

deadline for all applicants to October 29, 1996. The August 14, 1996 NOFA was amended by notice published in the Federal Register on October 22, 1996 to extend the application deadline to November 12, 1996 for HUD's Puerto Rico office as a result of severe flooding caused by Hurricane Hortense.

This notice amends the August 14, 1996 NOFA to advise of the procedure that HUD will use to determine how public housing agency and Indian housing authority applications will be selected for funding in the event of tie scores. The procedure for breaking tie scores was inadvertently omitted from the August 14, 1996 NOFA.

Accordingly, the NOFA for Public and Indian Housing Economic Development and Supportive Services (EDSS) Grants, published at 61 FR 42356 on August 14, 1996, is amended as follows:

On page 42360, column two, the first paragraph is revised to read as follows:

All PHA and the remaining IHA applications will be placed in an overall nationwide ranking order and funded until all funds are exhausted. In the event of tie scores, at the lowest ranking eligible for funding, HUD will award the funds by providing a proportioned amount to each applicant sharing the tied score. The proportioned amount will be based on the amount of funding requested by each tied applicant relative to the total amount requested by all tied applicants. This ratio will then be applied against the amount of remaining funds available at this point in the competition. Should a grantee decide not to accept the proportioned amount, those funds will be reallocated for use in the FY 1997 EDSS funding round.

Dated: February 12, 1997.

Kevin E. Marchman,
Acting Assistant Secretary for Public and Indian Housing.

[FR Doc. 97-3971 Filed 2-14-97; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 4210-33-P

[Docket No. FR-4209-N-01]

Mortgagee Review Board Administrative Actions

AGENCY: Office of the Assistant Secretary for Housing-Federal Housing Commissioner, HUD.

ACTION: Notice.

SUMMARY: In compliance with Section 202(c) of the National Housing Act, notice is hereby given of the cause and description of administrative actions taken by HUD's Mortgagee Review Board against HUD-approved mortgagees.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT: Morris E. Carter, Director, Office of Lender Activities and Program Compliance, 451 Seventh Street, SW,

Washington, DC 20410, telephone (202) 708-1515. (This is not a toll-free number). A Telecommunications Device for Hearing and Speech-Impaired Individuals (TTY) is available at 1-800-877-8339 (Federal Information Relay Service).

SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION: Section 202(c)(5) of the National Housing Act (added by Section 142 of the Department of Housing and Urban Development Reform Act of 1989 Pub. L. 101-235), approved December 15, 1989, requires that HUD "publish a description of and the cause for administrative action against a HUD-approved mortgagee" by the Department's Mortgagee Review Board. In compliance with the requirements of Section 202(c)(5), notice is hereby given of administrative actions that have been taken by the Mortgagee Review Board from October 1, 1996 through December 31, 1996.

1. BancPlus Mortgage, San Antonio, Texas

Action: Settlement Agreement that includes indemnification to the Department for any claim losses in connection with ten improperly originated FHA-insured mortgages.

Cause: A HUD monitoring review that disclosed violations of HUD-FHA requirements that include: using alleged false information to originate HUD-FHA insured mortgages; failing to properly document the credit background and evaluate the credit risk of borrowers; permitting mortgagors to handcarry verification of employment forms; requiring mortgagors to sign blank documents; and failing to timely remit Up-Front Mortgage Insurance Premiums (UFMIPs) to HUD-FHA.

2. Grand Capital Mortgage and Investment Company, Inc., Los Angeles, California

Action: Proposed Settlement Agreement that would include: indemnification to the Department for any claim losses in connection with seven improperly originated FHA insured mortgages; payment to the Department of a civil money penalty in the amount of \$9,000; and corrective action to assure compliance with HUD-FHA requirements.

Cause: A HUD monitoring review that cited violations of HUD-FHA requirements that include: failure to comply with HUD-FHA reporting requirements under the Home Mortgage Disclosure Act (HMDA); failure to implement and maintain an adequate Quality Control Plan; sharing office space and commingling employees with another company; utilizing, and paying

"kickbacks" to an unapproved entity for mortgage origination; failure to obtain documents required to accurately evaluate borrowers' credit risk; failure to verify the source and adequacy of mortgagors' closing funds; improper calculation of borrowers' effective income; closing HUD-FHA insured mortgages that exceed the regulatory maximum loan amount; deleting a co-mortgagor in a streamline refinance; exceeding HUD-FHA ratio guidelines without documenting significant compensating factors; and preparing inaccurate Settlement Statements.

3. Diamond Coast Financial, Inc., Hesperia, California

Action: Probation and a proposed Civil Money Penalty in the amount of \$32,000.

Cause: A HUD monitoring review that disclosed violations of HUD-FHA requirements that include: Failure to remit to HUD-FHA at least 184 Up-Front Mortgage Insurance Premiums (UFMIPs); misrepresentation to HUD-FHA in obtaining approval of independent realtors and brokers as branch offices; using non-employees to originate HUD-FHA insured mortgages; using, and paying fees to, a mortgage company not approved by HUD-FHA to originate HUD-FHA insured mortgages; improperly paying closing costs for a mortgagor and failing to honor the mortgagor's request to rescind the transaction; and using misleading advertising in connection with the Title I program.

4. Trust One Mortgage Corporation, Irvine, California

Action: Settlement Agreement that includes: indemnification to the Department for any claim losses in connection with eight improperly originated property improvement loans under the HUD-FHA Title I property improvement loan program; payment to the Department of a civil money penalty in the amount of \$2,000; and corrective action to assure compliance with HUD-FHA requirements.

Cause: A HUD monitoring review that cited violations of HUD-FHA Title I program requirements that include: permitting non-employees to originate loans; failure to document a borrower's source of funds for the initial payment, and permitting the payment to be made from loan proceeds; failure to disburse loan proceeds at closing; and use of misleading advertising.

5. Barrons Mortgage Corporation, Brea, California

Action: Proposed Settlement Agreement that would include:

indemnification to the Department for any claim losses in connection with seven improperly originated property improvement loans under the HUD-FHA Title I property improvement loan program; payment to the Department of a civil money penalty in the amount of \$2,000; and corrective action to assure compliance with HUD-FHA requirements.

Cause: A HUD monitoring review that disclosed violations of HUD-FHA Title I program requirements that include: use of alleged false tax returns to qualify borrowers; accepting verifications of employment and W-2 forms containing inconsistent information to qualify borrowers; permitting non-approved brokers to originate loans; accepting insufficient cost estimates; and use of misleading advertising.

6. Comstock Mortgage, Sacramento, California

Action: Proposed Settlement Agreement that would include: payment to the Department of a civil money penalty in the amount of \$4,000; and corrective action to assure compliance with HUD-FHA requirements.

Cause: A HUD monitoring review that cited violations of HUD-FHA requirements that include: failure to comply with HUD-FHA reporting requirements under the Home Mortgage Disclosure Act (HMDA); and failure to maintain an adequate Quality Control Plan for the origination of HUD-FHA insured mortgages.

7. Home Owners Funding Corp., Bloomington, Minnesota

Action: Settlement Agreement that includes: payment to the Department of a civil money penalty in the amount of \$2,500; and corrective action to assure compliance with HUD-FHA reporting requirements under the Home Mortgage Disclosure Act (HMDA).

Cause: Failure to timely submit HMDA data to HUD-FHA.

8. Lovell & Malone, Inc., Nashville, Tennessee

Action: Settlement Agreement that includes: payment to the Department of a civil money penalty in the amount of \$2,500; and corrective action to assure compliance with HUD-FHA reporting requirements under the Home Mortgage Disclosure Act (HMDA).

Cause: Failure to timely submit HMDA data to HUD-FHA.

Dated: February 10, 1997.
Nicolas P. Retsinas,
Assistant Secretary for Housing-Federal Housing Commissioner.
[FR Doc. 97-3895 Filed 2-14-97; 8:45 am]
BILLING CODE 4210-27-P

DEPARTMENT OF THE INTERIOR

Fish and Wildlife Service

Endangered and Threatened Species Permit Application

AGENCY: Fish and Wildlife Service, Interior.

ACTION: Notice of receipt of application.

The following applicant has applied for a permit to conduct certain activities with endangered species. This notice is provided pursuant to section 10(c) of the Endangered Species Act of 1973, as amended (16 U.S.C. 1531, *et seq.*).

PRT-825177

Applicant: Dr. Cynthia E. Rebar, University of Pennsylvania, Edinboro, Pennsylvania.

The applicant requests a permit to take (capture and release) Indiana bats (*Myotis sodalis*) at the Ravenna Army Ammunition Plant, Ravenna, Ohio, for biological survey purposes. Activities are proposed for the purpose of enhancement of the species in the wild.

Written data or comments should be submitted to the Regional Director, U.S. Fish and Wildlife Service, Division of Ecological Services Operations, 1 Federal Drive, Fort Snelling, Minnesota 55111-4056, and must be received within 30 days of the date of this publication.

Documents and other information submitted with these applications are available for review by any party who submits a written request for a copy of such documents to the following office within 30 days of the date of publication of this notice: U.S. Fish and Wildlife Service, Division of Ecological Services Operations, 1 Federal Drive, Fort Snelling, Minnesota 55111-4056. Telephone: (612/725-3536 x250); FAX: (612/725-3526).

Dated: February 9, 1997.
John A. Blankenship,
Assistant Regional Director, IL, IN, MO (Ecological Services), Region 3, Fort Snelling, Minnesota.
[FR Doc. 97-3935 Filed 2-14-97; 8:45 am]
BILLING CODE 4310-55-P

Bureau of Land Management

Lewistown, MT, District Office; Meeting

AGENCY: Bureau of Land Management, Lewistown District Office.

ACTION: Notice of meeting.

SUMMARY: A sub-committee of the Lewistown District Resource Advisory Council will meet February 26, 1997, at 10:00 am, in the Conference Room at the Lewistown District Bureau of Land Management Office, on Airport Road in Lewistown.

This sub-committee was empowered by the full Resource Advisory Council (during a February 4-5, 1997 meeting) to meet and revise the council's recommendations concerning standards and guidelines for rangeland management.

There will be a public comment period at 11:30 am during the February 26, 1997 meeting.

DATES: February 26, 1997.

LOCATION: Lewistown District Bureau of Land Management Office, Airport Road, Lewistown.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT: District Manager, (406) 538-7461, Lewistown District Office, Bureau of Land Management, Box 1160, Airport Road, Lewistown, MT 59457.

SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION: The sub-committee meeting is open to the public and there will be a public comment period as detailed above.

Dated: February 7, 1997.

David L. Mari,
District Manager.

[FR Doc. 97-3902 Filed 2-14-97; 8:45 am]
BILLING CODE 4310-DN-P

[UT-942-1430-01; UTU-76019, UTU-76020, UTU-76021]

Filing of State Quantity Grant Application; Utah

AGENCY: Bureau of Land Management, Interior.

ACTION: Notice.

SUMMARY: On December 12, 1996, the State of Utah filed quantity grant application, UTU-76019, UTU-76020, and UTU-76021, to have 35.00 acres of federally-owned land and interest in land transferred to the State of Utah under the provisions of Section 7, Section 12, and Section 8, respectively, of the Act of July 16, 1894 (28 Stat. 109), and pursuant to 43 CFR part 2622.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT: Angela D. Williams, Bureau of Land Management, Utah State Office, 324 South State Street, PO Box 45155, Salt