government and the States, or on the distribution of power and responsibilities among the various levels of government. Therefore, in accordance with Executive Order 12612, it is determined that this final rule does not have sufficient federalism implications to warrant the preparation of a Federalism Assessment.

For the reasons discussed above, I certify that this action (1) is not a "significant regulatory action" under Executive Order 12866; (2) is not a "significant rule" under DOT Regulatory Policies and Procedures (44 FR 11034, February 26, 1979); and (3) will not have a significant economic impact, positive or negative, on a substantial number of small entities under the criteria of the Regulatory Flexibility Act. A final evaluation has been prepared for this action and it is contained in the Rules Docket. A copy of it may be obtained from the Rules Docket at the location provided under the caption ADDRESSES.

#### List of Subjects in 14 CFR Part 39

Air transportation, Aircraft, Aviation safety, Incorporation by reference, Safety.

### **Adoption of the Amendment**

Accordingly, pursuant to the authority delegated to me by the Administrator, the Federal Aviation Administration amends part 39 of the Federal Aviation Regulations (14 CFR part 39) as follows:

# PART 39—AIRWORTHINESS DIRECTIVES

1. The authority citation for part 39 continues to read as follows:

Authority: 49 U.S.C. 106(g), 40113, 44701.

## §39.13 [Amended]

2. Section 39.13 is amended by adding the following new airworthiness directive:

**98-06-11 De Havilland Inc.:** Amendment 39-10388. Docket 97-NM-269-AD.

Applicability: Model DHC-8-100 series airplanes; serial numbers 191, and 225 through 307 inclusive; certificated in any category.

Note 1: This AD applies to each airplane identified in the preceding applicability provision, regardless of whether it has been modified, altered, or repaired in the area subject to the requirements of this AD. For airplanes that have been modified, altered, or repaired so that the performance of the requirements of this AD is affected, the owner/operator must request approval for an